Adult Care Home Beds

(2019 Data)

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin Nun	nber of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total
Alamance			Brunswick		
Alamance	123	93.18%	Brunswick	66	62.86%
Guilford	3	2.27%	New Hanover	21	20.00%
Other/Unknown	2	1.52%	Virginia	6	5.71%
Caswell	1	0.76%	Pender	3	2.86%
Catawba	1	0.76%	Columbus	3	2.86%
Lee	1	0.76%	Other/Unknown	2	1.90%
Rockingham	1	0.76%	Bladen	2	1.90%
Total Patients Servi	āq-	132	Robeson	1	0.95%
Beaufort	,u.		Forsyth	1	0.95%
Beaufort	40	63.49%	Total Patients Ser	·ved-	105
Martin	7	11.11%	Buncombe	704.	
Pitt	5	7.94%	Buncombe	343	90.98%
Stokes	3	4.76%	McDowell	14	3.71%
Nash	3	4.76%	Henderson	10	2.65%
Edgecombe	2	3.17%	Yancey	3	0.80%
Lenoir	2	3.17%	Madison	2	0.53%
Washington	1	1.59%	Watauga	1	0.27%
Total Patients Servi	siq-	63	Tennessee	1	0.27%
Bertie	,u.		Jackson	1	0.27%
Bertie	16	57.14%	Haywood	1	0.27%
Martin	5	17.86%	Mecklenburg	1	0.27%
Hertford	4	14.29%	Total Patients Ser	-ved-	377
Washington	3	10.71%	Burke	70 0.	
Total Patients Servi	ad:	28	Burke	101	85.59%
Bladen			Cleveland	3	2.54%
Bladen	31	81.58%	Caldwell	3	2.54%
Columbus	5	13.16%	Catawba	3	2.54%
Duplin	1	2.63%	Wilson	2	1.69%
Robeson	1	2.63%	Other/Unknown	2	1.69%
Total Patients Servi	arl-	38	Rutherford	1	0.85%

Thursday, August 20, 2020

Service Location			Service Location			
Patient Origin Numl	er of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	8 % of Total	
Alexander	1	0.85%	Burke	7	3.87%	
Gaston	1	0.85%	Iredell	5	2.76%	
Henderson	1	0.85%	Watauga	4	2.21%	
Total Patients Serve	I -	118	Cleveland	3	1.66%	
Cabarrus	•		Mitchell	2	1.10%	
Cabarrus	20	43.48%	Virginia	2	1.10%	
Mecklenburg	8	17.39%	Gaston	1	0.55%	
Other/Unknown	7	15.22%	Georgia	1	0.55%	
Gaston	2	4.35%	Stanly	1	0.55%	
Virginia	2	4.35%	Total Patients Se	rved-	181	
Rowan	2	4.35%	Chatham	1 400.		
Iredell	1	2.17%	Chatham	65	100.00%	
Lincoln	1	2.17%	Total Patients Se	rved-	65	
Davidson	1	2.17%	Columbus	1 400.		
Craven	1	2.17%	Columbus	30	88.24%	
South Carolina	1	2.17%	Robeson	1	2.94%	
Total Patients Served	l -	46	Macon	1	2.94%	
Caldwell	-		Harnett	1	2.94%	
Alamance	0	#Num!	Bladen	1	2.94%	
Total Patients Serve	l :	0	Total Patients Se	rved:	34	
Carteret			Craven			
Carteret	60	85.71%	Craven	42	91.30%	
Wake	2	2.86%	Carteret	1	2.17%	
Davidson	2	2.86%	Johnston	1	2.17%	
Union	2	2.86%	Onslow	1	2.17%	
Bertie	2	2.86%	Pitt	1	2.17%	
Craven	1	1.43%	Total Patients Se	rved:	46	
New Hanover	1	1.43%	Cumberland			
Total Patients Serve	l:	70	Cumberland	151	90.96%	
Catawba			Robeson	5	3.01%	
Catawba	91	50.28%	Hoke	3	1.81%	
Other/Unknown	41	22.65%	Mecklenburg	3	1.81%	
Alexander	12	6.63%	Harnett	2	1.20%	
Caldwell	11	6.08%	Scotland	1	0.60%	

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total
Virginia	1	0.60%	Pitt	11	13.10%
Total Patients Se	erved-	166	Nash	6	7.14%
Davidson	,, vou.		Halifax	4	4.76%
Davidson	118	78.15%	Other/Unknown	3	3.57%
Randolph	13	8.61%	Washington	2	2.38%
Guilford	6	3.97%	Wake	2	2.38%
Rowan	6	3.97%	Virginia	2	2.38%
Forsyth	3	1.99%	Wilson	1	1.19%
Stanly	2	1.32%	Northampton	1	1.19%
Other/Unknown	n 1	0.66%	Hertford	1	1.19%
Virginia	1	0.66%	Harnett	1	1.19%
Durham	1	0.66%	Forsyth	1	1.19%
Total Patients Se	erved-	151	Carteret	1	1.19%
Davie	,, 100.		Martin	1	1.19%
Davie	31	68.89%	Total Patients Sei	-hav	84
Forsyth	9	20.00%	Forsyth	vou.	
Yadkin	4	8.89%	Forsyth	165	71.43%
South Carolina	1	2.22%	Other/Unknown	15	6.49%
Total Patients Se	erved:	45	Davie	8	3.46%
Durham			Davidson	4	1.73%
Durham	194	78.86%	Virginia	4	1.73%
Wake	18	7.32%	Stokes	3	1.30%
Orange	11	4.47%	Rockingham	2	0.87%
Chatham	9	3.66%	Wake	2	0.87%
Johnston	4	1.63%	Union	2	0.87%
Alamance	3	1.22%	South Carolina	2	0.87%
Franklin	2	0.81%	Alexander	2	0.87%
Guilford	2	0.81%	Nash	2	0.87%
Beaufort	1	0.41%	Georgia	2	0.87%
South Carolina	1	0.41%	Alleghany	2	0.87%
Graham	1	0.41%	Surry	1	0.43%
Total Patients Se	erved:	246	Yadkin	1	0.43%
Edgecombe			Watauga	1	0.43%
Edgecombe	47	55.95%	Rowan	1	0.43%

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patie	ents % of Total
Burke	1	0.43%	Gates		
Halifax	1	0.43%	Gates	2	100.00%
Buncombe	1	0.43%	Total Patients Ser	ved-	2
Columbus	1	0.43%	Graham	100.	
Iredell	1	0.43%	Graham	10	83.33%
Caldwell	1	0.43%	Catawba	1	8.33%
Randolph	1	0.43%	Cherokee	1	8.33%
Onslow	1	0.43%	Total Patients Ser	ved:	12
Moore	1	0.43%	Granville		
Alamance	1	0.43%	Granville	10	55.56%
Mecklenburg	1	0.43%	Vance	5	27.78%
Edgecombe	1	0.43%	Orange	1	5.56%
Total Patients Se	rved-	231	Wake	1	5.56%
Franklin	1 704.		Franklin	1	5.56%
Franklin	24	77.42%	Total Patients Ser	ved:	18
Wake	5	16.13%	Greene		
Warren	1	3.23%	Lenoir	19	48.72%
Edgecombe	1	3.23%	Greene	12	30.77%
Total Patients Se	rved-	31	Pitt	3	7.69%
Gaston	. 100.		Wayne	2	5.13%
Gaston	254	71.15%	Wilson	2	5.13%
Cleveland	46	12.89%	Other/Unknown	1	2.56%
Lincoln	33	9.24%	Total Patients Ser	ved:	39
Mecklenburg	6	1.68%	Guilford		
Catawba	4	1.12%	Guilford	564	92.61%
Guilford	3	0.84%	Rockingham	18	2.96%
South Carolina	3	0.84%	Forsyth	13	2.13%
Cabarrus	2	0.56%	Randolph	4	0.66%
Other/Unknown	2	0.56%	Other/Unknown	2	0.33%
Haywood	1	0.28%	Wilkes	2	0.33%
Iredell	1	0.28%	Davidson	1	0.16%
Burke	1	0.28%	Cherokee	1	0.16%
Union	1	0.28%	Caldwell	1	0.16%
Total Patients Se	rvod-	357	Nash	1	0.16%

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin N	umber of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Tota
Georgia	1	0.16%	Jackson	1	2.13%
Duplin	1	0.16%	Madison	1	2.13%
Total Patients Ser	·vod-	609	Polk	1	2.13%
Halifax	You.		Rutherford	1	2.13%
Halifax	11	68.75%	Burke	1	2.13%
Northampton	2	12.50%	Total Patients Sei	evod-	47
Nash	1	6.25%	Hoke	vou.	
Carteret	1	6.25%	Cumberland	4	50.00%
Edgecombe	1	6.25%	Richmond	2	25.00%
Total Patients Ser	·ved-	16	Columbus	1	12.50%
Harnett	vou.		Hoke	1	12.50%
Harnett	44	55.00%	Total Patients Sei	rved-	8
Wake	16	20.00%	Iredell	You.	
Cumberland	8	10.00%	Iredell	53	79.10%
Johnston	5	6.25%	Mecklenburg	6	8.96%
Sampson	2	2.50%	Cabarrus	2	2.99%
Vance	1	1.25%	Alexander	2	2.99%
Iredell	1	1.25%	Davie	2	2.99%
Guilford	1	1.25%	Brunswick	1	1.49%
Edgecombe	1	1.25%	Rowan	1	1.49%
Granville	1	1.25%	Total Patients Sei	rved-	67
Lee	0	0.00%	Johnston		
Moore	0	0.00%	Johnston	20	50.00%
Total Patients Ser	·ved-	80	Harnett	8	20.00%
Haywood	Tou.		Wake	6	15.00%
Haywood	32	86.49%	Sampson	3	7.50%
Jackson	4	10.81%	Cumberland	2	5.00%
Buncombe	1	2.70%	Lenoir	1	2.50%
Total Patients Ser Henderson	ved:	37	Total Patients Sei Jones	rved:	40
Henderson	33	70.21%	Jones	8	47.06%
Transylvania	4	8.51%	Onslow	5	29.41%
Buncombe	3	6.38%	Craven	4	23.53%
Macon	2	4.26%	Total Patients Sei	nuad	17

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin N	umber of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Tota
Lincoln			Montgomery	5	55.56%
Lincoln	12	100.00%	Moore	2	22.22%
Total Patients Ser	ved:	12	Randolph	2	22.22%
Madison			Total Patients Ser	·ved:	9
Madison	17	56.67%	Moore	700.	
Buncombe	11	36.67%	Moore	48	55.81%
Mitchell	1	3.33%	Other/Unknown	13	15.12%
Burke	1	3.33%	Lee	7	8.14%
Total Patients Ser	ved:	30	Forsyth	5	5.81%
/IcDowell			Hoke	4	4.65%
McDowell	26	68.42%	Wake	4	4.65%
Burke	5	13.16%	Richmond	2	2.33%
Buncombe	3	7.89%	Chatham	1	1.16%
Rutherford	2	5.26%	Robeson	1	1.16%
Cleveland	1	2.63%	Scotland	1	1.16%
Ashe	1	2.63%	Total Patients Ser	·ved·	86
Total Patients Ser	·hav	38	Nash	vou.	
/lecklenburg			Nash	46	77.97%
Mecklenburg	249	85.57%	Edgecombe	4	6.78%
Other/Unknown	21	7.22%	Halifax	3	5.08%
South Carolina	7	2.41%	Wayne	2	3.39%
Iredell	3	1.03%	Other/Unknown	1	1.69%
Virginia	2	0.69%	Warren	1	1.69%
Durham	1	0.34%	Wilson	1	1.69%
Union	1	0.34%	Mecklenburg	1	1.69%
Wilkes	1	0.34%	Total Patients Ser	·ved-	59
Gaston	1	0.34%	New Hanover		
Cumberland	1	0.34%	New Hanover	169	80.09%
Caldwell	1	0.34%	Other/Unknown	14	6.64%
Brunswick	1	0.34%	Pender	8	3.79%
Anson	1	0.34%	Brunswick	8	3.79%
Georgia	1	0.34%	Virginia	3	1.42%
Total Patients Ser	ved:	291	Columbus	2	0.95%
Montgomery			Onslow	2	0.95%

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin Nu	ımber of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patient	s % of Total
Chatham	1	0.47%	Other/Unknown	2	2.63%
Gates	1	0.47%	Columbus	1	1.32%
Buncombe	1	0.47%	Robeson	1	1.32%
Sampson	1	0.47%	Wake	1	1.32%
Bladen	1	0.47%	Randolph	1	1.32%
Total Patients Ser	ved-	211	Nash	1	1.32%
Onslow	Tou.		Jones	1	1.32%
Onslow	275	91.97%	Duplin	1	1.32%
Duplin	6	2.01%	Forsyth	1	1.32%
Other/Unknown	3	1.00%	Total Patients Ser	ved-	76
Pender	3	1.00%	Person	10 0.	
Craven	3	1.00%	Durham	2	100.00%
Carteret	3	1.00%	Total Patients Ser	ved:	2
Jones	3	1.00%	Pitt	10 0.	
New Hanover	1	0.33%	Pitt	53	82.81%
Johnston	1	0.33%	Beaufort	2	3.13%
Wake	1	0.33%	Greene	2	3.13%
Total Patients Ser	ved:	299	Craven	2	3.13%
Orange			Lenoir	1	1.56%
Orange	181	93.30%	Martin	1	1.56%
Durham	7	3.61%	Pasquotank	1	1.56%
Wake	2	1.03%	Edgecombe	1	1.56%
Bertie	2	1.03%	Wayne	1	1.56%
Person	2	1.03%	Total Patients Ser	ved:	64
Total Patients Ser	ved:	194	Polk		
Pamlico			Polk	83	94.32%
Pamlico	17	62.96%	South Carolina	5	5.68%
Beaufort	5	18.52%	Total Patients Ser	ved:	88
Craven	5	18.52%	Richmond		
Total Patients Ser	ved:	27	Richmond	1	100.00%
Pender			Total Patients Ser	ved:	1
Onslow	30	39.47%	Robeson		
New Hanover	21	27.63%	Robeson	59	88.06%
Pender	15	19.74%	Bladen	3	4.48%

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin Nu	mber of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Tota
South Carolina	1	1.49%	Sampson	33	86.84%
Mecklenburg	1	1.49%	Duplin	3	7.89%
Guilford	1	1.49%	Cleveland	1	2.63%
Brunswick	1	1.49%	Wake	1	2.63%
Moore	1	1.49%	Total Patients Ser	evod-	38
Total Patients Serv	od-	67	Scotland	You.	
Rockingham	ou.	-	Scotland	35	92.11%
Rockingham	15	75.00%	South Carolina	3	7.89%
Alamance	2	10.00%	Total Patients Ser	·vod-	38
Mecklenburg	2	10.00%	Stanly	Vou.	
Guilford	1	5.00%	Stanly	70	86.42%
Total Patients Serv	rod-	20	Cabarrus	4	4.94%
owan	ou.		Davidson	2	2.47%
Rowan	145	67.76%	Mecklenburg	1	1.23%
Cabarrus	39	18.22%	Montgomery	1	1.23%
Mecklenburg	9	4.21%	Robeson	1	1.23%
Other/Unknown	8	3.74%	Rowan	1	1.23%
Davidson	7	3.27%	Union	1	1.23%
Union	1	0.47%	Total Patients Ser	·ved·	81
Wake	1	0.47%	Stokes	Vou.	
Iredell	1	0.47%	Stokes	19	37.25%
Brunswick	1	0.47%	Forsyth	18	35.29%
Gaston	1	0.47%	Surry	12	23.53%
McDowell	1	0.47%	Yadkin	1	1.96%
Total Patients Serv	ed-	214	Guilford	1	1.96%
utherford	ou.		Total Patients Ser	·ved·	51
Rutherford	121	90.98%	Surry		
Cleveland	7	5.26%	Surry	17	54.84%
South Carolina	2	1.50%	Yadkin	5	16.13%
Catawba	1	0.75%	Wilkes	4	12.90%
Buncombe	1	0.75%	Forsyth	2	6.45%
McDowell	1	0.75%	Alleghany	1	3.23%
Total Patients Serv	red:	133	Iredell	1	3.23%
Sampson			Guilford	1	3.23%

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin Numbe	r of Patien	ts % of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patient	s % of Total
Total Patients Served:		31	Guilford	1	0.30%
Transylvania			Onslow	1	0.30%
Transylvania	9	100.00%	Total Patients Ser	ved:	330
Total Patients Served:		9	Warren		
Union			Warren	17	65.38%
Union	18	69.23%	Vance	6	23.08%
Anson	4	15.38%	Franklin	2	7.69%
Cleveland	1	3.85%	Wake	1	3.85%
Martin	1	3.85%	Total Patients Ser	·ved:	26
Mecklenburg	1	3.85%	Washington		
Other/Unknown	1	3.85%	Washington	5	71.43%
Total Patients Served:		26	Bertie	1	14.29%
Vance			Edgecombe	1	14.29%
Vance	52	77.61%	Total Patients Ser	·ved:	7
Warren	7	10.45%	Watauga		
Granville	4	5.97%	Watauga	39	90.70%
Franklin	3	4.48%	Mecklenburg	2	4.65%
Nash	1	1.49%	Ashe	1	2.33%
Total Patients Served:		67	Forsyth	1	2.33%
Wake			Total Patients Ser	·ved:	43
Wake	290	87.88%	Wilkes		
Johnston	9	2.73%	Wilkes	50	79.37%
Other/Unknown	8	2.42%	Alleghany	6	9.52%
Franklin	5	1.52%	Caldwell	3	4.76%
Vance	4	1.21%	Forsyth	2	3.17%
Harnett	2	0.61%	Ashe	1	1.59%
New Hanover	2	0.61%	Iredell	1	1.59%
Buncombe	2	0.61%	Total Patients Ser	·ved:	63
Iredell	1	0.30%	Wilson		
Edgecombe	1	0.30%	Wilson	57	73.08%
Mecklenburg	1	0.30%	Nash	7	8.97%
Durham	1	0.30%	Wayne	6	7.69%
Craven	1	0.30%	Johnston	5	6.41%
Columbus	1	0.30%	Cumberland	1	1.28%

Service Location			Service Location		
Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total	Patient Origin	Number of Patients	% of Total
Edgecombe	1	1.28%			
Pitt	1	1.28%			
Total Patients S	Served-	78			

Thursday, August 20, 2020 Page 10 of 10