

July 2005 North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Report

Issued July 1, 2005

Prepared by

Jim Keene, Planner

Medical Facilities Planning Section

Division of Facility Services

NC Department of Health and Human Services

Under the direction of the

North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council

For information or copies, contact the

Medical Facilities Planning Section

Division of Facility Services

2714 Mail Service Center

Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-2714

(919) 855 - 3865 *Telephone Number*

(919) 715 - 4413 *FAX Number*



The North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age or disability in employment or the provision of services.



END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE DIALYSIS FACILITIES

July 2005 Semiannual Dialysis Report

Introduction

The 2005 State Medical Facilities Plan requires semiannual determination of need for new dialysis stations in North Carolina. This approach calls for publication of "Semiannual Dialysis Reports" (SDR) during January and July. The 2005 Plan specifies that the Semiannual Dialysis Reports "...will use facility, station and active patient data as of June 30, 2004 for the January 2005 SDR, and as of December 31, 2004 for the July 2005 SDR. A new five-year trend line will be established in the July 2005 SDR, based on validated data as reported to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) for the time period ending December 31, 2004." This document is the July 2005 SDR. It reiterates the methodology and presents need determinations for the Certificate of Need Review beginning October 1, 2005.

Summary of Dialysis Station Supply and Utilization

For purposes of the Semiannual Dialysis Report, as of June 24, 2005 there were 145 End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) dialysis facilities certified and operating in North Carolina (*i.e., facilities reporting patient data via the Southeastern Kidney Council*), providing a total of 3,313 dialysis stations. Certificates of need had been issued for an additional 182 dialysis stations, but the stations were not yet certified. Another 55 dialysis stations had been requested, but had not completed the certificate of need review and appeals process. The number of facilities per county ranged from zero to twelve.

Utilization data as of December 31, 2004 are presented in the final two columns of Table A. Of the 141 certified facilities operational on that date, 71 were at or above 80% utilization (*i.e., operating with at least 3.2 patients per station*).

Sources of Data

Inventory Data:

Data on the current number of dialysis facilities and stations were obtained from the Certificate of Need Section and from the Licensure and Certification Section, Division of Facility Services, N. C. Department of Health and Human Services.

Dialysis Patient Data:

Data on the dialysis population by county and by facility as of December 31, 2004 were provided by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) through the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. (SEKC) and the Mid-Atlantic Renal Coalition, Inc.

County Data are designed to include all North Carolina residents of each county who are receiving dialysis, regardless of where they are currently being served. The numbers of North Carolina patients being served in North Carolina, Georgia and South Carolina as of December 31, 2004 were provided by the SEKC on May 12, 2005. The SEKC noted that data in their report were based upon information provided to the SEKC by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and were current as of May 10, 2005. The SEKC stated that these data are subject to change (*SEKC Disclaimer attached as Appendix A*). County totals from the SEKC were supplemented by data from the Mid-Atlantic Renal Coalition indicating the number of patients residing in North Carolina counties and receiving dialysis in Virginia. Data for December 31st of 2000, 2001, 2002, and 2003 have been provided by the same sources for the five-year trend analysis.

Facility Data include all patients being served by each provider as of December 31, 2004 regardless of the county or state of each patient's residence. These figures were also provided by the SEKC on May 12, 2005. Again, the SEKC noted that these figures reflect data provided to it by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and were current as of May 10, 2005. The SEKC also stated that these figures are subject to change.

Method for Projection of New Dialysis Station Need

The 2005 State Medical Facilities Plan (SMFP) directs the Medical Facilities Planning Section to "...determine need for new dialysis stations two times each calendar year, and...make a report of such determinations available to all who request it." The basic principles, methodology and timeline to be used were specified in the 2005 SMFP and are presented below:

Basic Principles

The principles underlying projection of need for additional dialysis stations are as follows:

1. Increases in the number of facilities or stations should be done to meet the specific need for either a new facility or an expansion.
2. New facilities must have a projected need for at least 10 stations (or 32 patients at 3.2 patients per station) to be cost effective and to assure quality of care.
3. The Medical Facilities Planning Section will maintain a list of existing facilities and stations, utilization rates and projected need by county that is up-dated semiannually. Updated projections will be available two times a year on a published schedule. Existing or potential providers interested in expanding in any area of the State may contact the Medical Facilities Planning Section for projected need in the area of interest.

4. Updates of the projections may target counties that have developed sufficient need to warrant consideration for facility expansion or for establishment of a new facility. Actual numbers are not published in the Plan so they can be updated as appropriate by the Medical Facilities Planning Section.
5. Home patients will not be included in the determination of need for new stations. Home patients include those that receive hemodialysis or peritoneal dialysis in their home.
6. No existing facility may expand unless its utilization is 80% or greater. Any facility at 80% utilization or greater may apply to expand.
7. Facilities reporting no patients through the Southeastern Kidney Council for four consecutive Semiannual Dialysis Reports, beginning from March 1997, will be excluded from future inventories.
8. **Quality of Care:** All facilities should comply with Medicare and Medicaid regulations relating to the delivery and certification of ESRD services and with relevant North Carolina statutory provisions. An applicant already involved in the provision of end-stage renal disease services should provide evidence that care of high quality has been provided in the past. The following are considered indicators of quality of care and existing providers proposing to expand their operations should include in their applications data which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - a. utilization rates
 - b. morbidity and mortality rates
 - c. numbers of patients that are home trained and patients on home dialysis
 - d. number of patients receiving transplants
 - e. number of patients currently on the transplant waiting list
 - f. hospital admission rates
 - g. conversion rates for patients who have acquired hepatitis or AIDS
9. **Availability of Manpower and Ancillary/Support Services:** The applicant should show evidence of the availability of qualified staff and other health manpower and management for the provision of quality ESRD services as well as the availability of a safe and adequate water supply, provision for treatment of wastewater discharge and a standing electrical service with backup capabilities.
10. **Patient Access to In-Center ESRD Services:** As a means of making ESRD services more accessible to patients, one of the goals of the N. C. Department of Health and Human Services is to minimize patient travel time to and from the center. Therefore,

- a. End-stage renal disease treatment should be provided in North Carolina such that patients who require renal dialysis are able to be served in a facility no farther than 30 miles from the patients' homes.
 - b. In areas where it is apparent that patients are currently traveling more than 30 miles for in-center dialysis, favorable consideration should be given to proposed new facilities which would serve patients who are farthest away from existing, operational or approved facilities.
11. Transplantation Services: Transplantation services should be available to, and a priority for, all ESRD patients whose conditions make them suitable candidates for this treatment. New enrollees should meet with and have access to a transplantation representative to provide patient education and evaluation for transplantation.
12. Availability of Dialysis Care: The N. C. State Health Coordinating Council encourages applicants for dialysis stations to provide or arrange for:
- a. Home training and backup for patients suitable for home dialysis in the ESRD dialysis facility or in a facility that is a reasonable distance from the patient's residence;
 - b. ESRD dialysis service availability at times that do not interfere with ESRD patients' work schedules;
 - c. Services in rural, remote areas.

Methodology

Need for new dialysis stations shall be determined as follows:

- (1) County Need (*for the July 2005 SDR – Using a new trend line based on 12/31/04 data*)
- (A) The average annual rate (%) of change in total number of dialysis patients resident in each county from the end of 2000 to the end of 2004 is multiplied by the county's December 31, 2004 total number of patients in the SDR, and the product is added to each county's most recent total number of patients reported in the SDR. The sum is the county's projected total December 31, 2005 patients.
 - (B) The percent of each county's total patients who were home dialysis patients on December 31, 2004 is multiplied by the county's projected total December 31, 2005 patients, and the product is subtracted from the county's projected total December 31, 2005 patients. The remainder is the county's projected December 31, 2005 in-center dialysis patients.

- (C) The projected number of each county's December 31, 2005 in-center patients is divided by 3.2. The quotient is the projection of the county's December 31, 2005 in-center dialysis stations.
- (D) From each county's projected number of December 31, 2005 in-center stations is subtracted the county's number of stations certified for Medicare, CON-approved and awaiting certification, awaiting resolution of CON appeals, and the number represented by need determinations in previous State Medical Facilities Plans or Semiannual Dialysis Reports for which CON decisions have not been made. The remainder is the county's December 31, 2005 projected station surplus or deficit.
- (E) If a county's December 31, 2005 projected station deficit is ten or greater and the July SDR shows that utilization of each dialysis facility in the county is 80% or greater, the December 31, 2005 county station need determination is the same as the December 31, 2005 projected station deficit. If a county's December 31, 2005 projected station deficit is less than ten or if the utilization of any dialysis facility in the county is less than 80%, the county's December 31, 2005 station need determination is zero.

(2) Facility Need

A dialysis facility located in a county for which the result of the County Need methodology is zero in the current Semiannual Dialysis Report (SDR) is determined to need additional stations to the extent that:

- (A) Its utilization, reported in the current SDR, is 3.2 patients per station or greater.
- (B) Such need, calculated as follows, is reported in an application for a certificate of need:
 - (i) The facility's number of in-center dialysis patients reported in the previous Dialysis Report (SDR₁) is subtracted from the number of in-center dialysis patients reported in the current SDR (SDR₂). The difference is multiplied by 2 to project the net in-center change for 1 year. Divide the projected net in-center change for the year by the number of in-center patients from SDR₁ to determine the projected annual growth rate.
 - (ii) The quotient from (2)(B)(i) is divided by 12.
 - (iii) The quotient from (2)(B)(ii) is multiplied by 12 (*the number of months from December 31, 2004 until December 31, 2005*) for the July 1, 2005 SDR. (*Note: For the July SDR, Steps (ii) and (iii) cancel one another.*)

- (iv) The product from (2)(B)(iii) is multiplied by the number of the facility's in-center patients reported in the current SDR and that product is added to such reported number of in-center patients.
 - (v) The sum from (2)(B)(iv) is divided by 3.2, and from the quotient is subtracted the facility's current number of certified stations as recorded in the current SDR and the number of pending new stations for which a certificate of need has been issued. The remainder is the number of stations needed.
- (C) The facility may apply to expand to meet the need established in (2)(B)(v), up to a maximum of ten stations.

[NOTE: "Rounding" to the nearest whole number is allowed only in Step 1(C) and Step 2(B)(v). In these instances, fractions of 0.5000 or greater shall be rounded to the next highest whole number.]

Unless specific "adjusted need determinations" are recommended by the North Carolina State Health Coordinating Council, an application for a certificate of need for additional dialysis stations can be considered consistent with the need determinations of the 2005 State Medical Facilities Plan only if it demonstrates a need by utilizing one of the methods of determining need outlined above.

Timeline

The schedule for publication of the "July 2005 North Carolina Semiannual Dialysis Report" and for receipt of certificate of need applications pursuant to this report shall be as follows:

<u>Data for Period Ending</u>	<u>Due Dates for SEKC Report</u>	<u>Publication of SDR</u>	<u>Application Due Dates for CON Applications</u>	<u>Beginning Review Dates</u>
Dec. 31, 2004	May 12, 2005	July 1, 2005	September 15, 2005	October 1, 2005

Please be advised that 5:30 p.m. on the Application Due Date (i.e., September 15, 2005) is the filing deadline for any certificate of need application in response to this report. The filing deadline is absolute.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
 (Inventory Compiled 6/24/05; Utilization Rates Calculated for 12/31/04)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 6/24/05					Certified Stations 12/31/04	# In-Center Patients 12/31/04	Utilization Rates	
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending	TOTAL			By Percent	Patients per Station
ALAMANCE	34-2533	BMA of Burlington	Burlington	38	0	0	0	0	38	38	73.7%	2.95
	34-2567	Burlington Dialysis Center	Burlington	27	0	0	0	0	27	27	71.3%	2.85
ALEXANDER								0				
ALLEGHANY								0				
ANSON	34-2560	Dialysis Care of Anson County	Wadesboro	13	0	0	0	13	13	35	67.3%	2.69
ASHE								0				
AVERY								0				
BEAUFORT	34-2561	BMA of Pamlico	Washington	25	0	0	0	25	25	72	72.0%	2.88
BERTIE	34-2547	Windsor Dialysis Unit (BMA)	Windsor	16	0	0	0	16	16	48	75.0%	3.00
BLADEN	34-2578	Southeastern Dialysis Center, Inc.	Elizabethtown	17	0	0	0	17	17	55	80.9%	3.24
BRUNSWICK	34-2582	Southeastern Dialysis Center, Inc.	Shallotte	18	2	0	0	20	14	56	100.0%	4.00
BUNCOMBE	34-2506	Asheville Kidney Center	Asheville	36	4	0	0	40	36	138	95.8%	3.83
	34-2626	Swannanoa Dialysis Center	Swannanoa	10	0	0	0	10	10	45	112.5%	4.50
	34-2604	Weaverly Dialysis Center	Weaverly	20	6	0	0	26	20	70	87.5%	3.50
BURKE	34-2563	BMA of Burke County	Morganton	25	0	0	0	25	17	69	101.5%	4.06
CABARRUS	34-2519	Metroline Kidney Center (BMA-Concord)	Concord	30	0	0	0	30	30	61	50.8%	2.03
	34-2631	Copperfield Dialysis	Concord	10	0	0	0	10	10	33	82.5%	3.30
CALDWELL	34-2509	BMA-Lenoir	Lenoir	29	5	0	0	34	29	96	82.8%	3.31
CAMDEN								0				
CARTERET	34-2588	Crystal Coast Dialysis Unit (BMA)	Morehead City	20	0	0	0	20	20	60	75.0%	3.00
CASWELL	34-2597	Renal Care Group - Caswell	Yanceyville	10	0	0	0	10	10	27	67.5%	2.70
CATAWBA	34-2516	BMA-Hickory	Hickory	30	0	0	0	30	31	109	87.9%	3.52
	34-2635	FMC Catawba Valley Dialysis	Conover	15	0	0	0	15	12	36	75.0%	3.00
CHATHAM	34-2621	Carolina Dialysis Siler City	Siler City	9	0	0	0	9	9	27	75.0%	3.00
	34-2617	Carolina Dialysis -Pittsboro	Pittsboro	10	0	0	0	10	10	20	50.0%	2.00
Cherokee - Clay - Graham	n/a	Two applications were submitted in response to the January 2005 multi-county need determination.										
CHOWAN	34-2541	Gambro Healthcare Edenton	Edenton	17	0	0	0	17	17	62	91.2%	3.65
CLAY	(Now part of the Cherokee - Clay - Graham Multicounty Service Area.)											
CLEVELAND	34-2529	Dialysis Clinic, Inc. (DCI Shelby)	Shelby	34	2	0	-10	26	29	120	103.4%	4.14
	n/a	DCI Boiling Springs	Boiling Springs	0	0	0	10	10				
	34-2611	DCI Kings Mountain	Kings Mtn.	12	0	0	0	12	12	35	72.9%	2.92
COLUMBUS	34-2521	Southeastern Dialysis Center	Whiteville	21	0	0	0	21	21	73	86.9%	3.48
	34-2628	Chadbourne Dialysis Center	Chadbourne	10	0	3	0	13	10	32	80.0%	3.20

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
(Inventory Compiled 6/24/05; Utilization Rates Calculated for 12/31/04)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 6/24/05				Certified Stations 12/31/04	# In-Center Patients 12/31/04	Utilization Rates		
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending			TOTAL	By Percent	Patients per Station
CRAVEN	34-2534	New Bern Dialysis Unit (BMA)	New Bern	39	0	0	0	39	105	67.3%	2.69	
	34-2585	FMC Craven County	New Bern	29	0	0	0	29	50	43.1%	1.72	
CUMBERLAND	34-2510	Fayetteville Kidney Center Inc. (BMA)	Fayetteville	26	0	0	0	26	41	75.0%	3.00	
	34-2543	FMC Dialysis Services of West Fayetteville *	Fayetteville	15	0	0	0	15				
	34-2593	FMC Dialysis Services-North Ramsey (BMA)	Fayetteville	40	0	0	0	40	140	87.5%	3.50	
	34-2601	FMC Dialysis Services-South Ramsey (BMA)	Fayetteville	38	8	0	0	46	143	94.1%	3.76	
CURRITUCK							0					
DARE	34-2598	Dare County/Outer Banks Dialysis Clinic	Nags Head	9	0	0	0	9	22	61.1%	2.44	
DAVIDSON	34-2553	Lexington Dialysis Center (WFU)	Lexington	36	0	0	0	36	98	68.1%	2.72	
	34-2639	Thomasville Dialysis Center	Thomasville	10	0	0	0	10	20	50.0%	2.00	
DAVIE							0					
DUPLIN	34-2535	Southeastern Dialysis Center Kenansville	Kenansville	22	0	0	0	22	0	0.0%	0.00	
	34-2630	Warsaw Dialysis Center	Warsaw	14	0	0	0	14	17	113.2%	4.53	
DURHAM	34-2302	Duke University Hospital ESRD Unit	Durham	16	0	0	0	16	45	70.3%	2.81	
	34-2550	Gambro Healthcare-Durham	Durham	33	0	0	0	33	110	83.3%	3.33	
	34-2616	Gambro Healthcare Durham-West	Durham	20	0	0	0	20	67	83.8%	3.35	
	34-2598	Freedom Lake Dialysis Center	Durham	26	0	0	0	26	92	88.5%	3.54	
	34-2590	West Pettigrew Dialysis Center (FMC)	Durham	21	0	0	0	21	58	69.0%	2.76	
	34-2615	FMC Dialysis Services of Briggs Avenue	Durham	20	7	0	0	27	19	95.1%	3.84	
EDGECOMBE	34-2577	Dialysis Care of Edgecombe County	Tarboro	20	0	0	0	20	58	72.5%	2.90	
	34-2603	BMA of East Rocky Mount	Rocky Mount	30	0	0	0	30	21	92.9%	3.71	
FORSYTH	34-2304	N. C. Baptist Hospitals, Inc.	Winston-Salem	4	0	0	0	4	2	12.5%	0.50	
	34-2505	Piedmont Dialysis	Winston-Salem	53	0	0	0	53	168	79.2%	3.17	
	34-2612	Northside Dialysis Center (WFU)	Winston-Salem	34	0	0	0	34	96	70.6%	2.82	
	34-2589	Salem Kidney Center (WFU)	Winston-Salem	72	0	0	0	72	194	67.4%	2.69	
FRANKLIN	34-2571	Dialysis Care of Franklin County	Louisburg	22	1	0	0	23	69	78.4%	3.14	
GASTON	34-2513	BMA of Gastonia	Gastonia	39	-10	4	0	33	39	87.8%	3.51	
	n/a	Metrolina Kidney Center - Belmont *	Belmont	0	10	0	0	10				
	34-2595	BMA of Kings Mountain	Kings Mountain	16	0	0	0	16	35	54.7%	2.19	
	n/a	Gambro Healthcare Gates County	Gatesville	0	10	0	0	10				
GRAHAM (Now part of the Cherokee - Clay - Graham Multicounty Service Area.)												
GRANVILLE	34-2520	FMC Dialysis Serv. Neuse River	Oxford	25	-10	0	0	15	25	87.0%	3.48	
	n/a	FMC Dialysis Serv. of Oxford *	Oxford	0	10	0	0	10				
GREENE	n/a	Greene County Dialysis Center	Snow Hill	0	10	0	0	10				
GUILFORD	34-2537	BMA of South Greensboro	Greensboro	59	0	0	0	59	160	67.8%	2.71	
	34-2600	BMA of Southwest Greensboro	Jamestown	20	11	0	0	31	20	79	98.8%	3.95
	34-2504	Greensboro Kidney Center (BMA)	Greensboro	39	0	10	0	49	39	97.4%	3.90	
	34-2613	Northwest Greensboro Kidney Center (BMA)	Greensboro	15	10	0	0	25	15	59	98.3%	3.93
	34-2634	FMC of East Greensboro	Greensboro	30	0	0	0	30	30	90	75.0%	3.00
	34-2514	High Point Kidney Center (WFU)	High Point	42	0	0	0	42	42	126	75.0%	3.00
34-2599	Triad Dialysis Center (WFU)	High Point	20	0	0	0	20	58	72.5%	2.90		

* New site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current locations shown above.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
 (Inventory Compiled 6/24/05; Utilization Rates Calculated for 12/31/04)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 6/24/05				Certified Stations 12/31/04	# In-Center Patients 12/31/04	Utilization Rates		
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending			TOTAL	By Percent	Patients per Station
HALIFAX	34-2542	BMA of Roanoke Rapids	Roanoke Rapids	29	6	0	0	35	29	107	92.2%	3.69
	34-2619	FMC Dialysis of Halifax County (BMA)	Halifax	11	0	0	0	11	11	26	59.1%	2.36
HARNETT	34-2557	Dunn Kidney Center (BMA)	Dunn	30	-10	5	0	25	30	100	83.3%	3.33
	n/a	FMS Dialysis Services of Lillington *	Lillington	0	10	0	0	10				
HAYWOOD	34-2629	Waynesville Dialysis Center	Waynesville	13	4	0	0	17	13	42	80.8%	3.23
	34-2564	Hendersonville Dialysis Center, Inc.	Hendersonville	20	0	0	0	20	20	88	110.0%	4.40
HERTFORD	34-2570	Gambro Healthcare Ahoskie	Ahoskie	17	4	0	0	21	17	62	91.2%	3.65
	34-2579	Dialysis Care of Hoke County	Raeford	32	-10	0	0	22	32	96	75.0%	3.00
HYDE								0				
								0				
IREDELL	34-2527	Statesville Dialysis Center Inc. (WFU)	Statesville	29	0	0	-10	19	29	73	62.9%	2.52
	34-2636	West Iredell Dialysis Center	Statesville	10	0	3	0	13	10	40	100.0%	4.00
JACKSON	34-2606	Lake Norman Dialysis Center (WFU)	Mooreville	20	0	0	0	20	20	71	88.8%	3.55
	34-2556	Sylva Dialysis Center	Sylva	22	-4	0	0	18	22	30	34.1%	1.36
JOHNSTON	34-2545	Smithfield Kidney Center (BMA)	Smithfield	13	6	0	0	19	13	46	88.5%	3.54
	34-2572	Johnston Dialysis Center, Inc. (BMA)	Smithfield	25	0	0	0	25	25	71	71.0%	2.84
JONES	n/a	Clayton Dialysis Center	Clayton	0	10	0	0	10				
	34-2625	FMC Dialysis Services of Jones County	Trenton	10	0	0	0	10	10	22	55.0%	2.20
LEE	34-2620	Carolina Dialysis Sanford (UNC)	Sanford	24	4	0	0	28	24	104	108.3%	4.33
	34-2518	Kinston Dialysis Unit (BMA Kinston)	Kinston	39	0	0	0	39	39	124	79.5%	3.18
LENOIR	34-2609	FMC Vernon Dialysis	Kinston	24	0	0	0	24	20	66	82.5%	3.30
	34-2568	BMA of Lenoir County	Lenoir	17	0	0	0	17	17	56	82.4%	3.29
LINCOLN	34-2645	McDowell Dialysis Center	Marion	9	0	0	0	9				
								0				
MADISON								0				
								0				
MARTIN	34-2584	Dialysis Care of Martin County	Williamston	23	0	0	0	23	23	53	57.6%	2.30
	34-2554	BMA-West Charlotte	Charlotte	29	0	0	0	29	29	83	71.6%	2.86
MECKLENBURG	34-2581	BMA of Beatties Ford (Metrolina)	Charlotte	26	0	0	0	26	26	76	73.1%	2.92
	34-2549	BMA of North Charlotte	Charlotte	23	5	0	0	28	23	78	84.8%	3.39
MCDOWELL	34-2306	Carolina's Medical Center	Charlotte	9	0	0	0	9	9	2	5.6%	0.22
	34-2523	Gambro Healthcare South Charlotte	Matthews	17	0	0	0	17	17	63	92.6%	3.71
MADISON	34-2552	Dialysis Care of Charlotte (Meck. Cnty.)	Charlotte	15	9	0	0	24	15	58	96.7%	3.87
	34-2591	Dialysis Care of Mecklenburg/University	Charlotte	20	4	0	0	24	20	72	90.0%	3.60
MADISON	34-2548	Gambro Healthcare Charlotte	Charlotte	29	0	0	0	29	29	101	87.1%	3.48
	34-2627	Gambro Healthcare Charlotte East	Charlotte	10	6	0	0	16	10	55	137.5%	5.50
MADISON	34-2503	BMA of Charlotte	Charlotte	36	0	0	0	36	36	131	91.0%	3.64
	34-2605	BMA of East Charlotte	Charlotte	20	0	0	0	20	20	61	76.3%	3.05
MADISON	34-2594	BMA of Nations Ford	Charlotte	14	3	0	0	17	14	44	78.6%	3.14
	n/a	Huntersville Dialysis Center **	Huntersville	0	0	0	0	10	10			

* New site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current location(s) shown above.
 ** Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations shown with Statesville Dialysis Center in Iredell County.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
(Inventory Compiled 6/24/05; Utilization Rates Calculated for 12/31/04)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 6/24/05				Certified Stations 12/31/04	# In-Center Patients 12/31/04	Utilization Rates			
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending			TOTAL	By Percent	Patients per Station	
MITCHELL													
	34-2583	Dialysis Care of Montgomery County	Troy				12	2	0	0	14	83.3%	3.33
MONTGOMERY	34-2555	Dialysis Care of Pinehurst (Moore Cnty.)	Pinehurst				33	0	0	0	33	67.4%	2.70
	34-2638	Southern Pines Dialysis Center	Southern Pines				10	0	0	0	10	50.0%	2.00
MOORE	34-2517	Rocky Mount Kidney Center (BMA)	Rocky Mount				42	0	0	0	42	91.7%	3.67
	34-2644	FMC Dialysis Services of Spring Hope *	Spring Hope				10	0	0	0	10		
NASH	34-2511	Southeastern Dialysis Center Inc.	Wilmington				51	4	0	0	55	81.9%	3.27
	34-2586	Rich Square Dialysis Unit (BMA Northampton)	Rich Square				14	0	0	0	14	71.4%	2.86
NEW HANOVER	34-2532	Southeastern Dialysis Ctr. Jacksonville	Jacksonville				35	0	0	0	35	87.9%	3.51
	34-2622	Carolina Dialysis Carrboro (UNC) (x 34-3503)	Carrboro				36	0	0	0	36	63.9%	2.56
NORTHAMPTON	34-2515	Gambro Healthcare Elizabeth City	Elizabeth City				16	0	0	0	16	96.9%	3.88
	34-2558	Southeastern Dialysis Center Inc.	Burgaw				18	0	0	0	18	114.3%	4.57
ONSLOW	34-2562	Gambro Healthcare-Roxboro	Roxboro				20	3	0	0	23	97.5%	3.90
	34-2502	Greenville Dialysis Center (BMA)	Greenville				43	0	0	0	43	89.5%	3.58
ORANGE	34-2632	FMC Care of Ayden	Ayden				10	0	0	0	10	47.5%	1.90
	34-2596	FMC Dialysis of East Carolina Univ.	Greenville				38	0	0	0	38	91.7%	3.67
PAMLICO	34-2524	Bio-Medical Applications of Asheboro	Asheboro				27	0	0	0	27	68.5%	2.74
	34-2539	Dialysis Care of Richmond County	Hamlet				21	5	0	0	26	98.8%	3.95
PASQUOTANK	34-2528	Lumberton Dialysis Unit (BMA)	Lumberton				33	0	0	5	38	81.1%	3.24
	34-2623	FMC Dialysis Services of Robeson County	Fairmont				15	0	0	0	15	73.3%	2.93
PENDER	34-2607	BMA of Red Springs	Red Springs				20	0	0	0	20	61.3%	2.45
	n/a	Dialysis Care of Saint Pauls **	St. Pauls				0	10	0	0	10		
PERQUIMANS	34-2536	Dialysis Care of Rockingham County	Eden				18	0	0	0	18	76.4%	3.06
	34-2624	Madison Dialysis Center	Madison				10	0	0	0	10	52.5%	2.10
PERSON	34-2640	Reidsville Dialysis Center	Reidsville				18	0	0	0	18	73.6%	2.94
	34-2641	Rockingham Kidney Center (BMA)	Reidsville				10	0	0	0	10	36.0%	1.40
PITTSBORO	34-2546	Dialysis Care of Rowan County	Salisbury				22	4	0	0	26	96.6%	3.86
	34-2592	Dialysis Care of Kannapolis/Rowan	Kannapolis				15	0	0	0	15	86.7%	3.47
RICHMOND	34-2566	Dialysis Care of Rutherford County	Forest City				24	0	0	0	24	87.5%	3.50
	34-2559	BMA of Clinton	Clinton				39	0	0	0	39	76.9%	3.08
ROBESON	34-2524	Bio-Medical Applications of Asheboro	Asheboro				27	0	0	0	27	68.5%	2.74
	34-2539	Dialysis Care of Richmond County	Hamlet				21	5	0	0	26	98.8%	3.95
ROCKINGHAM	34-2528	Lumberton Dialysis Unit (BMA)	Lumberton				33	0	0	5	38	81.1%	3.24
	34-2623	FMC Dialysis Services of Robeson County	Fairmont				15	0	0	0	15	73.3%	2.93
ROWAN	34-2607	BMA of Red Springs	Red Springs				20	0	0	0	20	61.3%	2.45
	n/a	Dialysis Care of Saint Pauls **	St. Pauls				0	10	0	0	10		
RUTHERFORD	34-2536	Dialysis Care of Rockingham County	Eden				18	0	0	0	18	76.4%	3.06
	34-2624	Madison Dialysis Center	Madison				10	0	0	0	10	52.5%	2.10
SAMPSON	34-2640	Reidsville Dialysis Center	Reidsville				18	0	0	0	18	73.6%	2.94
	34-2641	Rockingham Kidney Center (BMA)	Reidsville				10	0	0	0	10	36.0%	1.40
SOUTHAMPTON	34-2546	Dialysis Care of Rowan County	Salisbury				22	4	0	0	26	96.6%	3.86
	34-2592	Dialysis Care of Kannapolis/Rowan	Kannapolis				15	0	0	0	15	86.7%	3.47
TROY	34-2566	Dialysis Care of Rutherford County	Forest City				24	0	0	0	24	87.5%	3.50
	34-2559	BMA of Clinton	Clinton				39	0	0	0	39	76.9%	3.08

* New site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current location shown above.
 ** Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations is shown with "Dialysis Care of Hoke County" in Hoke County.

Table A: Inventory of Dialysis Stations and Calculation of Utilization Rates
 (Inventory Compiled 6/24/05; Utilization Rates Calculated for 12/31/04)

COUNTY	PROVIDER NUMBER	FACILITY	CITY	Number of Dialysis Stations as of 6/24/05				Certified Stations 12/31/04	# In-Center Patients 12/31/04	Utilization Rates		
				Certified	CON Issued /Not Cert.	Decision Rendered	Decision Pending			TOTAL	By Percent	Patients per Station
SCOTLAND	34-2540	BMA of Laurinburg	Laurinburg	26	5	0	0	31	26	100	96.2%	3.85
	34-2565	BMA of Albemarle	Albemarle	18	0	0	0	18	18	56	77.8%	3.11
	34-2633	King Dialysis Center	King	11	0	0	0	11	11	21	47.7%	1.91
SURRY	34-2551	Mt. Airy Dialysis Center (WFU)	Mt. Airy	20	0	0	0	20	20	54	67.5%	2.70
	34-2614	Elkin Dialysis Center (WFU)	Elkin	19	0	0	0	19	19	54	71.1%	2.84
SWAIN	34-2602	Cherokee Dialysis Center	Cherokee	14	0	0	0	14	14	44	78.6%	3.14
TRANSYLVANIA												
TYRRELL												
UNION	34-2525	Metrolina Kidney Center (BMA Monroe)	Monroe	21	0	0	0	21	21	64	76.2%	3.05
	34-2526	Gambro Healthcare Union County	Monroe	24	0	0	0	24	24	91	94.8%	3.79
VANCE	34-2543	Gambro Healthcare-Henderson	Henderson	33	-15	0	0	18	33	132	100.0%	4.00
	n/a	Gambro Healthcare-Henderson West *	Henderson	0	17	0	0	17				
WAKE	34-2544	Cary Kidney Center (BMA)	Cary	28	-10	0	0	18	28	108	96.4%	3.86
	n/a	FMS Dialysis Services of Apex *	Apex	0	10	0	0	10				
	34-2512	Raleigh Clinic Dialysis (BMA)	Raleigh	43	0	0	0	43	43	191	111.0%	4.44
	34-2646	FMC New Hope Dialysis *	Raleigh	20	0	0	0	20				
	34-2608	BMA of Fuquay Varina	Fuquay-Varina	17	5	0	0	22	17	67	98.5%	3.94
	34-2589	Zebulon Kidney Center (BMA)	Zebulon	19	0	4	0	23	19	82	107.9%	4.32
	34-2522	Wake Dialysis Clinic	Raleigh	47	0	0	0	47	47	188	100.0%	4.00
	n/a	FMS Dialysis Services of Six Forks *	Raleigh	0	10	0	0	10				
34-2642	Southwest Wake County Dialysis	Raleigh	15	0	0	0	15	15	2	3.3%	0.13	
<i>Four applications were received in response to the July 2004 County Need Determination</i>												
WARREN	34-2610	FMC Dialysis Services of Warren Hills	Warrenton	10	3	0	0	13	10	38	95.0%	3.80
WASHINGTON	34-2618	FMC Dialysis Services of Plymouth (BMA)	Plymouth	11	0	0	0	11	11	30	68.2%	2.73
WATAUGA	34-2311	Watauga Kidney Dialysis Center	Boone	12	0	1	0	13	12	35	72.9%	2.92
WAYNE	34-2531	Gambro Healthcare-Goldsboro	Goldsboro	25	0	0	0	25	25	92	92.0%	3.68
	34-2587	Gambro Healthcare-Goldsboro South	Goldsboro	21	0	0	0	21	21	64	76.2%	3.05
	34-2573	Gambro Healthcare-Mount Olive	Mount Olive	11	0	0	0	11	11	36	81.8%	3.27
	34-2576	Dialysis Care of Wayne County	Goldsboro	11	0	0	0	11	12	33	68.8%	2.75
WILKES	34-2313	Wilkes Regional Dialysis Center	N. Wilkesboro	10	6	0	0	16	10	43	107.5%	4.30
WILSON	34-2507	Gambro Healthcare-Wilson	Wilson	26	0	0	0	26	26	101	97.1%	3.88
	34-2637	Gambro Healthcare-Forest Hills	Forest Hills	20	0	0	0	20	20	59	73.8%	2.95
YADKIN												
YANCEY												
STATE TOTALS				3,313	182	30	25	3,550	3,226	10,389		

* Proposed new site composed of existing dialysis stations. Utilization of existing stations included with current location shown above.

Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by County

COUNTY	12/31/2000 Total Patients	12/31/2001 Total Patients	12/31/2002 Total Patients	12/31/2003 Total Patients	12/31/2004 Total Patients	Average Annual Change Rate for Past Five Years	Projected 12/31/2005 Total Patients	12/31/2004 Home Patients	12/31/2004 % Home Patients	Projected 12/31/2005 Home Patients	Projected 12/31/2005 In-Center Patients	Projected 12/31/05 In-Center Station Utilization	Total Available Stations	Projected Station Deficit or Surplus	County Station Need Determination
Alamance	166	179	177	180	186	0.029	191.5	13	7.0%	13.4	178.1	56	65	Surplus of 9	0
Alexander	22	23	23	21	21	-0.010	20.8	4	19.0%	4.0	16.8	5	0	5	0
Alleghany	9	7	9	6	10	0.099	11.0	0	0.0%	0.0	11.0	3	0	3	0
Anson	53	57	67	59	65	0.058	68.8	1	1.5%	1.1	67.7	21	13	8	0
Ashe	11	8	12	15	11	0.053	11.6	2	18.2%	2.1	9.5	3	0	3	0
Avery	9	13	12	12	8	0.009	8.1	2	25.0%	2.0	6.1	2	0	2	0
Beaufort	90	82	84	90	86	-0.009	85.2	17	19.8%	16.8	68.4	21	25	Surplus of 4	0
Bertie	52	50	56	56	62	0.047	64.9	6	9.7%	6.3	58.6	18	16	2	0
Bladen	55	60	65	57	59	0.022	60.3	2	3.4%	2.0	58.2	18	17	1	0
Brunswick	70	66	63	76	78	0.033	80.5	12	15.4%	12.4	68.1	21	20	1	0
Buncombe	181	196	211	234	225	0.057	237.9	22	9.8%	23.3	214.7	67	76	Surplus of 9	0
Burke	83	78	77	70	78	-0.012	77.0	3	3.8%	3.0	74.1	23	25	Surplus of 2	0
Cabarrus	152	152	142	131	152	0.004	152.6	22	14.5%	22.1	130.6	41	40	1	0
Caldwell	98	100	109	119	109	0.030	112.2	9	8.3%	9.3	103.0	32	34	Surplus of 2	0
Camden	11	15	15	11	11	0.024	11.3	5	45.5%	5.1	6.1	2	0	2	0
Carteret	35	45	49	61	60	0.151	69.0	2	3.3%	2.3	66.7	21	20	1	0
Caswell	34	29	33	38	30	-0.017	29.5	4	13.3%	3.9	25.6	8	10	Surplus of 2	0
Catawba	134	128	129	145	149	0.029	153.3	25	16.8%	25.7	127.6	40	45	Surplus of 5	0
Chatham	53	54	52	45	50	-0.010	49.5	2	4.0%	2.0	47.5	15	19	Surplus of 4	0
Cherokee *	13	10	13	14	12	0.001	12.0	4	33.3%	4.0	8.0	3	10	Surplus of 7	0
Chowan	35	29	29	32	36	0.014	36.5	8	22.2%	8.1	28.4	9	17	Surplus of 8	0
Clay *	6	7	9	9	2	-0.081	1.8	1	50.0%	0.9	0.9	0	0	0	0
Cleveland	147	151	145	169	185	0.062	196.5	21	11.4%	22.3	174.2	54	48	6	0
Columbus	104	101	103	100	103	-0.002	102.8	2	1.9%	2.0	100.8	31	34	Surplus of 3	0
Craven	145	153	146	152	146	0.003	146.4	4	2.7%	4.0	142.4	44	68	Surplus of 24	0
Cumberland	382	406	408	408	422	0.026	432.8	24	5.7%	24.6	408.2	128	127	1	0
Currituck	7	11	15	17	14	0.223	17.1	4	28.6%	4.9	12.2	4	0	4	0
Dare	20	17	19	20	27	0.093	29.5	5	18.5%	5.5	24.0	8	9	Surplus of 1	0
Davidson	130	153	136	139	159	0.058	168.2	22	13.8%	23.3	144.9	45	46	Surplus of 1	0
Davie	19	23	26	23	20	0.024	20.5	3	15.0%	3.1	17.4	5	0	5	0
Duplin	104	118	124	130	129	0.057	136.3	9	7.0%	9.5	126.8	40	36	4	0
Durham	378	391	409	435	427	0.031	440.4	21	4.9%	21.7	418.7	131	143	Surplus of 12	0
Edgecombe	121	144	166	168	180	0.107	199.2	18	10.0%	19.9	179.3	56	50	6	0
Forsyth	442	460	482	501	489	0.026	501.7	46	9.4%	47.2	454.5	142	163	Surplus of 21	0
Franklin	61	69	77	84	78	0.067	83.2	3	3.8%	3.2	80.0	25	23	2	0
Gaston	182	182	194	202	199	0.023	203.6	22	11.1%	22.5	181.1	57	59	Surplus of 2	0
Gates	17	21	24	26	24	0.096	26.3	1	4.2%	1.1	25.2	8	10	Surplus of 2	0
Graham *	9	12	9	9	10	0.049	10.5	0	0.0%	0.0	10.5	3	0	3	0

* Cherokee, Clay and Graham Counties were grouped as a "multi-county service area" in the 2003 State Medical Facilities Plan.

Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by County

COUNTY	12/31/2000 Total Patients	12/31/2001 Total Patients	12/31/2002 Total Patients	12/31/2003 Total Patients	12/31/2004 Total Patients	Average Annual Change Rate for Past Five Years	Projected 12/31/2005 Total Patients	12/31/2004 Home Patients	12/31/2004 % Home Patients	Projected 12/31/2005 Home Patients	Projected 12/31/2005 In-Center Patients	Projected 12/31/05 In-Center Station Utilization	Total Available Stations	Projected Station Deficit or Surplus	County Station Need Determination
Granville	74	85	92	96	98	0.074	105.2	5	5.1%	5.4	99.9	31	25	6	0
Greene	23	33	38	39	37	0.140	42.2	2	5.4%	2.3	39.9	12	10	2	0
Guilford	580	621	692	714	731	0.060	775.0	43	5.9%	45.6	729.4	228	256	Surplus of 28	0
Halifax	116	115	129	135	148	0.064	157.5	12	8.1%	12.8	144.7	45	46	Surplus of 1	0
Hannett	98	103	107	111	127	0.068	135.6	12	9.4%	12.8	122.8	38	35	3	0
Haywood	41	41	45	51	47	0.038	48.8	4	8.5%	4.2	44.6	14	17	Surplus of 3	0
Henderson	53	62	71	65	73	0.088	79.5	11	15.1%	12.0	67.5	21	20	1	0
Hertford	45	58	62	74	71	0.128	80.1	5	7.0%	5.6	74.4	23	21	2	0
Hoke	63	65	66	70	69	0.023	70.6	3	4.3%	3.1	67.5	21	22	Surplus of 1	0
Hyde	10	14	13	10	9	-0.001	9.0	0	0.0%	0.0	9.0	3	0	3	0
Iredell	149	161	140	148	167	0.034	172.7	14	8.4%	14.5	158.2	49	52	Surplus of 3	0
Jackson	17	20	13	12	11	-0.083	10.1	1	9.1%	0.9	9.2	3	18	Surplus of 15	0
Johnston	122	138	155	157	165	0.080	178.1	21	12.7%	22.7	155.5	49	54	Surplus of 5	0
Jones	20	23	24	21	24	0.053	25.3	0	0.0%	0.0	25.3	8	10	Surplus of 2	0
Lee	99	96	100	91	90	-0.022	88.0	7	7.8%	6.8	81.1	25	28	Surplus of 3	0
Lenoir	170	179	190	179	180	0.016	182.8	10	5.6%	10.2	172.6	54	63	Surplus of 9	0
Lincoln	33	39	38	44	48	0.101	52.9	3	6.3%	3.3	49.6	15	17	Surplus of 2	0
Macon	17	12	15	13	11	-0.083	15.1	4	36.4%	3.7	6.4	2	2	0	0
Madison	7	7	12	12	13	0.199	10.6	1	7.7%	1.2	14.4	4	0	4	0
Martin	68	77	76	78	73	0.020	74.5	9	12.3%	9.2	65.3	20	23	Surplus of 3	0
McDowell	28	31	31	26	31	0.050	32.6	3	9.7%	3.2	29.4	9	9	0	0
Mecklenburg	702	742	813	870	878	0.058	928.9	66	7.5%	69.8	859.1	268	285	Surplus of 17	0
Mitchell	9	12	7	6	7	-0.015	6.9	3	42.9%	3.0	3.9	1	0	1	0
Montgomery	42	40	37	37	45	0.023	46.1	1	2.2%	1.0	45.0	14	14	0	0
Moore	117	115	112	112	116	-0.002	115.8	15	12.9%	15.0	100.8	32	43	Surplus of 11	0
Nash	123	131	139	128	145	0.045	151.5	13	9.0%	13.6	137.9	43	52	Surplus of 9	0
New Hanover	140	149	154	170	167	0.046	174.7	18	10.8%	18.8	155.9	49	55	Surplus of 6	0
Northampton	58	59	64	57	67	0.042	69.8	7	10.4%	7.3	62.5	20	14	6	0
Onslow	108	110	105	106	106	-0.004	105.5	6	5.7%	6.0	99.6	31	35	Surplus of 4	0
Orange	85	109	103	111	106	0.095	112.9	8	7.5%	8.5	104.4	33	36	Surplus of 3	0
Pamlico	16	14	14	17	16	0.008	16.1	0	0.0%	0.0	16.1	5	0	5	0
Pasquotank	46	54	54	54	63	0.085	68.4	9	14.3%	9.8	58.6	18	16	2	0
Pender	61	63	62	57	56	-0.020	54.9	5	8.9%	4.9	50.0	16	18	Surplus of 2	0
Perquimans	13	12	12	17	22	0.158	25.5	2	9.1%	2.3	23.2	7	0	7	0
Person	69	69	76	79	82	0.045	85.7	3	3.7%	3.1	82.5	26	23	3	0
Pitt	206	241	262	259	263	0.065	280.2	21	8.0%	22.4	257.8	81	91	Surplus of 10	0
Polk	18	17	13	16	2	-0.234	1.5	0	0.0%	0.0	1.5	0	0	0	0
Randolph	101	104	111	106	97	-0.008	96.2	6	6.2%	6.0	90.3	28	27	1	0

Table B: ESRD Dialysis Station Need Determinations by County

COUNTY	12/31/2000 Total Patients	12/31/2001 Total Patients	12/31/2002 Total Patients	12/31/2003 Total Patients	12/31/2004 Total Patients	Average Annual Change Rate for Past Five Years	Projected 12/31/2005 Total Patients	12/31/2004 Home Patients	% Home Patients	Projected 12/31/2005 Home Patients	Projected 12/31/2005 In-Center Patients	Projected 12/31/05 In-Center Station Utilization	Total Available Stations	Projected Station Deficit or Surplus	County Station Need Determination
Richmond	69	76	75	80	90	0.070	96.3	7	7.8%	7.5	88.8	28	26	2	0
Robeson	223	255	267	253	267	0.048	279.9	15	5.6%	15.7	264.2	83	83	0	0
Rockingham	152	144	156	143	144	-0.011	142.4	6	4.2%	5.9	136.4	43	56	Surplus of 13	0
Rowan	131	129	119	129	136	0.011	137.5	30	22.1%	30.3	107.2	34	41	Surplus of 7	0
Rutherford	67	69	68	78	85	0.063	90.4	8	9.4%	8.5	81.9	26	24	2	0
Sampson	108	114	118	134	136	0.060	144.2	5	3.7%	5.3	138.9	43	39	4	0
Scotland	50	61	63	83	91	0.167	106.2	4	4.4%	4.7	101.5	32	31	1	0
Stanly	50	59	57	61	52	0.017	52.9	3	5.8%	3.1	49.8	16	18	Surplus of 2	0
Stokes	27	33	36	34	39	0.101	42.9	2	5.1%	2.2	40.7	13	11	2	0
Surry	51	62	73	79	77	0.112	85.7	7	9.1%	7.8	77.9	24	39	Surplus of 15	0
Swain	29	44	37	33	39	0.108	43.2	4	10.3%	4.4	38.8	12	14	Surplus of 2	0
Tennessee	22	26	22	19	22	0.012	22.3	4	18.2%	4.0	18.2	6	6	0	0
Tyrrell	3	2	3	3	4	0.125	4.5	1	25.0%	1.1	3.4	1	0	1	0
Union	100	105	121	122	121	0.051	127.1	11	9.1%	11.6	115.6	36	45	Surplus of 9	0
Vance	112	120	126	125	113	0.004	113.5	6	5.3%	6.0	107.5	34	35	Surplus of 1	0
Wake	509	557	621	649	666	0.070	712.7	63	9.5%	67.4	645.3	202	218	Surplus of 16	0
Warren	34	38	42	38	52	0.124	58.4	1	1.9%	1.1	57.3	18	13	5	0
Washington	35	32	34	39	31	-0.020	30.4	3	9.7%	2.9	27.4	9	11	Surplus of 2	0
Watauga	21	17	26	21	25	0.084	27.1	1	4.0%	1.1	26.0	8	8	Surplus of 5	0
Wayne	226	239	220	218	227	0.003	227.6	24	10.6%	24.1	203.5	64	68	Surplus of 4	0
Wilkes	40	46	53	56	60	0.108	66.5	10	16.7%	11.1	55.4	17	16	1	0
Wilson	137	157	160	161	161	0.043	167.9	15	9.3%	15.6	152.3	48	46	2	0
Yadkin	22	19	26	27	30	0.095	32.9	7	23.3%	7.7	25.2	8	0	8	0
Yancey	9	8	13	13	15	0.167	17.5	2	13.3%	2.3	15.2	5	0	5	0
Unknowns	30	0	0	0	161		22								
State Totals	9,644	10,225	10,702	11,010	11,417			985	8.6%						0

Table C: Need Determinations for New Dialysis Stations by County
 (Based on the "County Need" Methodology -- July, 2005)

County	HSA	Number of New Dialysis Stations Needed	Certificate of Need Application Due Date *	Certificate of Need Beginning Review Date
<p>Application of the "County Need" Methodology resulted in no dialysis station need determinations for the July 2005 Semiannual Dialysis Report.</p>				

* Application Due Dates are absolute deadlines. The filing deadline is 5:30 p.m. on the Application Due Date. The filing deadline is absolute.

DISCLAIMER

The Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. is a nonprofit organization that contracts with the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services for the provision of information-related services with respect to End Stage Renal Disease Network 6. The data in this report are based upon information generated by dialysis facilities in Network 6 and provided by these facilities to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. The information has been represented to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. as current as of [May 10, 2005]. These data are subject to change without notice.

This report is made available by the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. to the Medical Facilities Planning Section, Division of Facility Services, North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services ("Department") solely for the Department's use. The Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. takes no responsibility for the use by the Department of this report or the information contained in it for any purpose, including but not limited to any dissemination by the Department of the report or any information in it to other agencies or the public, or any use by the Department of the report or any information in it in preparation of the Department's Semiannual Dialysis Reports or any need determinations for dialysis stations. While the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. strives for as much accuracy as possible, it expressly disclaims any representations or warranties, whether express or implied, to the Department or any other entity or person regarding the accuracy or reliability for any purpose of the information in this report, including but not limited to any information provided to the Southeastern Kidney Council, Inc. by dialysis facilities.