Adult Care Home Beds

(2018 Data)

(LOID BUILD)					
Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin N	lumber of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Tota
Alamance			Virginia	1	1.14%
Alamance	176	100.00%	Dare	1	1.14%
Total Patients Sei	rved:	176	Other/Unknown	1	1.14%
Alexander			Martin	1	1.14%
Mecklenburg	16	34.78%	Edgecombe	1	1.14%
Caldwell	15	32.61%	Durham	1	1.14%
Alexander	5	10.87%	Orange	1	1.14%
Burke	3	6.52%	Total Patients Ser	·vod-	88
Iredell	2	4.35%	Bertie	Vou.	
Guilford	1	2.17%	Pitt	22	62.86%
Lincoln	1	2.17%	Pasquotank	4	11.43%
Wake	1	2.17%	Bertie	3	8.57%
Wilkes	1	2.17%	Beaufort	3	8.57%
Wilson	1	2.17%	Currituck	1	2.86%
Total Patients Se	rved-	46	Edgecombe	1	2.86%
Ashe	Tou.		Chowan	1	2.86%
Watauga	3	37.50%	Total Patients Ser	·vod-	35
Gaston	1	12.50%	Brunswick	Vou.	
Wilkes	1	12.50%	Brunswick	49	65.33%
Surry	1	12.50%	New Hanover	13	17.33%
Guilford	1	12.50%	Columbus	9	12.00%
South Carolina	1	12.50%	Pender	2	2.67%
Total Patients Sei	rved:	8	Lenoir	1	1.33%
Beaufort			Montgomery	1	1.33%
Beaufort	51	57.95%	Total Patients Ser	·ved·	75
Pitt	16	18.18%	Buncombe	vou.	
Craven	5	5.68%	Buncombe	264	78.57%
Hyde	5	5.68%	Henderson	11	3.27%
Rockingham	2	2.27%	Haywood	9	2.68%
New Hanover	1	1.14%	McDowell	8	2.38%
Washington	1	1.14%	Other/Unknown	6	1.79%

Friday, August 16, 2019 Page 1 of 14

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total
Madison	4	1.19%	Bladen	1	0.85%
Mecklenburg	4	1.19%	Total Patients Sei	-have	118
Caldwell	4	1.19%	Caldwell	vou.	
Virginia	3	0.89%	Caldwell	38	60.32%
Forsyth	3	0.89%	Catawba	10	15.87%
Rowan	3	0.89%	Burke	7	11.11%
South Carolina	2	0.60%	Alexander	2	3.17%
Cherokee	2	0.60%	Lincoln	2	3.17%
Alexander	2	0.60%	Avery	1	1.59%
Orange	2	0.60%	Cumberland	1	1.59%
Polk	2	0.60%	Johnston	1	1.59%
Davidson	1	0.30%	Ashe	1	1.59%
Watauga	1	0.30%	Total Patients Ser	-have	63
Gaston	1	0.30%	Camden	vou.	
Craven	1	0.30%	Camden	6	42.86%
Guilford	1	0.30%	Pasquotank	5	35.71%
Georgia	1	0.30%	Currituck	3	21.43%
Cabarrus	1	0.30%	Total Patients Sei	rved-	14
Total Patients So	orvod-	336	Caswell	Tou.	
Burke	or vou.		Caswell	20	68.97%
Burke	101	74.26%	Harnett	2	6.90%
Catawba	19	13.97%	Guilford	2	6.90%
Caldwell	8	5.88%	Rockingham	1	3.45%
McDowell	4	2.94%	Cumberland	1	3.45%
Wake	1	0.74%	Stokes	1	3.45%
Lincoln	1	0.74%	Buncombe	1	3.45%
Iredell	1	0.74%	Forsyth	1	3.45%
Rutherford	1	0.74%	Total Patients Ser	rved:	29
Total Patients So	erved-	136	Catawba		
Cabarrus	gi 10u.		Catawba	128	58.72%
Cabarrus	101	85.59%	Other/Unknown	25	11.47%
Gaston	10	8.47%	Burke	12	5.50%
Cleveland	3	2.54%	Gaston	8	3.67%
Rowan	3	2.54%	Cleveland	8	3.67%

Friday, August 16, 2019 Page 2 of 14

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total
Alexander	7	3.21%	Henderson	1	1.11%
Lincoln	5	2.29%	Total Patients Sei	-hav	90
Mecklenburg	3	1.38%	Cherokee	vou.	
Iredell	3	1.38%	Cherokee	17	85.00%
Caldwell	2	0.92%	Clay	3	15.00%
Virginia	2	0.92%	Total Patients Sei	·ved-	20
Georgia	2	0.92%	Cleveland	Tou.	
South Carolina	. 2	0.92%	Cleveland	61	62.89%
Tennessee	1	0.46%	Gaston	33	34.02%
Surry	1	0.46%	Burke	2	2.06%
Rutherford	1	0.46%	South Carolina	1	1.03%
Wilkes	1	0.46%	Total Patients Ser	·ved-	97
Haywood	1	0.46%	Columbus	Tou.	
Guilford	1	0.46%	Columbus	44	51.76%
Durham	1	0.46%	South Carolina	21	24.71%
Davie	1	0.46%	Robeson	6	7.06%
Buncombe	1	0.46%	Burke	5	5.88%
Alleghany	1	0.46%	Brunswick	4	4.71%
Hertford	1	0.46%	Bladen	3	3.53%
Total Patients S	orvod-	218	Randolph	1	1.18%
hatham	GI VGU.	210	Sampson	1	1.18%
Other/Unknow	n 32	35.56%	Total Patients Ser	·ved-	85
Orange	21	23.33%	Craven		
Chatham	13	14.44%	Craven	57	53.77%
Lee	6	6.67%	Beaufort	15	14.15%
Durham	5	5.56%	New Hanover	6	5.66%
Virginia	3	3.33%	Pitt	5	4.72%
Sampson	2	2.22%	Carteret	4	3.77%
Watauga	2	2.22%	Jones	3	2.83%
South Carolina	1	1.11%	Onslow	3	2.83%
Wake	1	1.11%	Lenoir	3	2.83%
Randolph	1	1.11%	Pamlico	2	1.89%
Forsyth	1	1.11%	Cumberland	2	1.89%
Brunswick	1	1.11%	Columbus	1	0.94%

Friday, August 16, 2019 Page 3 of 14

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Tota
Brunswick	1	0.94%	Rockingham	1	0.60%
Alamance	1	0.94%	Other/Unknown	1	0.60%
Edgecombe	1	0.94%	New Hanover	1	0.60%
Robeson	1	0.94%	Moore	1	0.60%
Wake	1	0.94%	Columbus	1	0.60%
Total Patients S Cumberland	erved:	106	Total Patients Ser Duplin	ved:	168
Cumberland	285	93.14%	Duplin	13	24.53%
Harnett	6	1.96%	Pender	9	16.98%
Robeson	5	1.63%	New Hanover	7	13.21%
Sampson	3	0.98%	Onslow	4	7.55%
Wake	2	0.65%	Cumberland	4	7.55%
Other/Unknow	n 2	0.65%	Brunswick	3	5.66%
Scotland	1	0.33%	Halifax	2	3.77%
South Carolina	n 1	0.33%	Bladen	2	3.77%
Pender	1	0.33%	Sampson	2	3.77%
Total Patients S	orvod-	306	Edgecombe	1	1.89%
avidson	oi vou.		Harnett	1	1.89%
Davidson	124	73.81%	Columbus	1	1.89%
Rowan	9	5.36%	Pitt	1	1.89%
Forsyth	4	2.38%	Robeson	1	1.89%
Stanly	4	2.38%	Warren	1	1.89%
Guilford	4	2.38%	Craven	1	1.89%
Stokes	3	1.79%	Total Patients Ser	·vod-	53
Randolph	3	1.79%	Durham	vou.	
Mecklenburg	2	1.19%	Durham	78	67.83%
Union	2	1.19%	Other/Unknown	25	21.74%
Iredell	2	1.19%	Pitt	2	1.74%
Yancey	1	0.60%	Georgia	2	1.74%
Wake	1	0.60%	Virginia	2	1.74%
Virginia	1	0.60%	Granville	2	1.74%
South Carolina	a 1	0.60%	Guilford	1	0.87%
Rutherford	1	0.60%	Lee	1	0.87%
Alamance	1	0.60%	Wilkes	1	0.87%

Friday, August 16, 2019 Page 4 of 14

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total
Forsyth	1	0.87%	Guilford	16	2.15%
Total Patients S	terved-	115	Surry	11	1.48%
Edgecombe	oi vu.	-	Yadkin	5	0.67%
Edgecombe	32	26.45%	Stokes	5	0.67%
Nash	30	24.79%	Rockingham	5	0.67%
Halifax	16	13.22%	Tennessee	3	0.40%
Wilson	15	12.40%	Rowan	3	0.40%
Wake	5	4.13%	Wake	2	0.27%
Washington	2	1.65%	Wilkes	2	0.27%
Guilford	2	1.65%	Cleveland	2	0.27%
Pitt	2	1.65%	Mecklenburg	2	0.27%
Franklin	2	1.65%	Iredell	2	0.27%
Vance	1	0.83%	Tyrrell	1	0.13%
Wayne	1	0.83%	Virginia	1	0.13%
Union	1	0.83%	Vance	1	0.13%
Johnston	1	0.83%	Randolph	1	0.13%
Pasquotank	1	0.83%	Cumberland	1	0.13%
Northampton	1	0.83%	Brunswick	1	0.13%
Martin	1	0.83%	Pender	1	0.13%
Hertford	1	0.83%	Catawba	1	0.13%
Harnett	1	0.83%	Alleghany	1	0.13%
Greene	1	0.83%	Gaston	1	0.13%
Cumberland	1	0.83%	Georgia	1	0.13%
Cabarrus	1	0.83%	Johnston	1	0.13%
Bertie	1	0.83%	Lincoln	1	0.13%
Alamance	1	0.83%	Madison	1	0.13%
Montgomery	1	0.83%	Montgomery	1	0.13%
Total Patients S	Served-	121	Nash	1	0.13%
Forsyth	, or vou.		Carteret	1	0.13%
Forsyth	476	63.98%	Total Patients Ser	·ved-	744
Other/Unknow	n 98	13.17%	Franklin	Tou.	
Edgecombe	56	7.53%	Franklin	36	56.25%
Davie	21	2.82%	Wake	19	29.69%
Davidson	18	2.42%	Granville	3	4.69%

Friday, August 16, 2019 Page 5 of 14

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin N	umber of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Tota
Nash	3	4.69%	Guilford	275	80.88%
Wilson	1	1.56%	Forsyth	18	5.29%
Vance	1	1.56%	Randolph	12	3.53%
Durham	1	1.56%	Davidson	9	2.65%
Total Patients Ser	·ved:	64	Rockingham	5	1.47%
Gaston			Other/Unknown	4	1.18%
Gaston	243	84.67%	Durham	3	0.88%
Mecklenburg	22	7.67%	Alamance	3	0.88%
Cleveland	7	2.44%	Wake	2	0.59%
South Carolina	4	1.39%	South Carolina	2	0.59%
Virginia	3	1.05%	Polk	1	0.29%
Cherokee	2	0.70%	Mecklenburg	1	0.29%
Other/Unknown	2	0.70%	Virginia	1	0.29%
Catawba	1	0.35%	Surry	1	0.29%
Cabarrus	1	0.35%	Richmond	1	0.29%
Burke	1	0.35%	Cumberland	1	0.29%
Cumberland	1	0.35%	Warren	1	0.29%
Total Patients Ser Granville	rved:	287	Total Patients Ser Harnett	ved:	340
Granville	5	55.56%	Harnett	20	40.82%
Vance	4	44.44%	Cumberland	12	24.49%
Total Patients Ser	-vod-	9	Johnston	6	12.24%
Greene	You.		Robeson	3	6.12%
Greene	14	33.33%	Wake	3	6.12%
Pitt	7	16.67%	Duplin	1	2.04%
Lenoir	7	16.67%	Sampson	1	2.04%
Nash	5	11.90%	Lenoir	1	2.04%
Edgecombe	4	9.52%	Durham	1	2.04%
Wilson	2	4.76%	Forsyth	1	2.04%
Pasquotank	1	2.38%	Total Patients Ser	wod-	49
Franklin	1	2.38%	Haywood	TUU.	
Johnston	1	2.38%	Haywood	71	80.68%
Total Patients Ser	·ved:	42	Swain	6	6.82%
Guilford			Cleveland	3	3.41%

Friday, August 16, 2019 Page 6 of 14

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total
Jackson	3	3.41%	Nash	2	2.15%
Buncombe	2	2.27%	Durham	1	1.08%
Union	1	1.14%	Columbus	1	1.08%
Henderson	1	1.14%	Pitt	1	1.08%
Mecklenburg	1	1.14%	Sampson	1	1.08%
Total Patients S	erved-	88	Scotland	1	1.08%
Henderson	oi vou.		Cabarrus	1	1.08%
Henderson	45	50.00%	New Hanover	1	1.08%
Buncombe	16	17.78%	Total Patients Ser	·hav	93
Polk	7	7.78%	Iredell	Vou.	
McDowell	6	6.67%	Iredell	121	65.41%
Transylvania	4	4.44%	Mecklenburg	41	22.16%
Forsyth	2	2.22%	Rowan	6	3.24%
Rutherford	2	2.22%	Alexander	3	1.62%
Mitchell	2	2.22%	Cabarrus	2	1.08%
Gaston	2	2.22%	Other/Unknown	2	1.08%
Madison	1	1.11%	Catawba	2	1.08%
Other/Unknow	n 1	1.11%	Alamance	2	1.08%
Mecklenburg	1	1.11%	McDowell	1	0.54%
Iredell	1	1.11%	Georgia	1	0.54%
Total Patients S	erved-	90	Forsyth	1	0.54%
Hertford	01 10 u.		Dare	1	0.54%
Hertford	24	25.81%	Wilkes	1	0.54%
Bertie	17	18.28%	Duplin	1	0.54%
Northampton	9	9.68%	Total Patients Ser	·ved-	185
Gates	6	6.45%	Jackson	Tou.	
Pasquotank	5	5.38%	Jackson	58	76.32%
Wayne	5	5.38%	Haywood	7	9.21%
Halifax	4	4.30%	Swain	4	5.26%
Craven	4	4.30%	Macon	2	2.63%
Cumberland	4	4.30%	Buncombe	2	2.63%
Wake	2	2.15%	Cherokee	1	1.32%
Perquimans	2	2.15%	Georgia	1	1.32%
Duplin	2	2.15%	Other/Unknown	1	1.32%

Friday, August 16, 2019 Page 7 of 14

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total
Total Patients Se	rved-	76	Davie	1	1.28%
Johnston	. 104.		Cabarrus	1	1.28%
Johnston	127	84.67%	Alexander	1	1.28%
Wake	10	6.67%	Montgomery	1	1.28%
Cumberland	2	1.33%	South Carolina	1	1.28%
Lenoir	2	1.33%	Total Patients Se	rved-	78
Wilson	2	1.33%	Madison	Tou.	
Other/Unknown	1	0.67%	Buncombe	22	46.81%
Wayne	1	0.67%	Madison	9	19.15%
Scotland	1	0.67%	Yancey	4	8.51%
Pitt	1	0.67%	Other/Unknown	4	8.51%
New Hanover	1	0.67%	Mitchell	3	6.38%
Harnett	1	0.67%	Tennessee	2	4.26%
Sampson	1	0.67%	Virginia	1	2.13%
Total Patients Se	rved-	150	South Carolina	1	2.13%
_enoir	TO LUI I ULIOIILO ODI TOU.		Georgia	1	2.13%
Lenoir	38	76.00%	Total Patients Se	rved-	47
Wake	4	8.00%	McDowell	Tou.	
Greene	2	4.00%	Buncombe	32	16.84%
Johnston	1	2.00%	Mecklenburg	26	13.68%
Pamlico	1	2.00%	McDowell	17	8.95%
Durham	1	2.00%	Caldwell	15	7.89%
Granville	1	2.00%	Burke	12	6.32%
Edgecombe	1	2.00%	Catawba	10	5.26%
Onslow	1	2.00%	Guilford	9	4.74%
Total Patients Se	rved:	50	Gaston	8	4.21%
Lincoln			Cleveland	6	3.16%
Lincoln	33	42.31%	Forsyth	6	3.16%
Catawba	20	25.64%	Iredell	6	3.16%
Gaston	7	8.97%	Rutherford	6	3.16%
Mecklenburg	5	6.41%	Wilkes	4	2.11%
Other/Unknown	3	3.85%	Other/Unknown	4	2.11%
Iredell	3	3.85%	Haywood	3	1.58%
Burke	2	2.56%	Transylvania	3	1.58%

Friday, August 16, 2019 Page 8 of 14

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin N	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total
Henderson	2	1.05%	Guilford	2	1.38%
Craven	2	1.05%	Davidson	1	0.69%
Watauga	2	1.05%	Cabarrus	1	0.69%
Union	2	1.05%	Brunswick	1	0.69%
Lincoln	2	1.05%	Anson	1	0.69%
Yadkin	1	0.53%	Ashe	1	0.69%
Yancey	1	0.53%	Durham	1	0.69%
Wake	1	0.53%	Total Patients Se	rved-	145
Surry	1	0.53%	Moore	. vou.	
Avery	1	0.53%	Moore	95	74.80%
Nash	1	0.53%	Lee	14	11.02%
Moore	1	0.53%	Cumberland	3	2.36%
Mitchell	1	0.53%	Montgomery	2	1.57%
Macon	1	0.53%	Mecklenburg	2	1.57%
Cumberland	1	0.53%	Harnett	2	1.57%
Cabarrus	1	0.53%	Guilford	2	1.57%
Scotland	1	0.53%	Hoke	2	1.57%
Polk	1	0.53%	New Hanover	1	0.79%
Total Patients Se	rved-	190	Person	1	0.79%
Mecklenburg	. vou.		Richmond	1	0.79%
Mecklenburg	120	93.02%	Wake	1	0.79%
Gaston	2	1.55%	Chatham	1	0.79%
Union	2	1.55%	Total Patients Se	rved-	127
Iredell	2	1.55%	Onslow	. 100.	
Rowan	1	0.78%	Onslow	55	60.44%
Cabarrus	1	0.78%	Carteret	10	10.99%
Caldwell	1	0.78%	New Hanover	6	6.59%
Total Patients Se	rved-	129	Craven	4	4.40%
Montgomery	i vou.		Lenoir	3	3.30%
Montgomery	61	42.07%	Brunswick	2	2.20%
Moore	31	21.38%	Harnett	2	2.20%
Randolph	19	13.10%	Pender	2	2.20%
Richmond	13	8.97%	Sampson	2	2.20%
Stanly	13	8.97%	Wake	1	1.10%

Friday, August 16, 2019 Page 9 of 14

Patient Origin Number of Patients Nof Total Patient Origin Number of Patients Perquimans		
Wayne 1 1.10% Craven Pamlico 1 1.10% Bertie Duplin 1 1.10% Camden Total Patients Served: 91 Wake Orange 13 100.00% Person Total Patients Served: 13 Person Pasquotank 168 70.29% Alamance Camden 15 6.28% Granville Perquimans 15 6.28% Wake Currituck 12 5.02% Total Patients Served Pare 7 2.93% Pitt Gates 4 1.67% Pitt Virginia 3 1.26% Beaufort Washington 2 0.84% Wilson Hertford 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Carteret Pitt 1 0.42% Carteret Pitt 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 <th>Number of Patient:</th> <th>s % of Total</th>	Number of Patient:	s % of Total
Pamilico 1 1.10% Bertie Duplin 1 1.10% Camden Total Patients Served: 91 Wake Orange 13 100.00% Person Total Patients Served: 13 Person Pasquotank 168 70.29% Alamance Camden 15 6.28% Granville Perquimans 15 6.28% Wake Currituck 12 5.02% Total Patients Served Perquimans 15 6.28% Wake Currituck 12 5.02% Total Patients Served Perquimans 15 6.28% Wake Currituck 12 5.02% Total Patients Served Pitt Pitt Pitt Pitt Gates 4 1.67% Pitt Washington 2 0.84% Martin Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Carteret <	3	8.11%
Duplin 1 1.10% Camden Total Patients Served: 91 Wake Orange Total Patients Served Person Total Patients Served: 13 Person Pasquotank 168 70.29% Alamance Camden 15 6.28% Granville Perquimans 15 6.28% Wake Currituck 12 5.02% Total Patients Served Pare 7 2.93% Pitt Gates 4 1.67% Pitt Virginia 3 1.26% Beaufort Washington 2 0.84% Misson Hertford 2 0.84% Martin Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Carteret Martin 1 0.42% Carteret Pitt 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1	2	5.41%
Total Patients Served: Orange 13 100.00% Person Total Patients Served: Pasquotank 168 70.29% Alamance Pasquotank 168 70.29% Alamance Camden 15 6.28% Granville Perquimans 15 6.28% Wake Currituck 12 5.02% Total Patients Served Pare 7 2.93% Pitt Gates 4 1.67% Pitt Virginia 3 1.26% Beaufort Washington 2 0.84% Martin Chowan 2 0.84% Martin Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Craven Carteret 1 0.42% Craven Carteret 1 0.42% Wayne Pitt 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Anson	2	5.41%
Orange Total Patients Served: Orange 13 100.00% Person Total Patients Served: 13 Person Pasquotank 168 70.29% Alamance Camden 15 6.28% Granville Perquimans 15 6.28% Wake Currituck 12 5.02% Total Patients Served Pare 7 2.93% Pitt Gates 4 1.67% Pitt Virginia 3 1.26% Beaufort Washington 2 0.84% Misson Hertford 2 0.84% Martin Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Lenoir Martin 1 0.42% Craven Carteret 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Greene Beaufort 1 <t< td=""><td>1</td><td>2.70%</td></t<>	1	2.70%
Total Patients Served Orange 13 100.00% Person Total Patients Served: 13 Person Pasquotank 168 70.29% Alamance Pasquotank 168 70.29% Alamance Camden 15 6.28% Wake Currituck 12 5.02% Total Patients Served Perquimans 15 6.28% Wake Currituck 12 5.02% Total Patients Served Dare 7 2.93% Pitt Gates 4 1.67% Pitt Virginia 3 1.26% Beaufort Washington 2 0.84% Wilson Hertford 2 0.84% Martin Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Craven Carteret 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick <td>1</td> <td>2.70%</td>	1	2.70%
Orange 13 100.00% Person Total Patients Served: 13 Person Pasquotank 168 70.29% Alamance Camden 15 6.28% Granville Perquimans 15 6.28% Wake Currituck 12 5.02% Total Patients Served Dare 7 2.93% Pitt Gates 4 1.67% Pitt Virginia 3 1.26% Beaufort Washington 2 0.84% Mison Hertford 2 0.84% Martin Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Lenoir Martin 1 0.42% Craven Carteret 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Anson Halifax	rod-	37
Pasquotank Pasquotank Pasquotank Pasquotank Perquimans 15 6.28% Currituck Dare 7 2.93% Pitt Gates 4 1.67% Virginia 3 1.26% Washington 2 0.84% Hertford Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe 1 0.42% Martin Carteret 1 0.42% Pitt 1 0.42% Pitt 1 0.42% Pitt 1 0.42% Robeson 1 0.42% Pitt 1 0.42% Pitt 1 0.42% Pitt 1 0.42% Pitt Anson Harlinx 1 0.42% Beaufort Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Beaufort Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Perquimans Pitt 1 0.42% Partients Served: Partients Partie	iou.	
Pasquotank Vance Pasquotank 168 70.29% Alamance Camden 15 6.28% Granville Perquimans 15 6.28% Wake Currituck 12 5.02% Total Patients Served Dare 7 2.93% Pitt Gates 4 1.67% Pitt Virginia 3 1.26% Beaufort Washington 2 0.84% Wilson Hertford 2 0.84% Martin Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Lenoir Martin 1 0.42% Carteret Pitt 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Wayne Beaufort 1 0.42% Hertford Halifax 1 0.42% <td>12</td> <td>70.59%</td>	12	70.59%
Camden 15 6.28% Granville Perquimans 15 6.28% Wake Currituck 12 5.02% Total Patients Served Dare 7 2.93% Pitt Gates 4 1.67% Pitt Virginia 3 1.26% Beaufort Washington 2 0.84% Wilson Hertford 2 0.84% Martin Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Lenoir Martin 1 0.42% Craven Carteret 1 0.42% Carteret Pitt 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Halifax	2	11.76%
Perquimans 15 6.28% Wake Currituck 12 5.02% Total Patients Served Dare 7 2.93% Pitt Gates 4 1.67% Pitt Virginia 3 1.26% Beaufort Washington 2 0.84% Wilson Hertford 2 0.84% Martin Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Lenoir Martin 1 0.42% Craven Carteret 1 0.42% Carteret Pitt 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Onslow Tyrrell 1 0.42% Greene Beaufort 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Total Patients Served: 239 Halifax Perquimans Total Patients Served Randolph Beaufort <td< td=""><td>1</td><td>5.88%</td></td<>	1	5.88%
Currituck 12 5.02% Total Patients Served Dare 7 2.93% Pitt Gates 4 1.67% Pitt Virginia 3 1.26% Beaufort Washington 2 0.84% Wilson Hertford 2 0.84% Martin Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Lenoir Martin 1 0.42% Craven Carteret 1 0.42% Carteret Pitt 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Wayne Beaufort 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Ferquimans 239 Halifax Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6	1	5.88%
Dare 7 2.93% Pitt	1	5.88%
Dare 7 2.93% Pitt Gates 4 1.67% Pitt Virginia 3 1.26% Beaufort Washington 2 0.84% Wilson Hertford 2 0.84% Martin Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Lenoir Martin 1 0.42% Craven Carteret 1 0.42% Carteret Pitt 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Wayne Beaufort 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Halifax 1 0.42% Halifax Perquimans Total Patients Served Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	red-	17
Virginia 3 1.26% Beaufort Washington 2 0.84% Wilson Hertford 2 0.84% Martin Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Lenoir Martin 1 0.42% Craven Carteret 1 0.42% Carteret Pitt 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Onslow Tyrrell 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Greene Beaufort 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Halifax 1 0.42% Halifax Perquimans 239 Halifax Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	iou.	
Washington 2 0.84% Wilson Hertford 2 0.84% Martin Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Lenoir Martin 1 0.42% Craven Carteret 1 0.42% Carteret Pitt 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Onslow Tyrrell 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Greene Beaufort 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Total Patients Served: 239 Halifax Perquimans Total Patients Served Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	131	74.86%
Hertford 2 0.84% Martin	15	8.57%
Chowan 2 0.84% Edgecombe Edgecombe 1 0.42% Lenoir Martin 1 0.42% Craven Carteret 1 0.42% Nash Pitt 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Onslow Tyrrell 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Greene Beaufort 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Total Patients Served: 239 Halifax Perquimans Total Patients Served Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	6	3.43%
Edgecombe 1 0.42% Lenoir Martin 1 0.42% Craven Carteret 1 0.42% Carteret Pitt 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Onslow Tyrrell 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Greene Beaufort 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Total Patients Served: 239 Halifax Perquimans Total Patients Served Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	4	2.29%
Martin 1 0.42% Craven Carteret 1 0.42% Carteret Pitt 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Onslow Tyrrell 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Greene Beaufort 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Total Patients Served: Perquimans Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	4	2.29%
Carteret 1 0.42% Carteret Pitt 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Onslow Tyrrell 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Greene Beaufort 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Total Patients Served: Perquimans Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	3	1.71%
Pitt 1 0.42% Nash Robeson 1 0.42% Onslow Tyrrell 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Greene Beaufort 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Total Patients Served: Perquimans Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	2	1.14%
Robeson 1 0.42% Onslow Tyrrell 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Greene Beaufort 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Total Patients Served: Perquimans Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	2	1.14%
Tyrrell 1 0.42% Wayne Brunswick 1 0.42% Greene Beaufort 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Total Patients Served: 239 Halifax Perquimans Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	2	1.14%
Brunswick 1 0.42% Greene Beaufort 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Total Patients Served: 239 Halifax Perquimans Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	1	0.57%
Beaufort 1 0.42% Anson Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Total Patients Served: 239 Halifax Perquimans Total Patients Served Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	1	0.57%
Halifax 1 0.42% Hertford Total Patients Served: 239 Halifax Perquimans Total Patients Served Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	1	0.57%
Total Patients Served: Perquimans Pitt 12 32.43% Beaufort 6 16.22% Halifax Total Patients Served Randolph Randolph	1	0.57%
Perquimans Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	1	0.57%
Pitt 12 32.43% Randolph Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	1	0.57%
Beaufort 6 16.22% Randolph	/ed:	175
·	143	80.79%
i asquotatik 0 10.22% ivionigomery		
Chowan 4 10.81% Chatham	3 3	1.69% 1.69%

Friday, August 16, 2019 Page 10 of 14

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin Numb	er of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total
Moore	3	1.69%	Rockingham	43	69.35%
Davidson	3	1.69%	Caswell	6	9.68%
South Carolina	2	1.13%	Forsyth	4	6.45%
Rockingham	2	1.13%	Guilford	3	4.84%
Other/Unknown	2	1.13%	Davidson	2	3.23%
Iredell	2	1.13%	Wake	1	1.61%
Guilford	2	1.13%	Orange	1	1.61%
Georgia	2	1.13%	Alamance	1	1.61%
Brunswick	2	1.13%	Person	1	1.61%
Alamance	2	1.13%	Total Patients Ser	ved-	62
Cleveland	1	0.56%	Rowan	****	
Buncombe	1	0.56%	Rowan	43	43.43%
Pitt	1	0.56%	Cabarrus	25	25.25%
Wake	1	0.56%	Rockingham	6	6.06%
Wilkes	1	0.56%	Cherokee	6	6.06%
Harnett	1	0.56%	Davidson	6	6.06%
Total Patients Served		177	Mecklenburg	4	4.04%
Richmond	•		Stanly	3	3.03%
Richmond	61	76.25%	Anson	2	2.02%
Scotland	8	10.00%	Forsyth	1	1.01%
Anson	4	5.00%	Gaston	1	1.01%
Robeson	2	2.50%	Iredell	1	1.01%
Columbus	2	2.50%	Nash	1	1.01%
Union	1	1.25%	Total Patients Ser	ved-	99
Wake	1	1.25%	Rutherford	10 0.	
Gaston	1	1.25%	Rutherford	51	80.95%
Total Patients Served		80	Gaston	2	3.17%
Robeson	•		Polk	2	3.17%
Robeson	11	57.89%	Catawba	1	1.59%
Cumberland	5	26.32%	Cleveland	1	1.59%
Columbus	2	10.53%	Buncombe	1	1.59%
Pasquotank	1	5.26%	Beaufort	1	1.59%
Total Patients Served		19	Ashe	1	1.59%
Rockingham	•	-	South Carolina	1	1.59%

Friday, August 16, 2019 Page 11 of 14

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total
Watauga	1	1.59%	Cumberland	1	0.30%
Mecklenburg	1	1.59%	Catawba	1	0.30%
Total Patients S	erved-	63	Caldwell	1	0.30%
Stanly	01 10 u.		Burke	1	0.30%
Stanly	108	98.18%	Buncombe	1	0.30%
Scotland	2	1.82%	Ashe	1	0.30%
Total Patients S	erved-	110	Hoke	1	0.30%
Stokes	01 10 u.		Stanly	1	0.30%
Stokes	14	70.00%	Brunswick		
Forsyth	3	15.00%	Total Patients Se	rved-	334
Surry	2	10.00%	Union	Tou.	
Wake	1	5.00%	Union	82	75.93%
Total Patients S	erved-	20	Mecklenburg	9	8.33%
Surry	o. 10u.		Other/Unknown	9	8.33%
Surry	216	64.67%	Virginia	3	2.78%
Mecklenburg	19	5.69%	Cabarrus	2	1.85%
Yadkin	15	4.49%	South Carolina	1	0.93%
Stokes	14	4.19%	Anson	1	0.93%
Iredell	12	3.59%	Brunswick	1	0.93%
Forsyth	9	2.69%	Total Patients Se	rved-	108
Virginia	9	2.69%	Vance	Tou.	
Wilkes	8	2.40%	Vance	7	87.50%
Davidson	3	0.90%	Granville	1	12.50%
Rowan	3	0.90%	Total Patients Se	rvod-	8
Alleghany	3	0.90%	Wake	You.	
Gaston	3	0.90%	Wake	301	74.88%
Randolph	2	0.60%	Other/Unknown	71	17.66%
Guilford	2	0.60%	Johnston	10	2.49%
Onslow	2	0.60%	Alamance	4	1.00%
Other/Unknow	n 2	0.60%	Virginia	3	0.75%
South Carolina	1	0.30%	Beaufort	2	0.50%
Rockingham	1	0.30%	South Carolina	2	0.50%
Tennessee	1	0.30%	Carteret	2	0.50%
Harnett	1	0.30%	Georgia	2	0.50%

Friday, August 16, 2019 Page 12 of 14

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Tota
Mecklenburg	1	0.25%	Onslow	1	0.33%
Moore	1	0.25%	Bladen	1	0.33%
Craven	1	0.25%	Cabarrus	1	0.33%
Dare	1	0.25%	Catawba	1	0.33%
Harnett	1	0.25%	Currituck	1	0.33%
Total Patients Served: 402		Granville	1	0.33%	
Varren	or vou.		Pamlico	1	0.33%
Warren	19	31.15%	Northampton	1	0.33%
Vance	11	18.03%	Harnett	1	0.33%
Halifax	10	16.39%	Pasquotank	1	0.33%
Granville	5	8.20%	Guilford	1	0.33%
Nash	4	6.56%	Davidson	1	0.33%
Franklin	4	6.56%	Jackson	1	0.33%
Hertford	3	4.92%	Jones	1	0.33%
Lee	2	3.28%	Martin	1	0.33%
Wake	1	1.64%	Mecklenburg	1	0.33%
Cumberland	1	1.64%	Duplin	1	0.33%
Randolph	1	1.64%	Total Patients Ser	·vod-	303
Total Patients S	Total Patients Served: 61		Wilson	vou.	
Vayne	701 704.		Wilson	57	72.15%
Wayne	213	70.30%	Nash	8	10.13%
Lenoir	21	6.93%	Wayne	3	3.80%
Wilson	11	3.63%	Johnston	2	2.53%
Johnston	9	2.97%	Cumberland	2	2.53%
Edgecombe	5	1.65%	Edgecombe	2	2.53%
Wake	4	1.32%	Pitt	1	1.27%
Pitt	4	1.32%	Franklin	1	1.27%
Nash	4	1.32%	Buncombe	1	1.27%
Greene	3	0.99%	Greene	1	1.27%
Sampson	3	0.99%	Craven	1	1.27%
New Hanover	3	0.99%	Total Patients Ser	·ved-	79
Franklin	2	0.66%	Yadkin	100.	
Craven	2	0.66%	Yadkin	30	13.70%
Durham	2	0.66%	Forsyth	24	10.96%

Friday, August 16, 2019 Page 13 of 14

Service Location			Service Location	
Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin Number of Patients $\%$ of To	tal
Mecklenburg	20	9.13%	Caswell 1 0.46%)
Surry	13	5.94%	Cleveland 1 0.46%))
Wilkes	12	5.48%	Rutherford 1 0.46%))
Burke	11	5.02%	Total Patients Served: 219)
Buncombe	10	4.57%	Yancey	
Catawba	9	4.11%	Yancey 5 83.33%)
Caldwell	8	3.65%	Randolph 1 16.67%)
Iredell	8	3.65%	Total Patients Served:	
Rowan	8	3.65%		
McDowell	6	2.74%		
Gaston	6	2.74%		
Cabarrus	5	2.28%		
Davie	4	1.83%		
Guilford	4	1.83%		
Alleghany	4	1.83%		
Randolph	4	1.83%		
Stokes	3	1.37%		
Sampson	3	1.37%		
Washington	3	1.37%		
Rockingham	2	0.91%		
Alexander	2	0.91%		
Richmond	2	0.91%		
Davidson	2	0.91%		
Franklin	2	0.91%		
Moore	2	0.91%		
Watauga	1	0.46%		
Wake	1	0.46%		
Union	1	0.46%		
Stanly	1	0.46%		
Lenoir	1	0.46%		
Ashe	1	0.46%		
Durham	1	0.46%		
Robeson	1	0.46%		
Other/Unknow	n 1	0.46%		

Friday, August 16, 2019 Page 14 of 14