Adult Care Home Beds

(2017 Data)

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total
Alamance			New Hanover	20	27.03%
Alamance	132	94.96%	Onslow	2	2.70%
Guilford	3	2.16%	Bladen	2	2.70%
Caswell	1	0.72%	Duplin	1	1.35%
Durham	1	0.72%	Forsyth	1	1.35%
Mecklenburg	1	0.72%	Columbus	1	1.35%
South Carolina	1	0.72%	Pender	1	1.35%
Total Patients Se	erved:	139	Robeson	1	1.35%
Beaufort			Sampson	1	1.35%
Beaufort	31	70.45%	Other	1	1.35%
Martin	8	18.18%	Cumberland	1	1.35%
Edgecombe	3	6.82%	Total Patients Sei	rved:	74
Pitt	2	4.55%	Buncombe		
Total Patients Se	erved:	44	Buncombe	312	83.42%
Bertie			McDowell	12	3.21%
Bertie	19	52.78%	Madison	12	3.21%
Martin	8	22.22%	Henderson	10	2.67%
Washington	4	11.11%	Other States	9	2.41%
Hertford	3	8.33%	Other	4	1.07%
Chowan	2	5.56%	Georgia	3	0.80%
Total Patients Se	erved:	36	Haywood	2	0.53%
Bladen			Polk	2	0.53%
Bladen	33	84.62%	South Carolina	2	0.53%
Robeson	2	5.13%	Tennessee	1	0.27%
Brunswick	1	2.56%	Mitchell	1	0.27%
Iredell	1	2.56%	Transylvania	1	0.27%
Mecklenburg	1	2.56%	Jackson	1	0.27%
Sampson	1	2.56%	Swain	1	0.27%
Total Patients Se	erved:	39	Yancey	1	0.27%
Brunswick Brunswick	42	56.76%	Total Patients Sei Burke	rved:	374

Thursday, August 23, 2018

Service Location			Service Location			
Patient Origin N	umber of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	
Burke	107	91.45%	Gaston	1	0.56%	
Caldwell	3	2.56%	Iredell	1	0.56%	
Catawba	2	1.71%	Mecklenburg	1	0.56%	
McDowell	2	1.71%	Total Patients Sei	rved:	180	
Mecklenburg	1	0.85%	Chatham			
Alexander	1	0.85%	Chatham	56 1	100.00%	
Cleveland	1	0.85%	Total Patients Sei	rved:	56	
Total Patients Sei	rved:	117	Clay			
Cabarrus			Cherokee	1	50.00%	
Cabarrus	33	50.77%	Clay	1	50.00%	
Mecklenburg	10	15.38%	Total Patients Sei	rved:	2	
Rowan	10	15.38%	Columbus			
Gaston	4	6.15%	Columbus	37	90.24%	
Virginia	3	4.62%	Martin	3	7.32%	
Stanly	2	3.08%	Harnett	1	2.44%	
Iredell	1	1.54%	Total Patients Sei	rved:	41	
Other	1	1.54%	Craven			
South Carolina	1	1.54%	Craven	43	93.48%	
Total Patients Sei	rved-	65	Beaufort	2	4.35%	
Caldwell	Tou.		Wayne	1	2.17%	
Caldwell	3	100.00%	Total Patients Sei	rved:	46	
Total Patients Sei	rved:	3	Cumberland			
Carteret			Cumberland	161	94.71%	
Carteret	44	97.78%	Sampson	3	1.76%	
Craven	1	2.22%	Bladen	2	1.18%	
Total Patients Sei	rved:	45	Brunswick	1	0.59%	
Catawba			Harnett	1	0.59%	
Catawba	144	80.00%	Moore	1	0.59%	
Alexander	10	5.56%	South Carolina	1	0.59%	
Caldwell	8	4.44%	Total Patients Sei	rved:	170	
Burke	7	3.89%	Davidson			
Lincoln	3	1.67%	Davidson	133	73.08%	
Watauga	3	1.67%	Randolph	19	10.44%	
Wake	2	1.11%	Guilford	17	9.34%	

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin N	umber of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total
Forsyth	3	1.65%	Hertford	1	0.94%
Other States	2	1.10%	Beaufort	1	0.94%
Montgomery	2	1.10%	Wilson	1	0.94%
Durham	1	0.55%	Total Patients Se	rvod-	106
Cabarrus	1	0.55%	Forsyth	1 10 0.	
Other	1	0.55%	Forsyth	215	71.19%
Rowan	1	0.55%	Other States	14	4.64%
Stanly	1	0.55%	Davie	9	2.98%
Virginia	1	0.55%	Guilford	9	2.98%
Total Patients Sei	rved-	182	Davidson	7	2.32%
Davie	Tou.		Virginia	6	1.99%
Davie	22	84.62%	Wilkes	4	1.32%
Forsyth	4	15.38%	South Carolina	3	0.99%
Total Patients Se	rved-	26	Stokes	3	0.99%
Durham	Tou.		Surry	3	0.99%
Durham	170	83.33%	Rutherford	2	0.66%
Wake	15	7.35%	Randolph	2	0.66%
Orange	11	5.39%	Yadkin	2	0.66%
Chatham	5	2.45%	Mecklenburg	2	0.66%
Alamance	2	0.98%	Alexander	2	0.66%
Person	1	0.49%	Tennessee	1	0.33%
Total Patients Sei	rved:	204	Union	1	0.33%
Edgecombe			Wake	1	0.33%
Edgecombe	56	52.83%	Stanly	1	0.33%
Nash	17	16.04%	Rowan	1	0.33%
Pitt	10	9.43%	Wayne	1	0.33%
Other States	5	4.72%	Beaufort	1	0.33%
Halifax	4	3.77%	Alamance	1	0.33%
Durham	3	2.83%	Mitchell	1	0.33%
Wayne	2	1.89%	Alleghany	1	0.33%
Carteret	2	1.89%	New Hanover	1	0.33%
Northampton	2	1.89%	Buncombe	1	0.33%
Wake	1	0.94%	Caswell	1	0.33%
Virginia	1	0.94%	Catawba	1	0.33%

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Tota
Dare	1	0.33%	Catawba	1	7.69%
Edgecombe	1	0.33%	Total Patients Se	rved-	13
Nash	1	0.33%	Granville	1 10 0.	
Haywood	1	0.33%	Granville	20	74.07%
Columbus	1	0.33%	Wake	3	11.11%
Total Patients S	orvod-	302	Vance	3	11.11%
Franklin	or vou.		Warren	1	3.70%
Franklin	24	66.67%	Total Patients Se	rved-	27
Granville	4	11.11%	Greene	1 10 0.	
Forsyth	4	11.11%	Lenoir	19	43.18%
Vance	2	5.56%	Greene	14	31.82%
Edgecombe	1	2.78%	Pitt	3	6.82%
Warren	1	2.78%	Wilson	2	4.55%
Total Patients S	orvod-	36	Martin	2	4.55%
Baston	oi vou.		Alamance	1	2.27%
Gaston	249	77.33%	Wayne	1	2.27%
Cleveland	35	10.87%	Carteret	1	2.27%
Lincoln	22	6.83%	Craven	1	2.27%
Mecklenburg	7	2.17%	Total Patients Se	rvod-	44
South Carolina	2	0.62%	Guilford	1 10 0.	
Burke	2	0.62%	Guilford	531	91.08%
Beaufort	1	0.31%	Other States	12	2.06%
Pender	1	0.31%	Forsyth	7	1.20%
Catawba	1	0.31%	Rockingham	5	0.86%
Union	1	0.31%	Randolph	4	0.69%
Haywood	1	0.31%	Alamance	3	0.51%
Total Patients S	orvod-	322	Chatham	3	0.51%
Gates	or vou.		Virginia	3	0.51%
Gates	3	100.00%	Stanly	2	0.34%
Total Patients S	erved-	3	Davidson	2	0.34%
Graham	y. 10u.		Vance	1	0.17%
Graham	9	69.23%	Caldwell	1	0.17%
Cherokee	2	15.38%	Wilkes	1	0.17%
Other States	1	7.69%	Macon	1	0.17%

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin N	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total
Stokes	1	0.17%	Henderson		
Brunswick	1	0.17%	Henderson	21	80.77%
Georgia	1	0.17%	South Carolina	2	7.69%
Lee	1	0.17%	Buncombe	1	3.85%
Orange	1	0.17%	Other States	1	3.85%
Montgomery	1	0.17%	Polk	1	3.85%
Mecklenburg	1	0.17%	Total Patients Se	rved:	26
Total Patients Se	erved:	583	Hoke		
Halifax			Hoke	2	50.00%
Halifax	15	100.00%	Wake	1	25.00%
Total Patients Se	erved:	15	South Carolina	1	25.00%
larnett			Total Patients Se	rved:	4
Harnett	44	50.57%	Iredell		
Johnston	11	12.64%	Iredell	63	82.89%
Wake	9	10.34%	Mecklenburg	7	9.21%
Cumberland	5	5.75%	Cabarrus	2	2.63%
Sampson	5	5.75%	Other States	2	2.63%
Lee	3	3.45%	Rowan	1	1.32%
Moore	2	2.30%	Lincoln	1	1.32%
Wayne	2	2.30%	Total Patients Sei	rved:	76
Iredell	1	1.15%	Johnston		
Edgecombe	1	1.15%	Johnston	15	38.46%
Granville	1	1.15%	Harnett	14	35.90%
Guilford	1	1.15%	Wake	6	15.38%
Rutherford	1	1.15%	Cumberland	2	5.13%
Scotland	1	1.15%	Sampson	2	5.13%
Total Patients Se Haywood	erved:	87	Total Patients Se Jones	rved:	39
Haywood	54	91.53%	Jones	6	35.29%
Jackson	2	3.39%	Craven	4	23.53%
Polk	1	1.69%	Onslow	3	17.65%
Henderson	1	1.69%	Carteret	1	5.88%
Georgia	1	1.69%	New Hanover	1	5.88%
Total Patients Se	nvod.	59	Pamlico	1	5.88%

Service Location			Service Location			
Patient Origin Numb	er of Patient	s % of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	
Sampson	1	5.88%	Robeson	1	0.43%	
Total Patients Served	ŀ	17	Lincoln	1	0.43%	
Lincoln	-		Lenoir	1	0.43%	
Lincoln	12	92.31%	Lee	1	0.43%	
Catawba	1	7.69%	Cumberland	1	0.43%	
Total Patients Served	ŀ	13	Ashe	1	0.43%	
Madison	-		Rockingham	1	0.43%	
Madison	18	66.67%	Total Patients Se	rvod-	232	
Buncombe	9	33.33%	Montgomery	1 10 0.		
Total Patients Served	ŀ	27	Montgomery	8	57.14%	
McDowell	-		Moore	4	28.57%	
McDowell	22	66.67%	Randolph	1	7.14%	
Buncombe	3	9.09%	Chatham	1	7.14%	
Rutherford	2	6.06%	Total Patients Se	rvod-	14	
Caldwell	1	3.03%	Moore	1 10 0.		
Avery	1	3.03%	Moore	36	40.00%	
Transylvania	1	3.03%	Other States	17	18.89%	
Yancey	1	3.03%	Other	10	11.11%	
Cleveland	1	3.03%	Cumberland	8	8.89%	
Mitchell	1	3.03%	Lee	6	6.67%	
Total Patients Served	ŀ	33	Scotland	4	4.44%	
Mecklenburg	-		Richmond	2	2.22%	
Mecklenburg	198	85.34%	Wake	1	1.11%	
Other States	11	4.74%	Stanly	1	1.11%	
Cabarrus	4	1.72%	Wilkes	1	1.11%	
Iredell	3	1.29%	Randolph	1	1.11%	
South Carolina	2	0.86%	Forsyth	1	1.11%	
Stanly	1	0.43%	Anson	1	1.11%	
Surry	1	0.43%	Hoke	1	1.11%	
Tennessee	1	0.43%	Total Patients Se	rvod-	90	
Union	1	0.43%	Nash	ı v gü.		
Watauga	1	0.43%	Nash	43	84.31%	
Wilkes	1	0.43%	Edgecombe	3	5.88%	
Virginia	1	0.43%	Wayne	1	1.96%	

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin N	umber of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Tota
Wilson	1	1.96%	Pender	59	45.38%
Franklin	1	1.96%	New Hanover	32	24.62%
Halifax	1	1.96%	Onslow	28	21.54%
Johnston	1	1.96%	Duplin	4	3.08%
Total Patients Ser	·ved-	51	Other States	2	1.54%
New Hanover	vou.		Pitt	1	0.77%
New Hanover	137	83.54%	Randolph	1	0.77%
Brunswick	9	5.49%	South Carolina	1	0.77%
Other States	5	3.05%	Wake	1	0.77%
Pasquotank	3	1.83%	Guilford	1	0.77%
Pender	3	1.83%	Total Patients Se	rvod-	130
Columbus	2	1.22%	Person	i vou.	
Virginia	2	1.22%	Person	3	100.00%
Bladen	1	0.61%	Total Patients Se	rved-	3
Chatham	1	0.61%	Pitt	1 10 0.	
Cumberland	1	0.61%	Pitt	64	86.49%
Total Patients Ser	·ved-	164	Nash	1	1.35%
Onslow	Tou.		Other States	1	1.35%
Onslow	18	100.00%	Martin	1	1.35%
Total Patients Ser	·hav	18	Lenoir	1	1.35%
Orange	Tou.		Georgia	1	1.35%
Orange	172	91.01%	Beaufort	1	1.35%
Durham	11	5.82%	Edgecombe	1	1.35%
Other States	2	1.06%	Pasquotank	1	1.35%
Person	1	0.53%	Craven	1	1.35%
Wake	1	0.53%	Greene	1	1.35%
Wilkes	1	0.53%	Total Patients Se	rved-	74
Alamance	1	0.53%	Polk	. vou.	
Total Patients Ser	ved:	189	Polk	73	92.41%
Pamlico			South Carolina	5	6.33%
Pamlico	9	90.00%	Rutherford	1	1.27%
Craven	1	10.00%	Total Patients Se	rved·	79
Total Patients Ser	·ved:	10	Randolph		
Pender	- 54.		Randolph	3	50.00%

Page 7 of 9

Thursday, August 23, 2018

ervice Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin N	lumber of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin Num	ber of Patien	ts % of Total
Guilford	2	33.33%	Total Patients Served:		316
Davidson	1	16.67%	Rutherford		
Total Patients Se	rved:	6	Rutherford	94	87.85%
Robeson			Cleveland	11	10.28%
Robeson	63	81.82%	Catawba	2	1.87%
Bladen	6	7.79%	Total Patients Served:		107
Brunswick	2	2.60%	Sampson		
New Hanover	1	1.30%	Sampson	39	86.67%
Wilson	1	1.30%	Duplin	6	13.33%
Nash	1	1.30%	Total Patients Served:		45
Cumberland	1	1.30%	Scotland		
Mecklenburg	1	1.30%	Scotland	39	95.12%
Other	1	1.30%	South Carolina	2	4.88%
Total Patients Served: 77 ockingham		Total Patients Served: Stanly		41	
Rockingham	19	76.00%	Stanly	52	83.87%
Guilford	4	16.00%	Montgomery	4	6.45%
Alamance	2	8.00%	Union	3	4.84%
Total Patients Se	rvod-	25	Cabarrus	2	3.23%
Rowan	ı YGU.		Davidson	1	1.61%
Rowan	176	55.70%	Total Patients Served:		62
Cabarrus	85	26.90%	Stokes		
Mecklenburg	18	5.70%	Forsyth	23	35.38%
Iredell	17	5.38%	Stokes	21	32.31%
Davidson	4	1.27%	Surry	12	18.46%
Stanly	4	1.27%	Cleveland	3	4.62%
Gaston	3	0.95%	Randolph	2	3.08%
Wake	2	0.63%	Yadkin	1	1.54%
Davie	2	0.63%	Rowan	1	1.54%
South Carolina	1	0.32%	Rockingham	1	1.54%
Union	1	0.32%	Cumberland	1	1.54%
Surry	1	0.32%	Total Patients Served:		65
Cherokee	1	0.32%	Surry		
Durham	1	0.32%	Surry	16	48.48%

Service Location			Service Location			
Patient Origin N	umber of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	
Yadkin	9	27.27%	Nash	1	0.39%	
Wilkes	5	15.15%	Tennessee	1	0.39%	
Alleghany	3	9.09%	Lenoir	1	0.39%	
Total Patients Se	rved-	33	Iredell	1	0.39%	
Transylvania	Tou.		Durham	1	0.39%	
Transylvania	19	100.00%	Carteret	1	0.39%	
Total Patients Se	rved-	19	Chowan	1	0.39%	
Union	100.		Montgomery	1	0.39%	
Union	20	60.61%	Total Patients Se	rved-	256	
Mecklenburg	5	15.15%	Warren	· vou.		
Anson	4	12.12%	Warren	33	94.29%	
South Carolina	1	3.03%	Franklin	1	2.86%	
Gaston	1	3.03%	Vance	1	2.86%	
Stanly	1	3.03%	Total Patients Se	rved-	35	
Martin	1	3.03%	Washington	· vou.		
Total Patients Se	rved-	33	Washington	5	71.43%	
/ance	100.		Bertie	1	14.29%	
Vance	56	77.78%	Hyde	1	14.29%	
Durham	5	6.94%	Total Patients Se	rved-	7	
Warren	5	6.94%	Watauga	. 100.		
Granville	4	5.56%	Watauga	18	90.00%	
Nash	2	2.78%	Caldwell	1	5.00%	
Total Patients Se	rved:	72	Forsyth	1	5.00%	
Wake			Total Patients Se	rved-	20	
Wake	216	84.38%	Wilkes	. 100.		
Other States	9	3.52%	Wilkes	90	98.90%	
Franklin	5	1.95%	Ashe	1	1.10%	
Johnston	5	1.95%	Total Patients Se	rved:	91	
Vance	3	1.17%	Wilson			
Buncombe	2	0.78%	Wilson	35	72.92%	
Alamance	2	0.78%	Johnston	7	14.58%	
Columbus	2	0.78%	Nash	5	10.42%	
Georgia	2	0.78%	Other	1	2.08%	
Guilford	2	0.78%	Total Patients Se	rved-	48	