

**Table 10B: Nursing Care Bed Need Projections for 2014 (2011 Plan)  
By Individual County(s) Scenario**

County	Projected 2014 Population				Projected 2014 Bed Utilization				Projected Bed Utilization Sum	Licensed Plus Prev. Allocations	Exclu- sions	Planning Inventory	Surplus/ "- " = Deficit	Deficit Index	Occupancy Rate </>90	New Beds Needed
	Under Age 65*	Age 65-74	Age 75-84	Age 85 up	<65	65-74	75-84	85+								
Alamance	136,077	12,432	6,777	3,156	112	82	270	361	825	888	169	719	-106	13%	>90%	110
	<b>Alamance Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.82</b>	<b>6.62</b>	<b>39.90</b>	<b>114.27</b>								
Alexander	32,576	3,770	1,844	625	8	36	38	33	115	183	49	134	19			0
	<b>Alexander Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.25</b>	<b>9.42</b>	<b>20.82</b>	<b>52.85</b>								
Alleghany	8,832	1,512	928	347	32	33	18	26	108	90	0	90	-18	17%	<90%	0
	<b>Alleghany Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>3.58</b>	<b>21.57</b>	<b>19.55</b>	<b>74.89</b>								
Anson	20,998	2,360	1,097	493	20	8	40	96	164	161	0	161	-3	2%		0
	<b>Anson Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.97</b>	<b>3.23</b>	<b>36.22</b>	<b>194.56</b>								
Ashe	21,390	3,359	1,949	840	14	35	56	77	182	210	0	210	28			0
	<b>Ashe Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.65</b>	<b>10.35</b>	<b>28.91</b>	<b>91.32</b>								
Avery	14,610	1,957	1,188	536	7	23	30	31	92	128	0	128	36			0
	<b>Avery Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.50</b>	<b>11.88</b>	<b>25.10</b>	<b>58.48</b>								
Beaufort	38,638	5,758	2,972	1,072	26	31	43	10	110	300	10	290	180			0
	<b>Beaufort Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.68</b>	<b>5.31</b>	<b>14.40</b>	<b>9.62</b>								
Bertie	16,557	1,810	1,074	490	23	30	29	53	135	142	0	142	7			0
	<b>Bertie Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>1.37</b>	<b>16.81</b>	<b>27.29</b>	<b>107.72</b>								
Bladen	26,498	2,859	1,527	601	37	84	42	22	184	194	0	194	10			0
	<b>Bladen Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>1.39</b>	<b>29.29</b>	<b>27.21</b>	<b>36.43</b>								
Brunswick	91,285	19,589	9,615	2,440	54	92	119	126	390	644	0	644	254			0
	<b>Brunswick Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.59</b>	<b>4.69</b>	<b>12.35</b>	<b>51.53</b>								
Buncombe	201,517	23,426	12,635	6,007	129	234	422	640	1,424	1,753	276	1,477	53			0
	<b>Buncombe Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.64</b>	<b>9.98</b>	<b>33.36</b>	<b>106.56</b>								
Burke	75,810	8,883	4,859	1,913	49	76	148	198	471	556	13	543	72			0
	<b>Burke Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.65</b>	<b>8.58</b>	<b>30.53</b>	<b>103.31</b>								
Cabarrus	176,614	13,342	6,505	2,540	120	152	179	235	686	691	24	667	-19	3%		0
	<b>Cabarrus Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.68</b>	<b>11.37</b>	<b>27.51</b>	<b>92.43</b>								
Caldwell	68,403	8,077	4,142	1,572	44	102	127	92	365	400	0	400	35			0
	<b>Caldwell Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.65</b>	<b>12.57</b>	<b>30.62</b>	<b>58.59</b>								
Camden**	7,908	920	510	213	5	7	14	19	45	**40	-40	40	-5	10%	NA	10
	<b>Camden Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>	<b>NA</b>								
Carteret*	50,794	9,437	5,157	1,958	19	36	82	164	301	424	0	424	123			0
	<b>Carteret Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.38</b>	<b>3.80</b>	<b>15.98</b>	<b>83.65</b>								
Caswell	18,981	2,421	1,206	517	13	29	56	48	146	157	0	157	11			0
	<b>Caswell Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.69</b>	<b>11.81</b>	<b>46.35</b>	<b>93.17</b>								
Catawba	142,642	14,242	7,060	2,774	70	152	148	346	716	759	110	649	-67	9%		0
	<b>Catawba Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.49</b>	<b>10.67</b>	<b>21.02</b>	<b>124.69</b>								
Chatham	56,137	6,435	3,725	1,980	68	43	93	177	381	420	65	355	-26	7%		0
	<b>Chatham Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>1.22</b>	<b>6.62</b>	<b>25.02</b>	<b>89.46</b>								
Cherokee	21,069	4,299	2,479	945	12	29	38	102	181	210	0	210	29			0
	<b>Cherokee Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.55</b>	<b>6.86</b>	<b>15.47</b>	<b>107.76</b>								
Chowan	12,236	1,485	908	444	16	21	37	50	124	170	0	170	46			0
	<b>Chowan Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>1.31</b>	<b>14.22</b>	<b>40.76</b>	<b>112.83</b>								
Clay	7,961	1,842	1,145	532	9	12	27	10	58	90	0	90	32			0
	<b>Clay Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>1.15</b>	<b>6.36</b>	<b>23.71</b>	<b>18.47</b>								
Cleveland	85,156	9,248	4,625	1,844	66	109	178	207	559	554	10	544	-15	3%		0
	<b>Cleveland Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.77</b>	<b>11.76</b>	<b>38.38</b>	<b>112.29</b>								
Columbus	48,802	5,135	2,677	947	45	83	78	92	299	323	0	323	24			0
	<b>Columbus Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.92</b>	<b>16.23</b>	<b>29.30</b>	<b>97.59</b>								
Craven*	81,568	8,471	5,102	1,978	74	95	126	179	474	461	0	461	-13	3%		0



**Table 10B: Nursing Care Bed Need Projections for 2014 (2011 Plan)  
By Individual County(s) Scenario**

County	Projected 2014 Population				Projected 2014 Bed Utilization				Projected Bed Utilization Sum	Licensed Plus Prev. Allocations	Exclu- sions	Planning Inventory	Surplus/ "-" = Deficit	Deficit Index	Occupancy Rate </>90	New Beds Needed	
	Under Age 65*	Age 65-74	Age 75-84	Age 85 up	<65	65-74	75-84	85+									
Jackson	32,851	4,407	2,300	841	1	4	20	26	51	200	0	200	149			0	
	<b>Jackson Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.03</b>	<b>1.00</b>	<b>8.76</b>	<b>30.50</b>									
Johnston	174,460	12,997	5,680	2,002	89	65	234	211	599	615	0	615	16			0	
	<b>Johnston Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.51</b>	<b>4.99</b>	<b>41.22</b>	<b>105.53</b>									
Jones	8,266	1016	578	298	15	10	26	16	67	80	0	80	13			0	
	<b>Jones Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>1.87</b>	<b>9.64</b>	<b>44.22</b>	<b>54.77</b>									
Lee	54,253	4,875	2,505	1,110	17	26	75	133	251	294	0	294	43			0	
	<b>Lee Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.32</b>	<b>5.32</b>	<b>30.03</b>	<b>119.80</b>									
Lenoir	47,148	5,265	2,745	945	16	62	79	151	308	307	0	307	-1	0%		0	
	<b>Lenoir Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.33</b>	<b>11.82</b>	<b>28.85</b>	<b>159.34</b>									
Lincoln	70,443	7,149	3,287	1,060	4	13	41	62	120	300	0	300	180			0	
	<b>Lincoln Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.06</b>	<b>1.78</b>	<b>12.49</b>	<b>58.12</b>									
Macon	27,555	5,059	3,375	1,400	25	9	39	119	192	284	0	284	92			0	
	<b>Mason Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.92</b>	<b>1.77</b>	<b>11.70</b>	<b>84.78</b>									
Madison	17,315	2,356	1,296	520	16	12	43	97	167	180	0	180	13			0	
	<b>Madison Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.91</b>	<b>4.92</b>	<b>33.42</b>	<b>185.75</b>									
Martin	19,556	2,391	1,261	373	25	45	39	55	165	154	0	154	-11	7%		0	
	<b>Martin Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>1.29</b>	<b>18.77</b>	<b>31.20</b>	<b>148.15</b>									
McDowell	38,182	4,473	2,534	952	26	35	67	65	194	250	0	250	56			0	
	<b>McDowell Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.69</b>	<b>7.93</b>	<b>26.37</b>	<b>68.62</b>									
Mecklenburg	871,331	60,413	25,824	12,099	392	439	739	1115	2,685	3,280	334	2,946	261			0	
	<b>Mecklenburg Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.45</b>	<b>7.27</b>	<b>28.60</b>	<b>92.17</b>									
Mitchell	12,738	1,953	1,144	477	12	18	28	47	105	127	0	127	22			0	
	<b>Mitchell Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.93</b>	<b>9.23</b>	<b>24.52</b>	<b>98.62</b>									
Montgomery	23,997	2,624	1,410	665	6	14	36	45	102	141	0	141	39			0	
	<b>Montgomery Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.26</b>	<b>5.34</b>	<b>25.87</b>	<b>68.40</b>									
Moore*	70,556	11,226	7,677	3,930	101	54	169	276	600	730	145	585	-15	2%		0	
	<b>Moore Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>1.43</b>	<b>4.83</b>	<b>22.00</b>	<b>70.16</b>									
Nash	87,495	8,753	4,179	1,750	65	87	120	151	423	478	0	478	55			0	
	<b>Nash Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.74</b>	<b>9.98</b>	<b>28.70</b>	<b>86.34</b>									
New Hanover	171,404	18,918	10,086	4,158	111	110	191	423	835	1,039	41	998	163			0	
	<b>New Hanover Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.65</b>	<b>5.83</b>	<b>18.90</b>	<b>101.77</b>									
Northampton	16,917	2,008	1,227	658	16	71	46	52	184	149	0	149	-35	19%	<90%	0	
	<b>Northampton Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.93</b>	<b>35.18</b>	<b>37.55</b>	<b>78.90</b>									
Onslow*	146,973	9,017	4,968	1,467	43	39	83	109	273	359	0	359	86			0	
	<b>Onslow Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.29</b>	<b>4.27</b>	<b>16.64</b>	<b>74.49</b>									
Orange	123,949	9,892	4,246	1,993	43	28	77	166	315	481	24	457	142			0	
	<b>Orange Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.35</b>	<b>2.80</b>	<b>18.20</b>	<b>83.47</b>									
Pamlico	9,704	1,619	1,086	473	2	8	12	67	90	96	0	96	6			0	
	<b>Pamlico Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.25</b>	<b>5.20</b>	<b>11.34</b>	<b>141.52</b>									
Pasquotank	34,739	3,707	2,208	1,190	25	37	55	105	223	266	89	177	-46	20%	>90%	50	
	<b>Pasquotank Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.72</b>	<b>9.99</b>	<b>24.94</b>	<b>88.58</b>									
Pender	49,669	6,453	3,282	1,178	63	31	74	65	233	253	0	253	20			0	
	<b>Pender Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>1.27</b>	<b>4.82</b>	<b>22.63</b>	<b>55.08</b>									
Perquimans	10,311	1,825	1,037	429	25	13	14	21	73	78	0	78	5			0	
	<b>Perquimans Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>2.46</b>	<b>7.09</b>	<b>13.83</b>	<b>47.97</b>									
Person	33,491	3,576	1,926	752	9	27	47	95	178	200	0	200	22			0	
	<b>Person Utilization Rate =</b>				<b>0.28</b>	<b>7.46</b>	<b>24.39</b>	<b>126.64</b>									
Pitt	156,551	10,855	5,331	2,425	67	56	92	80	295	570	15	555	260			0	



**Table 10B: Nursing Care Bed Need Projections for 2014 (2011 Plan)  
 By Individual County(s) Scenario**

County	Projected 2014 Population				Projected 2014 Bed Utilization				Projected Bed Utilization Sum	Licensed Plus Prev. Allocations	Exclusions	Planning Inventory	Surplus/ "-" = Deficit	Deficit Index	Occupancy Rate </>90	New Beds Needed
	Under Age 65*	Age 65-74	Age 75-84	Age 85 up	<65	65-74	75-84	85+								
Yadkin	32,108	3,705	2,028	759	14	44	56	120	234	223	0	223	-11	5%		0
<b>Yadkin Utilization Rate =</b>					<b>0.44</b>	<b>11.86</b>	<b>27.73</b>	<b>158.30</b>								
Yancey	14,721	2,278	1,396	631	31	16	42	24	113	140	0	140	27			0
<b>Yancey Utilization Rate =</b>					<b>2.08</b>	<b>7.14</b>	<b>30.30</b>	<b>38.20</b>								
<b>NC Totals *</b>															<b>Total Need =</b>	<b>280</b>