

# Adult Care Home Beds

(2016 Data)

Patient Origin			Patient Origin		
Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total	Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total
<b>Alamance</b>			Edgecombe	1	6.25%
Alamance	119	88.15%	Guilford	0	0.00%
Guilford	8	5.93%	<b>Total:</b>	16	
Durham	3	2.22%	<b>Bladen</b>		
Greene	2	1.48%	Bladen	28	80.00%
Wake	2	1.48%	Cumberland	3	8.57%
Rockingham	1	0.74%	Brunswick	1	2.86%
<b>Total:</b>	135		Columbus	1	2.86%
<b>Alexander</b>			Robeson	1	2.86%
Catawba	12	75.00%	Sampson	1	2.86%
Forsyth	2	12.50%	<b>Total:</b>	35	
Iredell	1	6.25%	<b>Brunswick</b>		
Wilkes	1	6.25%	Brunswick	49	79.03%
<b>Total:</b>	16		New Hanover	6	9.68%
<b>Alleghany</b>			Pender	4	6.45%
Surry	2	66.67%	Bladen	1	1.61%
Forsyth	1	33.33%	Robeson	1	1.61%
<b>Total:</b>	3		Guilford	1	1.61%
<b>Anson</b>			<b>Total:</b>	62	
Forsyth	1	100.00%	<b>Buncombe</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	1		Buncombe	315	84.22%
<b>Ashe</b>			Henderson	40	10.70%
Wilkes	3	100.00%	Madison	12	3.21%
<b>Total:</b>	3		Wake	2	0.53%
<b>Beaufort</b>			Haywood	1	0.27%
Beaufort	15	60.00%	Forsyth	1	0.27%
Greene	3	12.00%	Pender	1	0.27%
Washington	2	8.00%	Stanly	1	0.27%
Pitt	2	8.00%	McDowell	1	0.27%
Edgecombe	2	8.00%	<b>Total:</b>	374	
Craven	1	4.00%	<b>Burke</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	25		Burke	120	93.02%
<b>Bertie</b>			Catawba	9	6.98%
Bertie	15	93.75%	<b>Total:</b>	129	
			<b>Cabarrus</b>		

<b>Patient Origin</b>			<b>Patient Origin</b>		
<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
Rowan	86	64.18%	Durham	10	14.93%
Cabarrus	35	26.12%	Graham	1	1.49%
Stanly	6	4.48%	New Hanover	1	1.49%
Iredell	3	2.24%	Forsyth	1	1.49%
Mecklenburg	3	2.24%	Catawba	1	1.49%
Henderson	1	0.75%	Pender	1	1.49%
<b>Total:</b>	134		<b>Total:</b>	67	
<b>Caldwell</b>			<b>Cherokee</b>		
Caldwell	8	40.00%	Graham	2	50.00%
Catawba	8	40.00%	Clay	1	25.00%
Guilford	1	5.00%	Rowan	1	25.00%
McDowell	1	5.00%	<b>Total:</b>	4	
Wake	1	5.00%	<b>Chowan</b>		
Wilkes	1	5.00%	Bertie	3	60.00%
<b>Total:</b>	20		Wilkes	2	40.00%
<b>Carteret</b>			<b>Total:</b>	5	
Carteret	60	85.71%	<b>Clay</b>		
Craven	3	4.29%	Clay	1	50.00%
Greene	2	2.86%	Henderson	1	50.00%
Wake	2	2.86%	<b>Total:</b>	2	
Edgecombe	2	2.86%	<b>Cleveland</b>		
Henderson	1	1.43%	Gaston	30	57.69%
<b>Total:</b>	70		Rutherford	11	21.15%
<b>Caswell</b>			Henderson	8	15.38%
Guilford	3	60.00%	McDowell	2	3.85%
Alamance	1	20.00%	Davidson	1	1.92%
Forsyth	1	20.00%	<b>Total:</b>	52	
<b>Total:</b>	5		<b>Columbus</b>		
<b>Catawba</b>			Columbus	28	82.35%
Catawba	170	94.97%	Wake	3	8.82%
Gaston	3	1.68%	Pender	1	2.94%
Rutherford	3	1.68%	Forsyth	1	2.94%
Forsyth	1	0.56%	Bladen	1	2.94%
Lincoln	1	0.56%	<b>Total:</b>	34	
Rowan	1	0.56%	<b>Craven</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	179		Craven	44	83.02%
<b>Chatham</b>			Jones	4	7.55%
Chatham	52	77.61%			

<b>Patient Origin</b>			<b>Patient Origin</b>		
<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
Pamlico	3	5.66%	<b>Total:</b>	10	
Carteret	1	1.89%	<b>Durham</b>		
Greene	1	1.89%	Durham	129	94.16%
Guilford	0	0.00%	Orange	4	2.92%
<b>Total:</b>	53		Davidson	2	1.46%
<b>Cumberland</b>			Edgecombe	2	1.46%
Cumberland	136	87.74%	Guilford	0	0.00%
Hoke	8	5.16%	<b>Total:</b>	137	
Sampson	3	1.94%	<b>Edgecombe</b>		
Moore	2	1.29%	Edgecombe	51	89.47%
Brunswick	2	1.29%	Nash	3	5.26%
Mecklenburg	1	0.65%	Beaufort	1	1.75%
Bladen	1	0.65%	Franklin	1	1.75%
New Hanover	1	0.65%	Pitt	1	1.75%
Harnett	1	0.65%	<b>Total:</b>	57	
<b>Total:</b>	155		<b>Forsyth</b>		
<b>Dare</b>			Forsyth	223	85.77%
Forsyth	1	100.00%	Stokes	21	8.08%
<b>Total:</b>	1		Guilford	7	2.69%
<b>Davidson</b>			Davidson	5	1.92%
Davidson	121	86.43%	Davie	2	0.77%
Rowan	6	4.29%	Johnston	1	0.38%
Forsyth	6	4.29%	Buncombe	1	0.38%
Carteret	1	0.71%	<b>Total:</b>	260	
Buncombe	1	0.71%	<b>Franklin</b>		
Randolph	1	0.71%	Franklin	10	43.48%
Rutherford	1	0.71%	Wake	7	30.43%
Stanly	1	0.71%	Henderson	1	4.35%
Stokes	1	0.71%	Warren	1	4.35%
Guilford	1	0.71%	Pender	1	4.35%
<b>Total:</b>	140		Guilford	1	4.35%
<b>Davie</b>			Greene	1	4.35%
Davie	49	94.23%	Vance	1	4.35%
Forsyth	3	5.77%	<b>Total:</b>	23	
<b>Total:</b>	52		<b>Gaston</b>		
<b>Duplin</b>			Gaston	226	94.56%
Pender	6	60.00%	Henderson	8	3.35%
Sampson	4	40.00%	Cabarrus	2	0.84%

<b>Patient Origin</b>			<b>Patient Origin</b>		
<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
Pender	1	0.42%	Halifax	22	75.86%
Bladen	1	0.42%	Edgecombe	4	13.79%
Lincoln	1	0.42%	Nash	1	3.45%
Guilford	0	0.00%	Warren	1	3.45%
<b>Total:</b>	239		Franklin	1	3.45%
<b>Gates</b>			<b>Total:</b>	29	
Gates	3	100.00%	<b>Harnett</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	3		Harnett	19	41.30%
<b>Georgia</b>			Johnston	18	39.13%
Buncombe	3	42.86%	Cumberland	3	6.52%
Forsyth	2	28.57%	Wake	2	4.35%
Wake	2	28.57%	Sampson	2	4.35%
<b>Total:</b>	7		Edgecombe	1	2.17%
<b>Graham</b>			Moore	1	2.17%
Graham	12	100.00%	<b>Total:</b>	46	
<b>Total:</b>	12		<b>Haywood</b>		
<b>Granville</b>			Haywood	42	80.77%
Granville	9	60.00%	Henderson	5	9.62%
Vance	4	26.67%	Buncombe	4	7.69%
Durham	2	13.33%	Forsyth	1	1.92%
<b>Total:</b>	15		<b>Total:</b>	52	
<b>Greene</b>			<b>Henderson</b>		
Greene	18	100.00%	Henderson	158	90.29%
<b>Total:</b>	18		Buncombe	14	8.00%
<b>Guilford</b>			Polk	1	0.57%
Guilford	546	93.33%	Guilford	1	0.57%
Davidson	13	2.22%	Haywood	1	0.57%
Randolph	8	1.37%	<b>Total:</b>	175	
Forsyth	6	1.03%	<b>Hertford</b>		
Alamance	3	0.51%	Bertie	4	66.67%
Rockingham	3	0.51%	Edgecombe	1	16.67%
Moore	2	0.34%	Halifax	1	16.67%
Stokes	2	0.34%	<b>Total:</b>	6	
Pender	1	0.17%	<b>Hoke</b>		
Henderson	1	0.17%	Hoke	1	100.00%
<b>Total:</b>	585		<b>Total:</b>	1	
<b>Halifax</b>			<b>Hyde</b>		

<b>Patient Origin</b>			<b>Patient Origin</b>		
<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
Edgecombe	1	100.00%	<b>Total:</b>	11	
<b>Total:</b>	1		<b>Lincoln</b>		
<b>Iredell</b>			Gaston	20	51.28%
Iredell	72	81.82%	Lincoln	14	35.90%
Rowan	5	5.68%	Iredell	5	12.82%
Wilkes	3	3.41%	<b>Total:</b>	39	
Mecklenburg	3	3.41%	<b>Macon</b>		
Gaston	1	1.14%	Guilford	1	100.00%
Catawba	1	1.14%	<b>Total:</b>	1	
Cabarrus	1	1.14%	<b>Madison</b>		
Bladen	1	1.14%	Madison	14	58.33%
Wake	1	1.14%	Buncombe	10	41.67%
Guilford	0	0.00%	<b>Total:</b>	24	
<b>Total:</b>	88		<b>Martin</b>		
<b>Jackson</b>			Greene	3	37.50%
Buncombe	1	100.00%	Beaufort	2	25.00%
<b>Total:</b>	1		Bertie	2	25.00%
<b>Johnston</b>			Edgecombe	1	12.50%
Johnston	25	60.98%	<b>Total:</b>	8	
Wake	5	12.20%	<b>McDowell</b>		
Wilson	4	9.76%	McDowell	11	52.38%
Harnett	3	7.32%	Buncombe	9	42.86%
Sampson	2	4.88%	Burke	1	4.76%
Carteret	1	2.44%	<b>Total:</b>	21	
Nash	1	2.44%	<b>Mecklenburg</b>		
Hoke	0	0.00%	Mecklenburg	249	83.84%
<b>Total:</b>	41		Cabarrus	12	4.04%
<b>Jones</b>			Iredell	10	3.37%
Jones	6	100.00%	Gaston	8	2.69%
<b>Total:</b>	6		Rowan	6	2.02%
<b>Lee</b>			Stokes	4	1.35%
Moore	3	60.00%	Alamance	1	0.34%
Cumberland	1	20.00%	Buncombe	1	0.34%
Harnett	1	20.00%	Burke	1	0.34%
<b>Total:</b>	5		Cumberland	1	0.34%
<b>Lenoir</b>			Henderson	1	0.34%
Greene	11	100.00%	Robeson	1	0.34%

<b>Patient Origin</b>			<b>Patient Origin</b>		
<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
Rutherford	1	0.34%	Warren	1	16.67%
Forsyth	1	0.34%	<b>Total:</b>	6	
Guilford	0	0.00%	<b>Onslow</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	297		Pender	22	66.67%
<b>Montgomery</b>			Onslow	8	24.24%
Montgomery	14	66.67%	Jones	3	9.09%
Stanly	4	19.05%	<b>Total:</b>	33	
Davidson	2	9.52%	<b>Orange</b>		
Wake	1	4.76%	Orange	166	92.74%
<b>Total:</b>	21		Durham	13	7.26%
<b>Moore</b>			<b>Total:</b>	179	
Moore	62	92.54%	<b>Other</b>		
Cumberland	2	2.99%	Moore	12	28.57%
Montgomery	2	2.99%	Wake	7	16.67%
Pender	1	1.49%	Forsyth	6	14.29%
Guilford	0	0.00%	McDowell	5	11.90%
<b>Total:</b>	67		Buncombe	3	7.14%
<b>Nash</b>			Rutherford	2	4.76%
Nash	45	72.58%	Pender	2	4.76%
Edgecombe	10	16.13%	Montgomery	1	2.38%
Wilson	2	3.23%	Guilford	1	2.38%
Forsyth	1	1.61%	Rowan	1	2.38%
Granville	1	1.61%	Beaufort	1	2.38%
Pitt	1	1.61%	New Hanover	1	2.38%
Robeson	1	1.61%	<b>Total:</b>	42	
Wake	1	1.61%	<b>Other States</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	62		Mecklenburg	11	22.00%
<b>New Hanover</b>			Buncombe	7	14.00%
New Hanover	83	57.24%	Forsyth	7	14.00%
Pender	32	22.07%	Guilford	4	8.00%
Brunswick	27	18.62%	New Hanover	4	8.00%
Forsyth	2	1.38%	Cabarrus	4	8.00%
Bertie	1	0.69%	Orange	2	4.00%
Guilford	0	0.00%	Davidson	2	4.00%
<b>Total:</b>	145		Henderson	1	2.00%
<b>Northampton</b>			Hoke	1	2.00%
Edgecombe	3	50.00%	Iredell	1	2.00%
Halifax	2	33.33%	Edgecombe	1	2.00%

<b>Patient Origin</b>			<b>Patient Origin</b>		
<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
Durham	1	2.00%	Haywood	1	0.93%
Davie	1	2.00%	<b>Total:</b>	108	
Brunswick	1	2.00%	<b>Randolph</b>		
Bladen	1	2.00%	Davidson	10	37.04%
Haywood	1	2.00%	Randolph	6	22.22%
<b>Total:</b>	50		Guilford	3	11.11%
<b>Pamlico</b>			Moore	2	7.41%
Pamlico	6	66.67%	Forsyth	2	7.41%
Craven	2	22.22%	Rockingham	1	3.70%
Carteret	1	11.11%	Henderson	1	3.70%
Jones	0	0.00%	Montgomery	1	3.70%
<b>Total:</b>	9		Pender	1	3.70%
<b>Pasquotank</b>			<b>Total:</b>	27	
Pitt	1	100.00%	<b>Richmond</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	1		Moore	2	40.00%
<b>Pender</b>			Scotland	2	40.00%
Pender	57	91.94%	Cumberland	1	20.00%
New Hanover	3	4.84%	<b>Total:</b>	5	
Gaston	2	3.23%	<b>Robeson</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	62		Robeson	77	95.06%
<b>Person</b>			Bladen	1	1.23%
Person	4	44.44%	Brunswick	1	1.23%
Durham	4	44.44%	Cumberland	1	1.23%
Orange	1	11.11%	Henderson	1	1.23%
<b>Total:</b>	9		Guilford	0	0.00%
<b>Pitt</b>			<b>Total:</b>	81	
Pitt	66	82.50%	<b>Rockingham</b>		
Edgecombe	8	10.00%	Rockingham	20	68.97%
Greene	3	3.75%	Guilford	5	17.24%
Beaufort	1	1.25%	Stokes	2	6.90%
Columbus	1	1.25%	Forsyth	1	3.45%
Wilson	1	1.25%	Mecklenburg	1	3.45%
<b>Total:</b>	80		<b>Total:</b>	29	
<b>Polk</b>			<b>Rowan</b>		
Polk	94	87.04%	Rowan	135	91.22%
Henderson	11	10.19%	Cabarrus	3	2.03%
Rutherford	2	1.85%	Davidson	3	2.03%
			Iredell	3	2.03%

<b>Patient Origin</b>			<b>Patient Origin</b>		
<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
Forsyth	1	0.68%	Henderson	1	3.45%
Henderson	1	0.68%	Pender	1	3.45%
Mecklenburg	1	0.68%	Edgecombe	1	3.45%
Stokes	1	0.68%	<b>Total:</b>	29	
Guilford	0	0.00%	<b>Stanly</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	148		Stanly	59	88.06%
<b>Rutherford</b>			Cabarrus	3	4.48%
Rutherford	71	81.61%	Davidson	2	2.99%
Henderson	11	12.64%	Forsyth	2	2.99%
Forsyth	2	2.30%	Moore	1	1.49%
Gaston	1	1.15%	<b>Total:</b>	67	
Polk	1	1.15%	<b>Stokes</b>		
Greene	1	1.15%	Stokes	25	83.33%
<b>Total:</b>	87		Forsyth	3	10.00%
<b>Sampson</b>			Henderson	1	3.33%
Sampson	26	76.47%	Guilford	1	3.33%
Johnston	3	8.82%	<b>Total:</b>	30	
Bladen	2	5.88%	<b>Surry</b>		
Jones	1	2.94%	Surry	10	45.45%
Harnett	1	2.94%	Stokes	6	27.27%
Cumberland	1	2.94%	Rowan	2	9.09%
<b>Total:</b>	34		Forsyth	2	9.09%
<b>Scotland</b>			Mecklenburg	1	4.55%
Scotland	26	92.86%	Davie	1	4.55%
Rowan	1	3.57%	Guilford	0	0.00%
Cumberland	1	3.57%	<b>Total:</b>	22	
<b>Total:</b>	28		<b>Swain</b>		
<b>South Carolina</b>			Graham	3	100.00%
Polk	5	17.24%	<b>Total:</b>	3	
Forsyth	4	13.79%	<b>Tennessee</b>		
Wake	3	10.34%	Buncombe	2	50.00%
Scotland	3	10.34%	Mecklenburg	1	25.00%
Hoke	2	6.90%	Wake	1	25.00%
Gaston	2	6.90%	<b>Total:</b>	4	
Cumberland	2	6.90%	<b>Transylvania</b>		
Cabarrus	2	6.90%	Transylvania	22	64.71%
Buncombe	2	6.90%	Henderson	10	29.41%
Haywood	1	3.45%			



<b>Patient Origin</b>			<b>Patient Origin</b>		
<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
Mecklenburg	1	2.94%	Franklin	4	1.59%
Buncombe	1	2.94%	Johnston	4	1.59%
<b>Total:</b>	34		Cumberland	3	1.19%
<b>Tyrrell</b>			Harnett	2	0.79%
Orange	1	100.00%	Hoke	2	0.79%
<b>Total:</b>	1		Orange	1	0.40%
<b>Union</b>			Stanly	1	0.40%
Union	25	83.33%	Rowan	1	0.40%
Stanly	2	6.67%	Pender	1	0.40%
Craven	1	3.33%	Vance	1	0.40%
Gaston	1	3.33%	Mecklenburg	1	0.40%
Rowan	1	3.33%	Henderson	1	0.40%
<b>Total:</b>	30		Forsyth	1	0.40%
<b>Vance</b>			Edgecombe	1	0.40%
Vance	85	88.54%	Moore	0	0.00%
Franklin	4	4.17%	Guilford	0	0.00%
Granville	3	3.13%	<b>Total:</b>	252	
Wake	2	2.08%	<b>Warren</b>		
Warren	2	2.08%	Warren	13	61.90%
<b>Total:</b>	96		Vance	6	28.57%
<b>Virginia</b>			Franklin	2	9.52%
Cabarrus	4	15.38%	<b>Total:</b>	21	
Forsyth	4	15.38%	<b>Washington</b>		
Edgecombe	3	11.54%	Washington	3	42.86%
New Hanover	3	11.54%	Bertie	2	28.57%
Stokes	2	7.69%	Beaufort	1	14.29%
Buncombe	2	7.69%	Edgecombe	1	14.29%
Durham	2	7.69%	<b>Total:</b>	7	
Davidson	1	3.85%	<b>Watauga</b>		
Gaston	1	3.85%	Mecklenburg	2	66.67%
Guilford	1	3.85%	Catawba	1	33.33%
Mecklenburg	1	3.85%	<b>Total:</b>	3	
Brunswick	1	3.85%	<b>Wayne</b>		
Wake	1	3.85%	Greene	3	25.00%
<b>Total:</b>	26		Nash	3	25.00%
<b>Wake</b>			Craven	2	16.67%
Wake	221	87.70%	Edgecombe	2	16.67%
Durham	7	2.78%	Harnett	1	8.33%

<b>Patient Origin</b>			<b>Patient Origin</b>		
<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>	<b>Service Location</b>	<b>Number of Patients</b>	<b>% of Total</b>
Pender	1	8.33%			
<b>Total:</b>		12			
<b>Wilkes</b>					
Wilkes	66	81.48%			
Surry	6	7.41%			
Forsyth	3	3.70%			
Stokes	2	2.47%			
Mecklenburg	1	1.23%			
Iredell	1	1.23%			
Guilford	1	1.23%			
Henderson	1	1.23%			
<b>Total:</b>		81			
<b>Wilson</b>					
Wilson	23	69.70%			
Edgecombe	4	12.12%			
Greene	4	12.12%			
Cumberland	1	3.03%			
Nash	1	3.03%			
<b>Total:</b>		33			
<b>Yadkin</b>					
Surry	10	83.33%			
Iredell	1	8.33%			
Stokes	1	8.33%			
<b>Total:</b>		12			