



North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services
Division of Facility Services
State Medical Facilities Planning Section
2714 Mail Service Center v Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-2714
Division Website: <http://facility-services.state.nc.us>

Michael F. Easley, Governor

Carmen Hooker Odom, Secretary

Robert J. Fitzgerald, Director
Phone: 919-855-3865
Fax: 919-715-4413

MEMORANDUM

TO: Individuals Requesting North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Reports

FROM: Victoria McClanahan, Planner *VM*
Medical Facilities Planning Section

SUBJECT: **Corrected January 2007 North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Report**

DATE: January 5, 2007

The Medical Facilities Planning Section, Division of Facility Services, is issuing this "Corrected January 2007 Semiannual Dialysis Report (SDR)" to correct errors, described below, on Table A and Table B.

On Table A, the date in "Number of Dialysis Stations" statement in the top row should be **12/11/06**. This date is missing on page 7 of the Table and is shown as 6/26/06 on pages 8-11. The corrected statement on pages 7-11 of the report now reads: **Number of Dialysis Stations as of 12/11/06**. (*Note the column header has changed but the data have not.*)

On Table B, the formula used to determine "Projected 6/30/07 Total Patients" was applied to the data incorrectly. The formula was applied to 12/31/05 Total Patients instead of to 6/30/06 Total Patients. **The 6/30/06 Total Patients data have not been changed.** However, the incorrect application of the formula resulted in errors calculating some data to the right of "6/30/06 Total Patients". Therefore, the methodology was not applied correctly and this changed determination of some facilities' Projected Surpluses and Deficits.

Correct application of the formula has changed the County Need Determination. Applying the methodology correctly has resulted in **determination of need for 10 stations in Polk County**. No other County Need Determinations have been changed.

THE "CORRECTED JANUARY 2007 SDR" SUPERCEDES THE PREVIOUSLY ISSUED REPORT.

Enclosure

cc: Members of the State Health Coordinating Council
Bob Fitzgerald
Elizabeth Brown
Lee Hoffman
Jeff Horton



Location: 701 Barbour Drive v Dorothea Dix Hospital Campus v Raleigh, N.C. 27603
An Equal Opportunity / Affirmative Action Employer



CORRECTED VERSION
ISSUED JANUARY 5, 2007



NORTH CAROLINA STATE HEALTH COORDINATING COUNCIL
MEDICAL FACILITIES PLANNING SECTION
DIVISION OF FACILITY SERVICES
NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Corrected Version
January 2007 North Carolina
Semiannual Dialysis Report

Issued January 5, 2007

Prepared by

Victoria McClanahan, Planner
Medical Facilities Planning Section
Division of Facility Services
NC Department of Health and Human Services

Under the direction of the

North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council

For information or copies, contact the

Medical Facilities Planning Section
Division of Facility Services
2714 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-2714
(919) 855 - 3865 *Telephone Number*
(919) 715 - 4413 *FAX Number*



The North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in employment or the provision of service

END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE DIALYSIS FACILITIES

January 2007 Semiannual Dialysis Report

Introduction

The 2007 State Medical Facilities Plan requires semiannual determination of need for new dialysis stations in North Carolina. This approach calls for publication of "Semiannual Dialysis Reports" (SDR) during January and July. The 2007 Plan specifies that the Semiannual Dialysis Reports "...will use facility, station and active patient data as of June 30, 2006 for the 'January 2007 SDR,' and as of December 31, 2006 for the 'July 2007 SDR.' A new five-year trend line will be established in the 'July 2007 SDR,' based on validated data as reported to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) for the time period ending December 31, 2006." This document is the "January 2007 SDR." It reiterates the methodology and presents need determinations for the Certificate of Need Review beginning April 1, 2007.

Summary of Dialysis Station Supply and Utilization

For purposes of the Semiannual Dialysis Report, as of December 11, 2006 there were 147 End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) dialysis facilities certified and operating in North Carolina (*i.e., facilities reporting patient data via the Southeastern Kidney Council*), providing a total of 3,550 dialysis stations. Certificates of need had been issued for an additional 207 dialysis stations, but the stations were not yet certified. Another 141 dialysis stations had been requested, but had not completed the certificate of need review and appeals process. The number of facilities per county ranged from zero to 12

Utilization data as of June 30, 2006 are presented in the final two columns of Table A. Of the 147 certified facilities operational on that date, 77 were at or above 80% utilization (*i.e., operating with at least 3.2 patients per station*).

Sources of Data

Inventory Data:

Data on the current number of dialysis facilities and stations were obtained from the Certificate of Need Section and from the Licensure and Certification Section, Division of Facility Services, N. C. Department of Health and Human Services.

Dialysis Patient Data:

Data on the dialysis population by county and by facility as of June 30, 2006 were provided by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) through the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. (SEKC) and the Mid-Atlantic Renal Coalition, Inc.

County Data are designed to include all North Carolina residents of each county who are receiving dialysis, regardless of where they are currently being served. The numbers of North Carolina patients being served in North Carolina, Georgia and South Carolina as of June 30, 2006 were provided by the SEKC on November 14, 2006. The SEKC noted that data in their report were based upon information provided to the SEKC by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and were represented to the SEKC as current as of November 14, 2006. The SEKC stated that these data are subject to change (*SEKC Disclaimer attached as Appendix A*). County totals from the SEKC were supplemented by data from the Mid-Atlantic Renal Coalition indicating the number of patients residing in North Carolina counties and receiving dialysis in Virginia. Data for December 31st of 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004 and 2005 have been provided by the same sources for the five-year trend analysis.

Facility Data include all patients being served by each provider as of June 30, 2006 regardless of the county or state of each patient's residence. These figures were also provided by the SEKC on November 14, 2006. Again, the SEKC noted that these figures reflect data provided to it by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and were represented to the SEKC as current as of November 14, 2006. The SEKC also stated that these figures are subject to change.

Method for Projection of New Dialysis Station Need

The 2007 State Medical Facilities Plan (SMFP) directs the Medical Facilities Planning Section to "...determine need for new dialysis stations two times each calendar year, and...make a report of such determinations available to all who request it." The basic principles, methodology and timeline to be used were specified in the 2007 SMFP and are presented below:

Basic Principles

The principles underlying projection of need for additional dialysis stations are as follows:

1. Increases in the number of facilities or stations should be done to meet the specific need for either a new facility or an expansion.
2. New facilities must have a projected need for at least 10 stations (or 32 patients at 3.2 patients per station) to be cost effective and to assure quality of care.
3. The Medical Facilities Planning Section will maintain a list of existing facilities and stations, utilization rates and projected need by county that is up-dated semiannually. Updated projections will be available two times a year on a published schedule. Existing or potential providers interested in expanding in any area of the State may contact the Medical Facilities Planning Section for projected need in the area of interest. (*Note: A dialysis station's service area is the dialysis*

station planning area in which the dialysis station is located. Except for the Cherokee-Clay-Graham Multi-County Planning Area and the new Avery-Mitchell-Yancey Multi-County Planning Area, each of the 94 remaining counties is a separate dialysis station planning area.)

4. Updates of the projections may target counties that have developed sufficient need to warrant consideration for facility expansion or for establishment of a new facility. Actual numbers are not published in the Plan so they can be updated as appropriate by the Medical Facilities Planning Section.
5. Home patients will not be included in the determination of need for new stations. Home patients include those that receive hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis in their home.
6. No existing facility may expand unless its utilization is 80% or greater. Any facility at 80% utilization or greater may apply to expand.
7. Facilities reporting no patients through the Southeastern Kidney Council for four consecutive Semiannual Dialysis Reports, beginning from March 1997, will be excluded from future inventories.
8. Quality of Care: All facilities should comply with Medicare and Medicaid regulations relating to the delivery and certification of ESRD services and with relevant North Carolina statutory provisions. An applicant already involved in the provision of end-stage renal disease services should provide evidence that care of high quality has been provided in the past. The following are considered indicators of quality of care and existing providers proposing to expand their operations should include in their applications data which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - a. utilization rates
 - b. morbidity and mortality rates
 - c. numbers of patients that are home trained and patients on home dialysis
 - d. number of patients receiving transplants
 - e. number of patients currently on the transplant waiting list
 - f. hospital admission rates
 - g. conversion rates for patients who have acquired hepatitis or AIDS
9. Availability of Manpower and Ancillary/Support Services: The applicant should show evidence of the availability of qualified staff and other health manpower and management for the provision of quality ESRD services as well as the availability of a safe and adequate water supply, provision for treatment of wastewater discharge and a standing electrical service with backup capabilities.

10. **Patient Access to In-Center ESRD Services:** As a means of making ESRD services more accessible to patients, one of the goals of the N. C. Department of Health and Human Services is to minimize patient travel time to and from the center. Therefore,
 - a. End-stage renal disease treatment should be provided in North Carolina such that patients who require renal dialysis are able to be served in a facility no farther than 30 miles from the patients' homes.
 - b. In areas where it is apparent that patients are currently traveling more than 30 miles for in-center dialysis, favorable consideration should be given to proposed new facilities which would serve patients who are farthest away from existing, operational or approved facilities.

11. **Transplantation Services:** Transplantation services should be available to, and a priority for, all ESRD patients whose conditions make them suitable candidates for this treatment. New enrollees should meet with and have access to a transplantation representative to provide patient education and evaluation for transplantation.

12. **Availability of Dialysis Care:** The N. C. State Health Coordinating Council encourages applicants for dialysis stations to provide or arrange for:
 - a. Home training and backup for patients suitable for home dialysis in the ESRD dialysis facility or in a facility that is a reasonable distance from the patient's residence;
 - b. ESRD dialysis service availability at times that do not interfere with ESRD patients' work schedules;
 - c. Services in rural, remote areas.

Methodology

Need for new dialysis stations shall be determined as follows:

- (1) *County Need (for the January 2007 SDR – Using the trend line ending with 12/31/05 data)*
 - (A) The average annual rate (%) of change in total number of dialysis patients resident in each county from the end of 2001 to the end of 2005 is multiplied by the county's June 30, 2006 total number of patients in the SDR, and the product is added to each county's most recent total number of patients reported in the SDR. The sum is the county's projected total June 30, 2007 patients.
 - (B) The percent of each county's total patients who were home dialysis patients on June 30, 2006 is multiplied by the county's projected total June 30, 2007 patients, and the

product is subtracted from the county's projected total June 30, 2007 patients. The remainder is the county's projected June 30, 2007 in-center dialysis patients.

- (C) The projected number of each county's June 30, 2007 in-center patients is divided by 3.2. The quotient is the projection of the county's June 30, 2007 in-center dialysis stations.
- (D) From each county's projected number of June 30, 2007 in-center stations is subtracted the county's number of stations certified for Medicare, CON-approved and awaiting certification, awaiting resolution of CON appeals, and the number represented by need determinations in previous State Medical Facilities Plans or Semiannual Dialysis Reports for which CON decisions have not been made. The remainder is the county's June 30, 2007 projected station surplus or deficit.
- (E) If a county's June 30, 2007 projected station deficit is ten or greater and the January SDR shows that utilization of each dialysis facility in the county is 80% or greater, the June 30, 2007 county station need determination is the same as the June 30, 2007 projected station deficit. If a county's June 30, 2007 projected station deficit is less than ten or if the utilization of any dialysis facility in the county is less than 80%, the county's June 30, 2007 station need determination is zero.

(2) Facility Need

A dialysis facility located in a county for which the result of the County Need methodology is zero in the current Semiannual Dialysis Report (SDR) is determined to need additional stations to the extent that:

- (A) Its utilization, reported in the current SDR, is 3.2 patients per station or greater.
- (B) Such need, calculated as follows, is reported in an application for a certificate of need:
 - (i) The facility's number of in-center dialysis patients reported in the previous Dialysis Report (SDR₁) is subtracted from the number of in-center dialysis patients reported in the current SDR (SDR₂). The difference is multiplied by 2 to project the net in-center change for 1 year. Divide the projected net in-center change for the year by the number of in-center patients from SDR₁ to determine the projected annual growth rate.
 - (ii) The quotient from (2)(B)(i) is divided by 12.
 - (iii) The quotient from (2)(B)(ii) is multiplied by 6 (*the number of months from June 30, 2006 until December 31, 2006*) for the January 2, 2007 SDR.

- (iv) The product from (2)(B)(iii) is multiplied by the number of the facility's in-center patients reported in the current SDR and that product is added to such reported number of in-center patients.
 - (v) The sum from (2)(B)(iv) is divided by 3.2, and from the quotient is subtracted the facility's current number of certified stations as recorded in the current SDR and the number of pending new stations for which a certificate of need has been issued. The remainder is the number of stations needed.
- (C) The facility may apply to expand to meet the need established in (2)(B)(v), up to a maximum of ten stations.

[NOTE: "Rounding" to the nearest whole number is allowed only in Step 1(C) and Step 2(B)(v). In these instances, fractions of 0.5000 or greater shall be rounded to the next highest whole number.]

Unless specific "adjusted need determinations" are recommended by the North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council, an application for a certificate of need for additional dialysis stations can be considered consistent with the need determinations of the 2007 State Medical Facilities Plan only if it demonstrates a need by utilizing one of the methods of determining need outlined in Chapter 14.

Timeline

The schedule for publication of the North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Reports and for receipt of certificate of need applications based on each issue of that report in 2007 shall be as follows:

<u>Data for Period Ending</u>	<u>Due Dates for SEKC Report</u>	<u>Publication of SDR</u>	<u>Application Due Dates for CON Applications</u>	<u>Beginning Review Dates</u>
June 30, 2006	Nov. 13, 2006	January 2, 2007	March 15, 2007	April 1, 2007
Dec. 31, 2006	May 14, 2007	July 2, 2007	September 17, 2007	October 1, 2007

Please be advised that 5:30 p.m. on the specified Application Due Date is the filing deadline for any certificate of need application in response to these dialysis reports. This document is the "January 2007 SDR." Applications in response to this report must be received no later than 5:30 p.m. on March 15, 2007. The filing deadline is absolute.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
 (Inventory Compiled 12.11.06; Utilization Rates Calculated for 6.30.06)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 12/11/06				Certified Stations 06/30/06	# In-Center Patients 06/30/06	Utilization Rates		
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending			TOTAL	By Percent	Patients per Station
ALAMANCE	34-2533	BMA of Burlington	Burlington	38	5	0	0	43	38	131	86.2%	3.45
	34-2567	Burlington Dialysis Center	Burlington	27	0	0	0	27	27	63	58.3%	2.33
ALEXANDER												
ALLEGHANY												
ANSON	34-2560	Dialysis Care of Anson County	Wadesboro	13	0	0	0	13	13	47	90.4%	3.62
	n/a	July 2006 County Need Determination		0	0	0	13	13				
ASHE												
EVERY (Now part of the Mitchell - Avery - Yancey Multicounty Service Area)												
BEAUFORT	34-2561	BMA of Pamlico	Washington	25	0	0	0	25	25	67	67.0%	2.68
BERTIE	34-2547	Windsor Dialysis Unit (BMA)	Windsor	16	0	0	0	16	16	50	78.1%	3.13
BLADEN	34-2578	Southeastern Dialysis Center, Inc.	Elizabethtown	21	0	0	0	21	17	55	80.9%	3.24
BRUNSWICK	34-2582	Southeastern Dialysis Center, Inc.	Shalotte	20	0	0	0	20	20	63	78.8%	3.15
BUNCOMBE	34-2506	Asheville Kidney Center	Asheville	36	5	0	0	41	36	131	91.0%	3.64
	34-2628	Swannanoa Dialysis Center	Swannanoa	10	5	0	0	15	10	40	100.0%	4.00
	34-2604	Weaverly Dialysis Center	Weaverly	20	6	0	0	26	20	62	77.5%	3.10
BURKE	34-2563	BMA of Burke County	Morganton	25	0	0	0	25	25	73	73.0%	2.92
CABARRUS	34-2519	Branchview Dialysis (BMA-Concord)	Concord	30	0	0	0	30	30	48	40.0%	1.60
	34-2631	Copperfield Dialysis	Concord	16	0	0	0	16	16	51	79.7%	3.19
CALDWELL	34-2509	BMA-Lenoir	Lenoir	34	0	0	0	34	34	97	71.3%	2.85
CAMDEN												
CARTERET	34-2588	Crystal Coast Dialysis Unit (BMA)	Morehead City	20	0	0	0	20	20	56	70.0%	2.80
CASWELL	34-2597	Renal Care Group - Caswell (BMA)	Yanceyville	10	0	0	0	10	10	26	65.0%	2.60
CATAWBA	34-2516	BMA-Hickory	Hickory	33	0	0	0	33	30	111	92.5%	3.70
	34-2635	FMC Catawba Valley Dialysis	Conover	15	0	0	8	23	15	50	83.3%	3.33
CHATHAM	34-2621	Carolina Dialysis Siler City	Siler City	9	0	0	0	9	9	34	94.4%	3.78
	34-2617	Carolina Dialysis -Pittsboro	Pittsboro	10	0	0	0	10	10	20	50.0%	2.00
Cherokee - Clay - Graham	n/a	Smokey Mountains Dialysis Center	Murphy	0	10	0	0	10				
CHOWAN	34-2541	Edenton Dialysis	Edenton	17	0	0	1	18	17	55	80.9%	3.24
CLAY (Now part of the Cherokee - Clay - Graham Multicounty Service Area)												
CLEVELAND	34-2529	Dialysis Clinic, Inc. (DCI Shelby)	Shelby	36	-1	0	0	35	36	141	97.9%	3.92
	n/a	DCI Boiling Springs *	Boiling Springs	0	10	0	0	10				
	34-2611	DCI Kings Mountain	Kings Mtn.	12	0	0	0	12	12	42	87.5%	3.50
COLUMBUS	34-2521	Southeastern Dialysis Center	Whiteville	26	1	0	0	27	21	78	92.9%	3.71
	34-2628	Chadbourne Dialysis Center	Chadbourne	13	4	0	0	17	13	44	84.6%	3.38

* New site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current locations shown above.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
(Inventory Compiled 12.11.06; Utilization Rates Calculated for 6.30.06)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 12/11/06				Certified Stations 06/30/06	# In-Center Patients 06/30/06	Utilization Rates		
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending			TOTAL	By Percent	Patients per Station
CRAVEN	34-2534	New Bern Dialysis Unit (BMA)	New Bern	39	-2	0	0	37	39	89	57.1%	2.28
	34-2585	FMC Craven County	New Bern	29	0	0	0	29	29	65	56.0%	2.24
CUMBERLAND	34-2510	Fayetteville Kidney Center Inc. (BMA)	Fayetteville	30	0	0	10	40	26	122	117.3%	4.69
	34-2643	FMC Dialysis Services of West Fayetteville	Fayetteville	20	0	0	8	28	15	59	98.3%	3.93
	34-2593	FMC Dialysis Services-North Ramsey (BMA)	Fayetteville	40	0	0	0	40	40	113	70.6%	2.83
	34-2601	FMC Dialysis Services-South Ramsey (BMA)	Fayetteville	48	1	0	1	50	46	157	85.3%	3.41
CURRITUCK												
DARE	34-2598	Dare County/Outer Banks Dialysis Clinic	Nags Head	9	0	0	0	9	9		66.7%	2.67
DAVIDSON	34-2553	Lexington Dialysis Center (WFU)	Lexington	36	0	0	0	36	36	101	70.1%	2.81
	34-2639	Thomasville Dialysis Center	Thomasville	10	2	0	0	12	10	36	90.0%	3.60
DAVIE												
DUPLIN	34-2535	Southeastern Dialysis Center Kenansville	Kenansville	22	-8	0	0	14	22	55	62.5%	2.50
	n/a	Wallace Dialysis Center	Wallace	0	10	0	0	10				
	34-2630	Warsaw Dialysis Center (RAI)	Warsaw	14	0	0	0	14	14	51	91.1%	3.64
	34-2302	Duke University Hospital ESRD Unit	Durham	16	0	0	0	16	16	52	81.3%	3.25
DURHAM	34-2550	Durham Dialysis	Durham	33	0	0	0	33	33	108	81.8%	3.27
	34-2616	Durham-West Dialysis	Durham	20	0	0	0	20	20	76	95.0%	3.80
	34-2538	Freedom Lake Dialysis Center	Durham	26	0	0	0	26	26	81	77.9%	3.12
	34-2590	FMC Dialysis Services of West Feltigrew	Durham	21	3	0	0	24	21	54	64.3%	2.57
	34-2615	FMC Dialysis Services of Briggs Avenue	Durham	27	0	0	0	27	27	75	69.4%	2.78
	34-2577	Dialysis Care of Edgecombe County	Tarboro	20	0	0	5	25	20	71	88.8%	3.55
EDGECOMBE	34-2603	BMA of East Rocky Mount	Rocky Mount	30	0	0	0	30	30	94	78.3%	3.13
	34-2304	N. C. Baptist Hospitals, Inc.	Winston-Salem	4	0	0	0	4	4	1	6.3%	0.25
FORSYTH	34-2505	Piedmont Dialysis	Winston-Salem	53	0	0	7	60	53	193	91.0%	3.64
	34-2612	Northside Dialysis Center (WFU)	Winston-Salem	34	0	0	0	34	34	103	75.7%	3.03
	34-2569	Salem Kidney Center (WFU)	Winston-Salem	72	0	0	0	72	72	207	71.9%	2.88
	34-2571	Dialysis Care of Franklin County	Louisburg	23	0	0	0	23	22	67	76.1%	3.05
GASTON	34-2513	BMA of Gastonia	Gastonia	39	-4	0	0	35	39	147	94.2%	3.77
	n/a	Metrolina Kidney Center - Belmont *	Belmont	0	10	0	0	10				
	34-2595	BMA of Kings Mountain	Kings Mountain	16	0	0	0	16	16	37	57.8%	2.31
GATES	n/a	Gambro Healthcare Gates County	Gatesville	0	10	0	0	10				
GRAHAM (Now part of the Cherokee - Clay - Graham Multicounty Service Area.)												
GRANVILLE	34-2520	FMC Dialysis Serv. Neuse River	Oxford	22	0	0	0	22	22	60	68.2%	2.73
	34-2647	FMC Dialysis Serv. of Oxford *	Oxford	10	0	0	0	10	10	36	90.0%	3.60
GREENE	n/a	Greene County Dialysis Center	Snow Hill	0	10	0	0	10				
GUILFORD	34-2537	BMA of South Greensboro	Greensboro	59	0	0	0	59	59	143	60.6%	2.42
	34-2600	BMA of Southwest Greensboro	Jamestown	31	0	0	0	31	31	80	64.5%	2.58
	34-2504	Kidney Center of Greensboro (BMA)	Greensboro	56	0	0	0	56	49	148	75.5%	3.02
	34-2613	Northwest Greensboro Kidney Center (BMA)	Greensboro	25	0	0	0	25	15	55	91.7%	3.67
	34-2634	FMC of East Greensboro	Greensboro	30	5	0	0	35	30	105	87.5%	3.50
	34-2514	High Point Kidney Center (WFU)	High Point	42	0	0	0	42	42	133	79.2%	3.17
34-2599	Triad Dialysis Center (WFU)	High Point	20	0	0	0	20	20	58	72.5%	2.90	

* New site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current locations shown above.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
(Inventory Compiled 12.11.06; Utilization Rates Calculated for 6.30.06)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 12/1/06				Certified Stations 06/30/06	# In-Center Patients 06/30/06	Utilization Rates		
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending			TOTAL	By Percent	Patients per Station
HALIFAX	34-2542	BMA of Roanoke Rapids	Roanoke Rapids	30	5	0	0	35	30	113	94.2%	3.77
	34-2619	FMC Dialysis of Halifax County (BMA)	Scotland Neck	11	0	0	0	11	11	31	70.5%	2.82
HARNETT	34-2557	Dunn Kidney Center (BMA)	Dunn	30	-5	0	0	25	25	73	73.0%	2.92
	34-2648	FMS Dialysis Services of Lillington	Lillington	10	0	0	0	10	10	21	52.5%	2.10
HAYWOOD	34-2629	Waynesville Dialysis Center	Clyde	17	0	0	0	17	17	51	75.0%	3.00
	34-2584	Hendersonville Dialysis Center, Inc.	Hendersonville	20	4	2	0	26	20	99	123.8%	4.95
HERTFORD	34-2570	Ahoskie Dialysis	Ahoskie	21	0	0	0	21	21	66	78.6%	3.14
	34-2579	Dialysis Care of Hoke County	Raeford	32	-10	0	0	22	32	85	66.4%	2.66
HYDE												
IREDELL	34-2527	Statesville Dialysis Center Inc. (WFLU)	Statesville	26	0	0	-1	25	29	79	68.1%	2.72
	34-2636	West Iredell Dialysis Center	Statesville	16	0	0	2	18	13	50	96.2%	3.85
	34-2606	Lake Norman Dialysis Center (WFLU)	Mooreville	22	0	0	3	25	22	79	89.8%	3.59
JACKSON	34-2556	Sylva Dialysis Center	Sylva	18	0	0	0	18	18	43	59.7%	2.39
JOHNSTON	34-2545	FMC Four Oaks (BMA)	Four Oaks	19	0	0	0	19	19	58	76.3%	3.05
	34-2572	Johnston Dialysis Center, Inc. (BMA)	Smithfield	25	0	0	0	25	25	70	70.0%	2.80
	n/a	Clayton Dialysis Center	Clayton	0	10	0	0	10				
JONES	34-2625	FMC Dialysis Services of Jones County	Trenton	10	0	0	0	10	10	19	47.5%	1.90
LEE	34-2620	Carolina Dialysis Sanford (UNC)	Sanford	31	0	0	0	31	24	107	111.5%	4.46
	34-2518	Kinston Dialysis Unit (BMA Kinston)	Kinston	39	0	0	0	39	39	115	73.7%	2.95
LENOIR	34-2609	FMC Vernon Dialysis	Kinston	24	0	0	0	24	24	65	67.7%	2.71
	34-2588	BMA of Lincolnton	Lincolnton	17	8	0	0	25	17	58	85.3%	3.41
MCDOWELL	34-2645	McDowell Dialysis Center	Marion	9	0	1	0	10	9	39	108.3%	4.33
MACON												
MADISON												
MARTIN	34-2584	Dialysis Care of Martin County	Williamston	23	0	0	0	23	23	48	52.2%	2.09
MECKLENBURG	34-2554	BMA-West Charlotte	Charlotte	29	0	0	0	29	29	85	73.3%	2.93
	34-2581	BMA of Beatties Ford (Metrolina)	Charlotte	26	0	0	6	32	26	91	87.5%	3.50
	34-2549	BMA of North Charlotte	Charlotte	28	0	0	0	28	28	83	74.1%	2.96
	34-2306	Carolina's Medical Center	Charlotte	9	0	0	0	9	9	3	8.3%	0.33
	34-2523	South Charlotte Dialysis	Matthews	17	0	0	0	17	17	65	95.6%	3.82
	34-2552	RAI Care Centers - Charlotte	Charlotte	24	0	0	-10	14	24	63	65.6%	2.63
	n/a	RAI Care Centers - Huntersville*	Huntersville	0	0	0	10	10				
	34-2591	RAI Care Centers - Mecklenburg/University	Charlotte	24	0	0	0	24	20	84	105.0%	4.20
	34-2548	Charlotte Dialysis	Charlotte	29	-6	0	8	31	29	108	93.1%	3.72
	34-2627	Charlotte East Dialysis	Charlotte	16	0	0	0	16	16	65	101.6%	4.06
	n/a	North Charlotte Dialysis Center*	Charlotte	0	10	0	0	10				
	34-2503	BMA of Charlotte	Charlotte	46	0	0	0	46	36	141	97.9%	3.92
34-2605	BMA of East Charlotte	Charlotte	20	5	0	0	25	20	71	88.8%	3.55	
34-2594	BMA of Nations Ford	Charlotte	17	0	0	0	20	17	61	89.7%	3.59	

* New site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current location(s) shown above.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
(Inventory Compiled 12.11.06; Utilization Rates Calculated for 6.30.06)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 12/11/06				Certified Stations 06/30/06	# In-Center Patients 06/30/06	Utilization Rates	
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending			TOTAL	Percent
MONTGOMERY	n/a	Mayland Dialysis Center	Spruce Pine	0	9	0	0	9			
	34-2583	Dialysis Care of Montgomery County	Biscoe	14	0	0	0	14	14	42	75.0%
MOORE	34-2555	Dialysis Care of Pinehurst (Moore Cnty.)	Pinehurst	33	0	0	0	33	33	93	70.5%
	34-2638	Southern Pines Dialysis Center	Southern Pines	10	0	0	2	12	10	35	87.5%
NASH	34-2517	Rocky Mount Kidney Center (BMA)	Rocky Mount	42	0	0	0	42	42	149	88.7%
	34-2644	FMC Dialysis Services of Spring Hope	Spring Hope	10	0	0	0	10	10	15	37.5%
NEW HANOVER	34-2511	Southeastern Dialysis Center, Inc.	Wilmington	49	0	0	0	49	49	169	86.2%
NORTHAMPTON	34-2586	Rich Square Dialysis Unit (BMA Northampton)	Rich Square	14	0	0	0	14	14	43	76.8%
ONSLow	34-2532	Southeastern Dialysis Ctr. Jacksonville	Jacksonville	35	0	0	0	35	35	124	88.6%
ORANGE	34-2622	Carolina Dialysis Carrboro (UNC) (x 34-3503)	Carrboro	36	0	0	0	36	36	89	61.8%
PAMLICO											
PASQUOTANK	34-2515	Elizabeth City Dialysis	Elizabeth City	16	3	0	2	21	16	61	95.3%
PENDER	34-2558	Southeastern Dialysis Center, Inc.	Burgaw	18	-2	0	4	20	18	64	88.9%
PERSON	34-2562	Roxboro Dialysis	Roxboro	23	1	0	0	24	23	79	85.9%
PITT	34-2502	Greenville Dialysis Center (BMA)	Greenville	48	0	0	0	48	48	149	77.6%
	34-2632	FMC Care of Ayden	Ayden	10	0	0	0	10	10	29	72.5%
	34-2596	FMC Dialysis of East Carolina Univ.	Greenville	38	0	0	0	38	38	130	85.5%
POLK											
RANDOLPH	34-2524	Bio-Medical Applications of Asheboro	Asheboro	27	0	0	0	27	27	76	70.4%
RICHMOND	34-2539	Dialysis Care of Richmond County	Hartlet	26	6	0	0	32	26	96	92.3%
ROBESON	34-2528	Lumberton Dialysis Unit (BMA)	Lumberton	38	0	-6	0	32	38	106	69.7%
	34-2623	FMC Dialysis Services of Robeson County	Fairmont	15	3	0	0	18	15	56	93.3%
	34-2607	BMA of Red Springs	Red Springs	20	0	-4	2	18	20	64	80.0%
	n/a	BMA of St. Pauls*	St. Pauls	0	0	10	0	10			
	n/a	Dialysis Care of Saint Pauls**	St. Pauls	0	10	0	0	10			
ROCKINGHAM	34-2536	Dialysis Care of Rockingham County	Eden	18	0	0	2	20	18	62	86.1%
	34-2624	Madison Dialysis Center	Madison	10	0	0	0	10	10	20	50.0%
	34-2640	Reidsville Dialysis Center	Reidsville	18	0	0	0	18	18	47	65.3%
	34-2641	Rockingham Kidney Center (BMA)	Reidsville	10	0	5	0	15	10	37	92.5%
ROWAN	34-2546	Dialysis Care of Rowan County	Salisbury	27	1	0	0	28	26	86	82.7%
	34-2592	Dialysis Care of Kannapolis/Rowan	Kannapolis	25	0	0	0	25	15	48	80.0%
RUTHERFORD	34-2566	Dialysis Care of Rutherford County	Forest City	30	0	0	0	30	24	84	87.5%
SAMPSON	34-2559	BMA of Clinton	Clinton	39	0	0	0	39	39	114	73.1%
SCOTLAND	34-2540	BMA of Laurinburg	Laurinburg	34	0	0	0	29	31	116	93.5%
	n/a	FMC of Scotland County	Laurinburg	0	0	0	12	12			3.74

* New site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current location(s) shown above.
** Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations is shown with "Dialysis Care of Hoke County" in Hoke County.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
 (Inventory Compiled 12.11.06; Utilization Rates Calculated for 6.30.06)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 12/11/06				Certified Stations 06/30/06	# In-Center Patients 06/30/06	Utilization Rates	
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending			TOTAL	By Percent
STANLY	34-2565	BMA of Albemarle	Albemarle	18	0	0	0	18	63	87.5%	3.50
	34-2633	King Dialysis Center	King	11	0	0	0	11	35	79.5%	3.18
SURRY	34-2551	Mt. Airy Dialysis Center (WFU)	Mt. Airy	20	4	0	0	24	69	86.3%	3.45
	34-2614	Elkin Dialysis Center (WFU)	Elkin	19	-4	0	0	15	61	80.3%	3.21
SWAIN	34-2602	Cherokee Dialysis Center	Cherokee	14	4	0	0	18	63	112.5%	4.50
TRANSYLVANIA											
TYRELL											
UNION	34-2525	Metrolina Kidney Center (BMA Monroe)	Monroe	21	0	0	0	21	71	84.5%	3.38
	34-2526	Union County Dialysis	Monroe	24	-10	0	10	24	103	107.3%	4.29
	n/a	Marshville Dialysis Center*	Marshville	0	10	0	0	10			
VANCE	34-2543	Vance County Dialysis	Henderson	33	-15	0	8	26	140	106.1%	4.24
	n/a	Gambro Healthcare-Henderson West *	Henderson	0	17	0	0	17			
WAKE	34-2544	Cary Kidney Center (BMA)	Cary	28	-1	0	0	27	111	99.1%	3.96
	n/a	FMS Dialysis Services of Apex *	Apex	0	10	0	0	10			
	34-2512	BMA of Raleigh Dialysis	Raleigh	43	-1	0	0	42	163	94.8%	3.79
	34-2646	FMC New Hope Dialysis	Raleigh	20	10	0	0	30	62	77.5%	3.10
	34-2608	BMA of Fuquay Varina	Fuquay-Varina	25	0	0	0	25	61	89.7%	3.59
	34-2589	Zebulon Kidney Center (BMA)	Zebulon	23	7	0	0	30	73	79.3%	3.17
	34-2522	Wake Dialysis Clinic	Raleigh	47	0	0	-12	35	178	94.7%	3.79
	n/a	FMC Eastern Wake *	Rolesville	0	10	0	0	10			
	n/a	FMS Dialysis Services of Six Forks *	Raleigh	0	10	0	0	10			
	34-2642	Southwest Wake County Dialysis	Raleigh	15	0	10	2	27	60	100.0%	4.00
n/a	DeVita Dialysis of Wake Forest	Wake Forest	0	10	0	0	10				
WARREN	34-2610	FMC Dialysis Services of Warren Hills	Warrenton	15	0	0	3	18	50	83.3%	3.33
WASHINGTON	34-2618	FMC Dialysis Services of Plymouth (BMA)	Plymouth	11	0	0	0	11	29	65.9%	2.64
WATAUGA	34-2311	Watauga Kidney Dialysis Center	Boone	13	1	0	0	14	41	78.8%	3.15
	34-2531	Goldsboro Dialysis	Goldsboro	25	0	0	7	32	95	95.0%	3.80
WAYNE	34-2587	Goldsboro South Dialysis	Goldsboro	21	0	0	0	21	62	73.8%	2.95
	34-2573	Mount Olive Dialysis	Mount Olive	11	0	0	0	11	47	106.8%	4.27
	34-2576	RAI Care Centers - Goldsboro	Goldsboro	11	0	0	0	11	35	79.5%	3.18
WILKES	34-2313	Wilkes Regional Dialysis Center	N. Wilkesboro	10	6	0	0	16	38	95.0%	3.80
WILSON	34-2507	Wilson Dialysis	Wilson	26	5	0	0	31	102	98.1%	3.92
	34-2637	Forest Hills Dialysis	Forest Hills	20	0	0	0	20	68	85.0%	3.40
YADKIN	n/a	Yadkin Dialysis Center	Yadkinville	0	10	0	0	10			
YANCEY (Now part of the Mitchell - Avery - Yancey Multicounty Service Area.)											
STATE TOTALS				3,550	207	18	123	3,898	153,199		160,887

* Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current location shown above.

Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by County

COUNTY	12/31/2001 Total Patients	12/31/2002 Total Patients	12/31/2003 Total Patients	12/31/2004 Total Patients	12/31/2005 Total Patients	Average Annual Change Rate for Past Five Years	6/30/2006 Total Patients	6/30/2007 Total Patients	6/30/2006 Home Patients	% Home Patients	6/30/2006 Home Patients	6/30/2007 Home Patients	Projected 6/30/2007 In-Center Patients	Projected 6/30/2007 In-Center Patients	Projected 6/30/2007 In-Center Station Utilization	Total Available Stations	Projected Station Deficit (or Surplus)	County Station Need Determination
Alamance	179	177	180	186	197	0.025	196	202.9	19	9.6%	19	19.5	183.4	183.4	57	70	Surplus of 13	0
Alexander	23	23	21	21	18	-0.057	21	19.8	2	9.5%	2	1.9	17.9	17.9	6	6	6	0
Alleghany	7	9	6	10	4	0.005	4	4.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0	4.0	4.0	1	0	1	0
Anson	57	67	59	65	78	0.089	82	89.3	4	4.9%	4	4.4	85.0	85.0	27	26	1	0
Ashe	8	12	15	11	16	0.234	13	16.0	5	38.5%	5	6.2	9.9	9.9	3	0	3	0
Avery*	13	12	12	8	11	-0.009	14	13.9	1	7.1%	1	1.0	12.9	12.9	4	0	4	0
Beaufort	82	84	90	86	92	0.030	86	88.6	12	14.0%	12	12.4	76.2	76.2	24	25	Surplus of 1	0
Bertie	50	56	56	62	71	0.093	67	73.2	5	7.5%	5	5.5	67.8	67.8	21	16	5	0
Bladen	60	65	57	59	63	0.016	59	59.9	0	0.0%	0	0.0	59.9	59.9	19	21	Surplus of 2	0
Brunswick	66	63	76	78	96	0.104	100	110.4	15	15.0%	15	16.6	93.9	93.9	29	20	9	0
Buncombe	196	211	234	225	231	0.043	234	244.2	26	11.1%	26	27.1	217.0	217.0	68	82	Surplus of 14	0
Burke	78	77	70	78	82	0.015	89	90.4	6	6.7%	6	6.1	84.3	84.3	26	25	1	0
Cabarrus	152	142	131	152	146	-0.006	152	151.1	21	13.8%	21	20.9	130.3	130.3	41	46	Surplus of 5	0
Caldwell	100	109	119	109	103	0.011	109	110.2	5	4.6%	5	5.1	105.1	105.1	33	34	Surplus of 1	0
Camden	15	15	11	11	8	-0.135	9	7.8	5	55.6%	5	4.3	3.5	3.5	1	0	1	0
Carteret	45	49	61	60	56	0.063	55	58.4	2	3.6%	2	2.1	56.3	56.3	18	20	Surplus of 2	0
Caswell	29	33	38	30	29	0.011	28	26.3	2	7.7%	2	2.0	24.3	24.3	8	10	Surplus of 2	0
Catawba	128	129	145	149	159	0.057	160	169.1	22	13.8%	22	23.2	145.8	145.8	46	56	Surplus of 10	0
Chatham	54	52	45	50	61	0.040	61	63.4	5	8.2%	5	5.2	58.2	58.2	18	19	Surplus of 1	0
Cherokee**	10	13	14	12	27	0.371	26	35.6	4	15.4%	4	5.5	30.2	30.2	9	10	Surplus of 1	0
Chowan	29	29	32	36	35	0.050	34	35.7	6	17.6%	6	6.3	29.4	29.4	9	18	Surplus of 9	0
Clay**	7	9	9	2	6	0.377	8	11.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0	11.0	11.0	3	0	3	0
Cleveland	151	145	169	185	196	0.070	204	218.3	16	7.8%	16	17.1	201.2	201.2	63	57	6	0
Columbus	101	103	100	103	133	0.078	128	138.0	5	3.9%	5	5.4	132.6	132.6	41	44	Surplus of 3	0
Craven	153	146	152	146	150	-0.004	150	149.4	7	4.7%	7	7.0	142.4	142.4	45	66	Surplus of 21	0
Cumberland	406	408	408	422	486	0.048	480	502.9	31	6.5%	31	32.5	470.4	470.4	147	158	Surplus of 11	0
Curruck	11	15	17	14	17	0.134	16	18.1	5	31.3%	5	5.7	12.5	12.5	4	0	4	0
Dare	17	19	20	27	27	0.130	30	33.9	6	20.0%	6	6.8	27.1	27.1	8	9	Surplus of 1	0
Davidson	153	136	139	159	178	0.044	175	182.6	22	12.6%	22	23.0	159.7	159.7	50	48	2	0
Davie	23	26	23	20	24	0.021	25	25.5	4	16.0%	4	4.1	21.4	21.4	7	0	7	0
Duplin	118	124	130	129	122	0.009	128	129.2	9	7.0%	9	9.1	120.1	120.1	38	38	0	0
Durham	391	409	435	427	447	0.035	444	459.3	17	3.8%	17	17.6	441.7	441.7	138	146	Surplus of 8	0
Edgecombe	144	166	168	180	183	0.063	195	207.3	21	10.8%	21	22.3	185.0	185.0	58	55	3	0
Forsyth	460	482	501	489	515	0.029	556	572.2	51	9.2%	51	52.5	519.7	519.7	162	170	Surplus of 8	0
Franklin	69	77	84	78	75	0.024	89	91.2	6	6.7%	6	6.1	85.0	85.0	27	23	4	0
Gaston	182	194	202	199	215	0.043	223	232.6	26	11.7%	26	27.1	205.5	205.5	64	61	3	0
Gates	21	24	26	24	25	0.048	21	22.0	0	0.0%	0	0.0	22.0	22.0	7	10	Surplus of 3	0
Graham**	12	9	9	10	13	0.040	14	14.6	0	0.0%	0	0.0	14.6	14.6	5	0	5	0

* Avery, Mitchell and Yancey Counties were grouped as a "multi-county service area" in the 2006 State Medical Facilities Plan.
 ** Cherokee, Clay and Graham Counties were grouped as a "multi-county service area" in the 2005 State Medical Facilities Plan.

Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by County

COUNTY	12/31/2001	12/31/2002	12/31/2003	12/31/2004	12/31/2005	Average Annual Change Rate for Past Five Years	6/30/2006 Total Patients	Projected 6/30/2007 Total Patients	6/30/2006 Home Patients	% Home Patients	Projected 6/30/2007 Home Patients	Projected 6/30/2007 In-Center Patients	Projected 6/30/2007 In-Center Station Utilization	Total Available Stations	Projected Station Deficit or Surplus	County Station Need Determination
Granville	85	92	96	98	100	0.042	105	109.4	7	6.7%	7.3	102.1	32	32	0	0
Greene	33	38	39	37	35	0.018	40	40.7	5	12.5%	5.1	35.6	11	10	1	0
Guilford	621	692	714	731	761	0.053	745	784.3	45	6.0%	47.4	736.9	230	268	Surplus of 38	0
Halifax	115	129	135	148	171	0.105	165	182.3	20	12.1%	22.1	160.2	50	46	4	0
Hamett	103	107	111	127	120	0.041	117	121.8	11	9.4%	11.5	110.4	34	35	Surplus of 1	0
Haywood	41	45	51	47	50	0.054	49	51.6	3	6.1%	3.2	48.5	15	17	Surplus of 2	0
Henderson	62	71	65	73	75	0.053	79	83.2	9	11.4%	9.5	73.7	23	26	Surplus of 3	0
Hertford	58	62	74	71	76	0.073	77	82.6	9	11.7%	9.7	73.0	23	21	2	0
Hoke	65	66	70	69	68	0.012	66	66.8	6	9.1%	6.1	60.7	19	22	Surplus of 3	0
Hyde	14	13	10	9	6	-0.184	6	4.9	0	0.0%	0.0	4.9	2	0	2	0
Iredell	161	140	148	167	174	0.024	183	187.4	21	11.5%	21.5	165.9	52	68	Surplus of 16	0
Jackson	20	13	12	11	18	0.032	22	22.7	2	9.1%	2.1	20.6	6	18	Surplus of 12	0
Johnston	138	155	157	165	161	0.041	175	182.1	16	9.1%	16.7	165.5	52	54	Surplus of 2	0
Jones	23	24	21	24	25	0.026	25	25.6	0	0.0%	0.0	25.6	8	10	Surplus of 2	0
Lee	96	100	91	90	91	-0.012	96	94.8	12	12.5%	11.9	83.0	26	31	Surplus of 5	0
Lenoir	179	190	179	180	182	0.005	183	183.9	9	4.9%	9.0	174.9	55	63	Surplus of 8	0
Lincoln	39	38	44	48	55	0.092	58	63.4	6	10.3%	6.6	56.8	18	25	Surplus of 7	0
Macon	12	15	13	11	16	0.104	18	19.9	2	11.1%	2.2	17.7	6	0	6	0
Madison	7	12	12	13	13	0.199	14	16.8	1	7.1%	1.2	15.6	5	0	5	0
Marlin	77	76	78	73	67	-0.033	63	60.9	8	12.7%	7.7	53.2	17	23	Surplus of 6	0
McDowell	23	31	26	31	37	0.143	41	46.9	5	12.2%	5.7	41.2	13	10	3	0
Mecklenburg	742	813	870	878	959	0.067	986	1051.9	74	7.5%	78.9	972.9	304	311	Surplus of 7	0
Mitchell *	12	7	6	7	8	-0.063	11	10.3	1	9.1%	0.9	9.4	3	9	Surplus of 6	0
Montgomery	40	37	37	45	35	-0.020	41	40.2	0	0.0%	0.0	40.2	13	14	Surplus of 1	0
Moore	115	112	112	116	141	0.056	140	147.9	10	7.1%	10.6	137.3	43	45	Surplus of 2	0
Nash	131	139	128	145	162	0.058	166	175.6	20	12.0%	21.2	154.5	48	52	Surplus of 4	0
New Hanover	149	154	170	167	186	0.058	178	188.4	27	15.2%	28.6	159.8	50	49	1	0
Northampton	59	64	57	67	63	0.023	68	69.5	5	7.4%	5.1	64.4	20	14	6	0
Onslow	110	105	106	106	119	0.022	127	129.8	15	11.8%	15.3	114.4	36	35	1	0
Orange	109	103	111	106	112	0.009	103	103.9	12	11.7%	12.1	91.8	29	36	Surplus of 7	0
Pamlico	14	14	17	16	16	0.039	15	15.6	0	0.0%	0.0	15.6	5	0	5	0
Pasquotank	54	54	54	63	62	0.038	66	68.5	9	13.6%	9.3	59.1	18	21	Surplus of 3	0
Pender	63	62	57	56	66	0.016	75	76.2	11	14.7%	11.2	65.0	20	20	0	0
Perquimans	12	12	17	22	20	0.155	15	17.3	4	26.7%	4.6	12.7	4	0	4	0
Person	69	76	79	82	86	0.057	84	88.8	5	6.0%	5.3	83.5	26	24	2	0
Pitt	241	262	259	263	262	0.022	280	286.1	26	9.3%	26.6	259.5	81	96	Surplus of 15	0
Polk	17	13	16	2	11	0.905	16	30.5	0	0.0%	0.0	30.5	10	0	10	0
Randolph	104	111	106	97	100	-0.008	103	102.2	11	10.7%	10.9	91.3	29	27	2	0

* Avery, Mitchell and Yancey Counties were grouped as a "multi-county service area" in the 2006 State Medical Facilities Plan.

Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by County

COUNTY	12/31/2001 Total Patients	12/31/2002 Total Patients	12/31/2003 Total Patients	12/31/2004 Total Patients	12/31/2005 Total Patients	Average Annual Change Rate for Past Five Years	6/30/2006 Total Patients	Projected 6/30/2007 Total Patients	6/30/2006 Home Patients	% Home Patients	6/30/2006 Home Patients	Projected 6/30/2007 Home Patients	Projected 6/30/2007 In-Center Patients	Projected 6/30/2007 In-Center Station Utilization	Total Available Stations	Projected Station Deficit or Surplus	County Station Need Determination
Richmond	76	75	80	90	104	0.084	100	108.4	9	9.0%	9	9.8	98.6	31	32	Surplus of 1	0
Robeson	255	267	253	267	305	0.048	311	325.9	23	7.4%	23	24.1	301.8	94	88	6	0
Rockingham	144	156	143	144	154	0.019	162	165.1	10	6.2%	10	10.2	154.9	48	63	Surplus of 15	0
Rowan	129	119	129	136	134	0.012	133	134.5	31	23.3%	31	31.4	103.2	32	53	Surplus of 21	0
Rutherford	69	68	78	85	93	0.079	97	104.7	9	9.3%	9	9.7	95.0	30	30	0	0
Sampson	114	118	134	136	129	0.034	129	133.3	4	3.1%	4	4.1	129.2	40	39	1	0
Scotland	61	63	83	91	100	0.136	100	113.6	4	4.0%	4	4.5	109.1	34	41	Surplus of 7	0
Stanly	59	57	61	52	56	-0.009	66	65.4	3	4.5%	3	3.0	62.5	20	18	2	0
Stokes	33	36	34	39	42	0.065	41	43.7	1	2.4%	1	1.1	42.6	13	11	2	0
Surry	62	73	79	77	86	0.088	82	89.2	4	4.9%	4	4.4	84.8	27	39	Surplus of 12	0
Swain	44	37	33	39	43	0.004	45	45.2	2	4.4%	2	2.0	43.2	13	18	Surplus of 5	0
Transylvania	26	22	19	22	21	-0.044	23	22.0	2	8.7%	2	1.9	20.1	6	6	0	0
Tyrrell	2	3	3	4	7	0.396	10	14.0	1	10.0%	1	1.4	12.6	4	4	0	0
Union	105	121	122	121	142	0.082	135	146.0	10	7.4%	10	10.8	135.2	42	55	Surplus of 13	0
Vance	120	126	125	113	132	0.029	132	135.8	5	3.8%	5	5.1	130.6	41	43	Surplus of 2	0
Wake	557	621	649	666	745	0.076	763	821.1	77	10.1%	77	82.9	738.3	231	258	Surplus of 27	0
Warren	38	42	38	52	53	0.099	56	61.6	2	3.6%	2	2.2	59.4	19	18	1	0
Washington	32	34	39	31	34	0.025	33	33.8	5	15.2%	5	5.1	28.7	9	11	Surplus of 2	0
Watauga	17	26	21	25	28	0.162	24	27.9	2	8.3%	2	2.3	25.6	8	14	Surplus of 6	0
Wayne	239	220	218	227	230	-0.009	242	239.9	24	9.9%	24	23.8	216.1	68	75	Surplus of 7	0
Wilkes	46	53	56	60	49	0.024	48	49.2	5	10.4%	5	5.1	44.0	14	16	Surplus of 2	0
Wilson	157	160	161	161	171	0.022	182	186.0	16	8.8%	16	16.3	169.6	53	51	2	0
Yadkin	19	26	27	30	37	0.188	45	53.5	6	13.3%	6	7.1	46.3	14	10	4	0
Yancey*	8	13	13	15	13	0.161	15	17.4	2	13.3%	2	2.3	15.1	5	0	5	0
Unknowns	0	0	0	161	17		0										
State Totals	10,225	10,702	11,010	11,417	12,029		12,260		1,077	9.0%							10

* Avery, Mitchell and Yancey Counties were grouped as a "multi-county service area" in the 2006 State Medical Facilities Plan.

Table C: Need Determinations for New Dialysis Stations by County
 (Based on the "County Need" Methodology -- January, 2007)

County	HSA	Number of New Dialysis Stations Needed	Certificate of Need Application Due Date *	Certificate of Need Beginning Review Date
Polk	I	10	March 15, 2007	April 1, 2007

* Application Due Dates are absolute deadlines. The filing deadline is 5:30 p.m. on the Application Due Date. The filing deadline is absolute.

SEKC Disclaimer

The Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. is a nonprofit organization that contracts with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services for the provision of information-related services with respect to End Stage Renal Disease Network 6. The data in this report are based upon information generated by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and provided by these facilities to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. The information has been represented to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. as current as of November 14, 2006. These data are subject to change without notice.

This report is made available by the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. to the Medical Facilities Planning Section, Division of Facility Services, North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services ("Department") solely for the Department's use. The Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. takes no responsibility for the use by the Department of this report or the information contained in it for any purpose, including but not limited to any dissemination by the Department of the report or any information in it to other agencies or the public, or any use by the Department of the report or any information in it in preparation of the Department's Semiannual Dialysis Reports or any need determinations for dialysis stations.

While the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. strives for as much accuracy as possible, it expressly disclaims any representations or warranties, whether express or implied, to the Department or any other entity or person regarding the accuracy or reliability for any purpose of the information in this report, including but not limited to any information provided to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. by dialysis facilities.